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1.01 An unconfined aquifer is situated above a semi-pervious layer, below which artesian water at a constant and uniform pressure rising to 0.6 m above datum line is present. The unconfined aquifer is recharged by rainfall in an amount of ( 40 ) $10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ and crossed by a ditch, abstracting groundwater at a rate of (35) $10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec}$. Due to this abstraction a cone of depression is formed, extending 750 m at either side of the ditch, with waterlevels of 2.5 m above datum line at the water divides and 1.3 m above datum line at the ditch.

What is the resistance of the semi-pervious layer against vertical water movement?

The water balance for the strip of land between both water divides reads
recharge $2 P L=(2)(40) 10^{-9}(750)=$

abstraction $q_{0}=$

$$
(35) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

downward percolation

$$
I=(25) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

On the other hand, this downward percolation equals

with $c$ as resistance of the semi-pervious layer against vertical water movement and $h_{a}$ as average water table depth in the unconfined aquifer. Assuming this water table to have a parabolic shape gives
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{a}=h_{0}+\frac{2}{3}\left(h_{1}-h_{0}\right)=1.3+\frac{2}{3}(2.5-1.3)=2.1 \mathrm{~m} \\
& c=\frac{2 I\left(h_{a}-\phi\right)}{I}=\frac{(2)(750)(2.1-0.6)}{(25) 10^{-6}}=(84) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

1.02 An unconfined aquifer is situated above a semi-pervious layer, below which artesian water at a constant and uniform level $\phi$ is present. In the unconfined aquifer an area $A$ is considered, composed of two equal parts $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ over which the resistance of the semi-pervious layer against vertical water movement amounts to (30) $10^{6}$ and (90) $10^{6}$ sec respectively.

Calculate the resistance of the semi-pervious layer as average over the full area $A$
a. in case the phreatic water table $h$ in the unconfined aquifer is constant at 1.5 m above the artesian water table;
b. in case the water table depth $h_{1}$ is augmented to 4.5 m and the water table depth $h_{2}$ remains unchanged.

The resistance $c$ of the semi-pervious layer as average over the full area A is defined by the formula

$$
Q=A \frac{h-\phi}{c}
$$

with $Q$ as amount of downward percolating water. This amount must equal the sum of the downward water movement over both halves

$$
A \frac{h-\phi}{c}=\frac{A}{2} \frac{h_{1}-\phi_{1}}{c_{1}}+\frac{A}{2} \frac{h_{2}-\phi_{2}}{c_{2}}
$$

From this equation A falls out, giving as relations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a. } \quad \frac{1.5}{c}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1.5}{(30) 10^{6}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1.5}{(90) 10^{6}} & \text { or } c=(45) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec} \\
\text { b. } \frac{0.5(1.5+4.5)}{c}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{4.5}{(30) 10^{6}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1.5}{(90) 10^{6}} & \text { or } c=(36) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}
\end{array}
$$

From this calculation the conclusion may be drawn that the average resistance of a semi-pervious layer against vertical water movement is not a geo-hydrological constant.

An unconfined aquifer is composed of sand with a specific yield $\mu$ of $35 \%$ and is situated above an impervious base. In this aquifer a gallery is constructed at a distance $L$ parallel to a river. From the gallery, groundwater is abstracted in an amount of (0.1) $10^{-3}$ $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}$, while an equal amount of riverwater is induced to recharge the aquifer. By this horizontal water movement, the saturated thickness of the aquifer drops from 18 m near the river to 16 m near the gallery.

Which distance $L$ must be chosen to assure that the infiltrating riverwater stays at least 2 months in the sub-soil before it is recovered by the gallery?

With $v$ as real velocity of horizontal water movement and $T$ as required detention time, the minimum length of travel $L$ equals

$$
L=v T=\frac{q_{0}}{\mu H} T
$$

in which $H$ is the average saturated thickness. In the case under
 consideration

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=0.5(18+16)=17 \mathrm{~m} \text { With } \mathrm{T}=2 \text { months }=(5.3) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec} \\
& \mathrm{~L}=\frac{(0.1) 10^{-3}}{(0.35) 17}(5.3) 10^{6}=90 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account the concentration of flowlines in the immediate vicinity of the gallery, a distance of 100 m should be chosen.

### 2.01

An artesian aquifer is situated above an impervious base and is overlain by an impervious aquiclude. The thickness $H$ of the artesian aquifer is constant at 16 m , its coefficient of permeability $k$ at ( 0.60 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. Two parallel fully penetrating ditches isolate in this aquifer a strip of land 2500 m wide. The water levels in the ditches are constant at 20 and 23 m above the impervious base respectively.

At a distance of 800 m parallel to the ditch with the lowest water table, a fully penetrating gallery is constructed. Determine the capacity-drawdown relationship for this gallery.

With the notations as indicated in the figure at the right, the equations of flow become

Darcy $\quad q_{1}=k H \frac{d s}{d x}$
continuity $q_{1}=$ constant combined $\quad \mathrm{ds}=\frac{\mathrm{q}_{1}}{\mathrm{kH}} \mathrm{dx}$

integrated between the limits $x=0, s=0$ and $x=L_{1}, s=s_{1}$

$$
s_{i}=\frac{q_{1}}{k_{H}} L_{1} \quad \text { or } \quad q_{1}=k H \frac{s_{1}}{L_{1}}
$$

similarly $\quad s_{2}=\frac{q_{2}}{k H} L_{2}$
or

$$
\mathrm{q}_{2}=\mathrm{kH} \frac{\mathrm{~s}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}_{2}}
$$

$$
q_{0}=q_{1}+q_{2}=k H\left(\frac{s_{1}}{L_{1}}+\frac{s_{2}}{L_{2}}\right)
$$

According to the figure

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=s_{0}-\frac{L_{1}}{L_{1}+L_{2}}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right) \\
& s_{2}=s_{0}+\frac{L_{2}}{L_{1}+L_{2}}\left(h_{2}-h_{1}\right), \text { substituted } \\
& q_{0}=k H\left(\frac{s_{0}}{L_{1}}+\frac{s_{0}}{L_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

With the data as given

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{0}=(0.60) 10^{-3}(16)\left(\frac{1}{800}+\frac{1}{1700}\right) \mathrm{s}_{0} \\
& q_{0}=(1.77) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~s}_{0} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

2.02

An artesian aquifer without recharge from above or from below has a constant coefficient of permeability $k$ of ( 0.35 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, but a thickness which varies linearly with distance. In this aquifer two fully penetrating ditches isolate a strip of land with a constant width of 4200 m . The water levels in these ditches are constant at 8 m and at 3 m above datum line, while the aquifer thickness at the face of the ditches amounts to 16 and 28 m respectively.

How much water flows through the aquifer from one ditch to the other?

Without recharge from above or from below, the rate of flow in the artesian aquifer is constant, equal to $q_{0}$. With the notations as indicated in the picture at the right, Darcy's law gives this rate of flow as


$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{0}=-k H \frac{d h}{d x} \\
& H=H_{1}+\frac{H_{2}-H_{1}}{L} x \text { substituted } H \text { vari } \\
& q_{0}=-k\left(H_{1}+\frac{H_{2}-H_{1}}{L} x\right) \frac{d h}{d x} \text { or } \\
& d h=-\frac{q_{0}}{k} \frac{d x}{H_{1}+\frac{H_{2}-H_{1}}{L}} x
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrated between the limits $x=0, h=h_{1}$ and $x=L, h=h_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.h\right|_{h_{1}} ^{h_{2}}=-\frac{q_{0}}{k} \frac{L}{H_{2}-H_{1}} \ln \left(H_{1}+\frac{H_{2}-H_{1}}{L} x\right)\right]_{0}^{L} \\
& h_{2}-h_{1}=-\frac{q_{0}}{k} \frac{L}{H_{2}-H_{1}} \ln \frac{H_{2}}{H_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{0}=k \frac{h_{1}-h_{2}}{L} \frac{H_{2}-H_{1}}{\ln \frac{H_{2}}{H_{1}}} \quad \text { With the data supplied } \\
& q_{0}=(0.35) 10^{-3} \frac{8-3}{4200} \frac{28-16}{\ln \frac{28}{16}}=(0.35) 10^{-3} \frac{5}{4200} \frac{12}{0.56} \text { or } \\
& q_{0}=(8.9) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

With a constant thickness $H$, equal to the average value of 22 m , the discharge would have been

$$
q_{0}=k H \frac{h_{1}-h_{2}}{L}=(0.35) 10^{-3}(22) \frac{5}{4200}=(9.2) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

which value differs only $3 \%$ from the true discharge.

A leaky artesian aquifer of infinite extent has a constant coefficient of transmissibility kH equal to (3) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$. The confining layer at the bottom is impervious, the confining layer at the top semi-pervious with a resistance $c$ of (0.2) $10^{9} \mathrm{sec}$ against vertical watermovement. Above the semi-pervious layer an unconfined aquifer with a constant and uniform water table is present.

In the artesian aquifer a fully penetrating gallery is constructed. What is its discharge for a drawdown of 2 m ?

The flow of groundwater in a leaky artesian aquifer may be described with the formulae
$\phi=C e^{-x / \lambda}+C^{\prime} e^{+x / \lambda}+h$

$q=C \frac{k H}{\lambda} e^{-x / \lambda}-C \frac{k H}{\lambda} e^{+x / \lambda}$
with $\lambda=\sqrt{\mathrm{kHC}}$
and $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ integration constants to be determined
from the boundary conditions.
With an aquifer of infinite extent, $C^{\prime}=0$, giving as flow formulae for the aquifer to the left of the gallery
before abstraction $\phi_{1}=C_{1} e^{-x / \lambda}+h \quad q_{1}=C_{1} \frac{k H}{\lambda} e^{-x / \lambda}$
during abstraction $\phi_{2}=C_{2} e^{-x / \lambda}+h \quad q_{2}=C_{2} \frac{k H}{\lambda} \quad e^{-x / \lambda}$

The drawdowns and flowrates due to abstraction thus become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}=\left(C_{1}-C_{2}\right) e^{-x / \lambda} \\
& q_{r}=q_{1}-q_{2}=\left(c_{1}-C_{2}\right) \frac{k H}{\lambda} e^{-x / \lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

combined $\quad q_{r}=\frac{k H}{\lambda} s$ and at the face of the gallery

$$
q_{r}=\frac{k H}{\lambda} s_{0}=q_{0}
$$

The same calculation may be made for the aquifer to the right of the gallery, giving as total abstraction

$$
2 q_{0}=2 \frac{k H}{\lambda}=2 \sqrt{\frac{k H}{c}} s_{0}
$$

With a drawdown $s_{o}$ of 2 m and the geo-hydrological constants as given, the discharge becomes

$$
2 q_{0}=2 \sqrt{\frac{(3) 10^{-3}}{(0.2) 10^{9}}}(2)=(15.5) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec} .
$$

2.04 A leaky artesian aquifer is situated above an impervious base and is overlain by a semi-pervious layer with a resistance of (0.3) $10^{9}$ sec against vertical water movement. Above this layer an unconfined aquifer is present, having a constant and uniform water table at 5 m above datum line. To the right the artesian aquifer extends to infinity, to the left it is bounded by a fully penetrating ditch with a constant water level at 2 m above datum line.

The thickness $H$ of the artesian aquifer is constant at 8 m , but its coefficient of permeability $k$ varies, being equal to (0.6) $10^{-3}$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ for a 500 m wide strip bordering the ditch and equal to (0.2) $10^{-3}$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ at greater distances inland.

What is the outflow of artesian water into the ditch? At what distance from the ditch does the artesian water table reach a level of 4.7 m above datum line?

Flow of groundwater in a leaky artesian aquifer - as shown in the picture at the right - may be described with the formulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi=C_{1} e^{-x / \lambda}+c_{2} e^{+x / \lambda}+h_{0} \\
& q=C_{1} \frac{k H}{\lambda} e^{-x / \lambda}-C_{2} \frac{k H}{\lambda} e^{+x / \lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

In case under consideration,
 however, k varies with distance, giving
$0<x<L \quad k_{1}=(0.6) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}, \quad \mathrm{k}_{1} H=(4.8) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$

$$
\lambda_{1}=\sqrt{(4.8) 10^{-3}(0.3) 10^{9}}=1200 \mathrm{~m}
$$

$L<x \quad k_{2}=(0.2) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}, \quad \mathrm{k}_{2} H=(1.6) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$

$$
\lambda_{2}=\sqrt{(1.6) 10^{-3}(0.3) 10^{9}}=693 \mathrm{~m}
$$

The flow equations thus become

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<x<L \quad \phi_{1} & =C_{1} e^{-x / \lambda_{1}}+C_{2} e^{+x / \lambda_{1}}+h_{0} \\
q_{1} & =C_{1} \frac{k_{1} H}{\lambda_{1}} e^{-x / \lambda_{1}}-C_{2} \frac{k_{1} H}{\lambda_{1}} e^{+x / \lambda_{1}} \\
L<x \quad \phi_{2} & =C_{3} e^{-x / \lambda_{2}}+C_{4} e^{+x / \lambda_{2}}+h_{0} \\
q_{2} & =C_{3} \frac{k_{2} H}{\lambda_{2}} e^{-x / \lambda_{2}}-C_{4} \frac{k_{2} H}{\lambda_{2}} e^{+x / \lambda_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The integration constants $C_{1}$ to $C_{4}$ inclusive follow from the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=0 \phi_{1}=\phi_{0}=C_{1}+C_{2}+h_{0} \\
& x=L \phi_{1}=\phi_{2} \quad \text { or } \quad C_{1} e^{-L / \lambda_{1}}+C_{2} e^{+L / \lambda_{1}}=C_{3} e^{-L / \lambda_{2}}+C_{4} e^{+L / \lambda_{2}} \\
& q_{1}=q_{2} \quad \text { or } C_{1} \frac{k_{1}}{\lambda_{1}} e^{-L / \lambda_{1}}-C_{2} \frac{k_{1}}{\lambda_{1}} e^{+L / \lambda_{1}}= \\
&=C_{3} \frac{k_{2}}{\lambda_{2}} e^{-L / \lambda_{2}}-C_{4} \frac{k_{2}}{\lambda_{2}} e^{+L / \lambda_{2}} \\
& x \rightarrow \infty \phi_{2}=h_{0} \quad \text { or } C_{4}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

With the data as mentioned above

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1}+c_{2} & =-3 \\
c_{1}+2.30 c_{2} & =0.734 c_{3} \\
c_{1}-2.30 c_{2} & =0.422 c_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which follows $C_{1}=-2.68, C_{2}=-0.32$ and $C_{3}=-4.64$
The outflow of artesian water into the ditch thus equals

$$
-q_{01}=-C_{1} \frac{k_{1} H}{\lambda_{1}}+C_{2} \frac{k_{1} H}{\lambda_{2}}=\left(-C_{1}+C_{2}\right) \frac{k_{1} H}{\lambda_{1}}
$$

$-q_{01}=(2.68-0.32) \frac{(4.8) 10^{-3}}{1200}=(9.4) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec}$
When it is provisionally assumed that the value $\phi=4.7 \mathrm{~m}$ is reached at $x>L$, this value follows from

$$
4.7=-4.64 e^{-x / 693}+5.00 \text { or }
$$

$$
e^{-x / 693}=\frac{0.30}{4.64}=0.0646, \quad x=(2.74)(693)=1900 \mathrm{~m}
$$

which value is indeed larger than $\mathrm{L}=500 \mathrm{~m}$.
2.05 A leaky artesian aquifer of infinite extent has a thickness $H$ of 15 m , a coefficient of permeability k equal to $(0.4) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, is situated above an impervious base and is covered by a semi-pervious layer. Above this semi-pervious layer phreatic water is present with a constant and uniform level rising to 30.0 m above the impervious base.

From the leaky artesian aquifer groundwater is abstracted by means of two parallel ditches with an interval $L$ of 1800 m . The water levels in the two ditches rise to 20.0 and 22.0 m above the impervious base respectively, while between the ditches the maximum artesian water table equals 24.0 m above the impervious base.

What is the resistance $c$ of the semi-pervious layer against vertical water movement?

The one-dimensional flow of groundwater in a leaky artesian aquifer can be described with
$\phi=C_{1} e^{-x / \lambda}+C_{2} e^{+x / \lambda}+h$ wi.th $\lambda=\sqrt{\mathrm{kHc}}$

According to the data provided
$x=0, \phi=20=c_{1}+c_{2}+30$

$c_{1}+c_{2}=-10$
$x=1800, \phi=22=C_{1} e^{-1800 / \lambda}+C_{2} e^{+1800 / \lambda}+30 C_{1} e^{-1800 / \lambda}+C_{2} e^{+1800 / \lambda}=-8\left(2^{2}\right.$
At the site of the maximum water level, $\frac{d \phi}{d x}=0$
$0=-\frac{C_{1}}{\lambda} e^{-x / \lambda}+\frac{C_{2}}{\lambda} e^{+x / \lambda}$ or $e^{x / \lambda}=\sqrt{\frac{C_{1}}{C_{2}}}$. Substituted in the formula
for $\phi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
24=c_{1} \sqrt{\frac{c_{2}}{C_{1}}}+c_{2} \sqrt{\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}}}+30 \text { or } d \sqrt{c_{1} c_{2}}=-6, c_{1} c_{2}=9 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives
(1') $c_{1}+\frac{9}{c_{1}}=-10, c_{1}^{2}+10 c_{1}+9=0 \quad c_{1}=-\frac{10}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{100-36}=-5 \pm 4$

$$
c_{1}=-1, c_{2}=-9 \quad \text { and } c_{1}=-9, c_{2}=-1
$$

Substituted in (2) with $e^{1800 / \lambda}=z$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
-\frac{1}{z}-9 . z=-8 & -\frac{9}{z}-z=-8 \\
9 z^{2}-8 z+1=0 & z^{2}-8 z+9=0 \\
z=\frac{8}{18} \pm \frac{1}{18} \sqrt{64-36} & z=\frac{8}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{64-36} \\
z_{1}=0.738, \lambda=-5936 \mathrm{~m} & z_{1}^{\prime}=6.646, \lambda=950 \mathrm{~m} \\
z_{2}=0.150, \lambda=-950 \mathrm{~m} & z_{2}^{\prime}=1.354, \lambda=5936 \mathrm{~m}
\end{array}
$$

With $c=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{k H}$ and $k H=(0.4) 10^{-3}(15)=(6) 10^{-3}$
$c=\frac{(950)^{2}}{(6) 10^{-3}}=(0.1505) 10^{9} \mathrm{sec}=4.77$ years
$c=\frac{(5936)^{2}}{(6) 10^{-3}}=(5.872) 10^{9} \mathrm{sec}=186$ years

With a resistance of 186 years, the recharge of the leaky artesian aquifer from above would be extremely small and the possibilities of groundwater recovery next to negligible. The correct value consequently is $c=4.77$ years .
2.11 Two parallel fully penetrating ditches have equal waterlevels of 5 m above the impervious base. The unconfined aquifer in -between has a width of 300 m and a coefficient of permeability $k$ equal to ( 0.25 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. Due to evapo-transpiration the aquifer loses water in an amount of (0.12) $10^{-6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the lowest level of the ground-water table?

With the notations as indicated in the figure at the right, the equations of flow become
Darcy

$$
q=-k h \frac{d h}{d x}
$$

continuity $q=-E x$
combined $h d h=\frac{E}{k} x d x$

integrated between the limits $x=0, h=h_{0}$ and $x=I, h=H$

$$
H^{2}-h_{0}^{2}=\frac{E}{k} L^{2}
$$

With the data supplied

$$
\begin{aligned}
25-h_{0}^{2} & =\frac{(0.12) 10^{-6}}{(0.25) 10^{-3}}(150)^{2} \\
h_{0}^{2} & =25-10.8=14.2, \quad h_{0}=3.77 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

2.12 An unconfined aquifer is situated above an impervious base and is composed of sand with a coefficient of permeability $k$ equal to $(0.15) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. In this aquifer two fully penetrating ditches form a strip of land with a constant width of 1200 m . The water levels in the ditches rise to 18 and 20 m above the impervious base respectively, while the recharge by rainfall minus evapo-transpiration losses $P$ amounts to (23) $10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the outflow of groundwater to both ditches and what is the maximum elevation of the groundwater table?

Going out from the picture at the right, the equations of flow may be written as

Darcy $\quad q=-k h \frac{d h}{d x}$
continuity $\frac{d q}{d x}=P$ or $q=P x+C_{1}$
combined $h d h=-\frac{P}{k} x d x-\frac{C_{1}}{k} d x$


With the values of $P$ and $k$ as mentioned above, the boundary conditions give

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =0, h=18 ; \quad(18)^{2}=C_{2} \text { or } C_{2}=324 \\
x & =1200, h=20 \\
(20)^{2} & =-\frac{(23) 10^{-9}}{(0.15) 10^{-3}}(1200)^{2}-\frac{2 C_{1}}{(0.15) 10^{-3}}(1200)+324
\end{aligned}
$$

from which follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=-(18.7) 10^{-6} \\
& q=(23) 10^{-9} \times-(18.7) 10^{-6}
\end{aligned}
$$

The outflow of groundwater in the ditches occurs at

$$
x=0,-q=(18.7) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=1200, \quad q & =(23) 10^{-9}(1200)-(18.7) 10^{-6} \text { or } \\
q & =(27.6) 10^{-6}-(18.7) 10^{-6}=(8.9) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

Inside the strip of land the groundwater table reaches its maximum elevation at the water divide. Here $q=0$ or

$$
0=(23) 10^{-9} x-(18.7) 10^{-6} \quad, x=812 \mathrm{~m}
$$

This gives as water table elevation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{2}=-\frac{(23) 10^{-9}}{(0.15) 10^{-3}}(812)^{2}+\frac{(2)(18.7) 10^{-6}}{(0.15) 10^{-3}}(812)+324 \\
& h^{2}=-101+203+324=426, \quad h=20.7 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

2.13 An unconfined aquifer is situated above a semi-pervious layer and is composed of sand with a coefficient of permeability $k$ equal to (0.15) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. In this aquifer two fully penetrating ditches form a strip of land with a constant width of 1200 m . The water levels in the ditches rise to 18 and 20 m above the semi-pervious layer respectively, while the recharge by rainfall minus the losses due to evapotiranspiration $P$ amounts to (23) $10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$.

The resistance $c$ of the semi-pervious layer against vertical water movement amounts to (320) $10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$. Below this layer artesian water is present with a constant and uniform level $\phi$ rising to 17.5 m above the base of the unconfined aquifer.

What is the outflow of groundwater to both ditches and what is the maximum elevation of the groundwater table?

When for the unconfined aquifer above the semi-pervious layer a constant coefficient of transmissibility kH may be assumed, the groundwater flow in this aquifer is governed by
$h=C_{1} e^{-x / \lambda}+C_{2} \cdot e^{+x / \lambda}+P c+\phi$ $q=C_{1} \frac{k H}{\lambda} e^{-x / \lambda}-C_{2} \frac{k H}{\lambda} e^{+x / \lambda}$ with
$\lambda=\sqrt{\mathrm{kHC}}$ and the integration constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ to be determined from the boundary conditions.
When the average saturated thickness of the aquifer is provisionally estimated at 19 m , the geo-hydrologic constants become

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{kH} & =(0.15) 10^{-3}(19)=(2.85) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec} \\
\lambda & =\sqrt{(2.85) 10^{-3}(320) 10^{6}}=955 \mathrm{~m} \\
\mathrm{Pc} & =(23) 10^{-9}(320) 10^{6}=7.36 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitution of the boundary conditions gives
$x=0 \quad, h=18=C_{1}+C_{2}+7.36+17.5$
$x=1200, h=20=C_{1} e^{-1200 / 955}+C_{2} e^{+1200 / 955}+7.36+17.5$ Simplified

$$
-6.86=c_{1}+c_{2}
$$

$$
-4.86=\frac{C_{1}}{3.51}+(3.51) C_{2} \text { from which follows }
$$

$$
c_{1}=-5.96 \quad c_{2}=-0.90
$$

This gives as rate of flow

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q=-(5.96) \frac{(2.85) 10^{-3}}{955} e^{-x / 955}+(0.90) \frac{(2.85) 10^{-3}}{955} e^{+x / 955} \\
& q=-(17.8) 10^{-6} e^{-x / 955}+(2.7) 10^{-6} e^{+x / 955}
\end{aligned}
$$

The outflow of groundwater in both ditches thus become
$x=0, \quad-q=+(17.8) 10^{-6}-(2.7) 10^{-6}=(15.1) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec}$ $x=1200, q=-(17.8) 10^{-6} e^{-1200 / 955}+(2.7) 10^{-6} e^{+1200 / 955}=$

$$
=(4.4) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

Inside the strip of land the groundwater table reaches its maximum elevation at the water divide. Here $q=0$ or

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =-(17.8) 10^{-6} \cdot e^{-x / 955}+(2.7) 10^{-6} e^{+x / 955} \\
e^{2 x / 955} & =\frac{17.8}{2.7}=6.60=e^{1.89} \text { or } x=\frac{(1.89)(955)}{2}=902 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h=-5.96 e^{-902 / 955}-0.90 e^{+902 / 955}+7.36+17.5 \\
& h=-2.32-2.31+7.36+17.5=20.2 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculations made above in the meanwhile, are based on the assumption that the average saturated thickness $H$ of the unconfined aquifer amounts to 19 m . To check this assumption, the loss
of water downward through the semi-pervious layer will be caiculated. On one hand this loss equals the recharge by rainfall minus the outflows to the sides

$$
q_{i}=(23) 10^{-9}(1200)-(15.1) 10^{-6}-(4.4) 10^{-6}=(8.1) 10^{-6}
$$

With $\Delta$ as difference in water level above and below the semi-pervious layer, this loss on the other hand equals

$$
q_{i}=\frac{\Delta}{c} L=\frac{\Delta}{(320) 10^{6}}(1200)=\frac{\Delta}{(0.267) 10^{6}}
$$

Equality of both losses gives

$$
\Delta=(8.1) 10^{-6}(0.267) 10^{6}=2.16 \mathrm{~m}
$$

With the artesian water table at 17.5 m , the average water table depth in the unconfined aquifer above the semi-pervious layer equals

$$
H=17.5+2.16=19.7 \mathrm{~m}
$$

or $4 \%$ more as the assumed value of 19 m . This difference, however, is still so small that recalculation is hardly required.
-
2.14

A semi-infinite unconfined aquifer is situated above a horizontal impervious base and bounded by a fully penetrating ditch. The water level in the ditch is constant at 20.0 m above the base, while the groundwater table rises to 22.0 and 29.0 m above the base at distances of 500 and 3000 m from the ditch respectively. The outflow of groundwater into the ditch equals (24) $10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}$.

How large is the average groundwater recharge $P$ by rainfall? What is the value of the coefficient of permeability $k$ of the aquifer?

With the notations of the figure at the right, the equations of flow may be written as

Darcy $\quad q=k h \frac{d h}{d x}$
continuity $q=q_{0}-P x$
combined hdh $=\frac{q_{o}}{k} d x-\frac{P}{k} x d x$

integrated $h^{2}=\frac{2 q}{k} x-\frac{P}{k} x^{2}+C$
With the boundary conditions $x=0$,

$$
h=H, H^{2}=r \quad \text { or }
$$

$$
h^{2}=H^{2}+\frac{2 q_{0}}{k} x-\frac{P}{k} x^{2} \text { the water table elevations at } x=
$$

500 m and $\mathrm{x}=3000 \mathrm{~m}$ thus become

$$
\begin{aligned}
(22)^{2} & =(20)^{2}+\frac{(48) 10^{-6}}{k}(500)-\frac{P}{k}(500)^{2} \\
\text { or } P & =(96) 10^{-9}-(336) 10^{-6} k \\
(29)^{2} & =(20)^{2}+\frac{(48) 10^{-6}}{k}(3000)-\frac{P}{k}(3000)^{2} \\
P & =(16) 10^{-9}-(49) 10^{-6} k
\end{aligned}
$$

from which equations follows

$$
\mathrm{k}=(0.28) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}, \quad P=(2.3) 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

A semi-infinite unconfined aquifer is situated above a semi-pervious layer and bounded by a fully penetrating ditch with a constant and uniform water level at 25 m above the top of the semi-pervious layer. The unconfined aquifer has a coefficient of permeability $k$ equal to $(0.16) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ and is recharged by rainfall P in an amount of ( 50 ) $10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. The semi-pervious layer has a resistance $c$ of (80) $10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$ against vertical water movement. Below this semi-pervious layer artesian water is present. The artesian water table is uniform and constant at 23.5 m above the top of the semi-pervious layer.

At a distance of 300 m parallel to the ditch a circular gallery with an outside diameter of 0.4 m is constructed, with its centre 12 m above the top of the semi-pervious layer. From this gallery groundwater is abstracted in a constant amount of (65) $10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the drawdown at the face of the gallery and what is the remaining water table depth at 1 km from the ditch? Which part of the groundwater abstraction is taken from the ditch?

Before pumping the gallery, the water table elevation $h$ and the rate of flow $q$ in the unconfined aquifer are given by
$h=C_{1} e^{-x / \lambda}+C_{2} e^{+x / \lambda}+P c+\phi$
$q=C_{1} \frac{\sqrt{2 d e x}}{\lambda} e^{-x / \lambda}-C_{2} \frac{k H}{\bar{\lambda}} e^{+x / \lambda}$ with
$\lambda=\sqrt{\mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{H}}$


The values of the integration constant $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ follow from the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { at } x=0, \quad h=H=C_{1}+C_{2}+P c+\phi \\
& \text { at } x \text { to infinity, } h \text { remains finite or } C_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

With the data as given

$$
\begin{aligned}
25 & =C_{1}+(50) 10^{-9}(80) 10^{6}+23.5=C_{1}+27.5, C_{1}=-2.50 \\
\lambda & =\sqrt{(0.16) 10^{-3}(25)(80) 10^{6}}=565 \mathrm{~m} \quad \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
h=-2.50 e^{-x / 565}+27.5 \text { This gives at the location of }
$$

the gallery $x=L=300 \mathrm{~m}$ as water table depth before pumping

$$
h_{0}=-2.5 e^{-300 / 565}+27.5=-1.47+27.5=26.0 \mathrm{~m}
$$

During pumping, the aquifer may be subdivided in 2 parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<x<L \\
& h^{\prime}=C_{1}^{\prime} e^{-x / \lambda}+C_{2}^{\prime} e^{+x / \lambda}+P c+\phi \\
& q^{\prime}=C_{1}^{\prime} \frac{k H}{\lambda} e^{-x / \lambda}-C_{2}^{\prime \frac{k H}{\lambda} e^{+x / \lambda} \text { with as boundary conditions }} \\
& x=0, h^{\prime}=H=C_{1}^{\prime}+C_{2}^{\prime}+P C+\phi \\
& L<x<\infty \\
& h^{\prime \prime}=C_{1}^{\prime \prime} e^{-x / \lambda}+C_{2}^{\prime \prime} e^{+x / \lambda}+P c+\phi \\
& q^{\prime \prime}=C_{1}^{\prime \prime} \frac{k H}{\lambda} e^{-x / \lambda}-C_{2}^{\prime \prime} \frac{k H}{\lambda} e^{+x / \lambda} \text { with as boundary conditions } \\
& x \rightarrow \infty, h^{\prime \prime} \text { remains finite or } C_{2}^{\prime \prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

At $x=L$ the boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{\prime}=h^{\prime \prime} \quad C_{1}^{\prime} e^{-L / \lambda}+C_{2}^{\prime} e^{+L / \lambda}+P c+\phi=C_{1}^{\prime \prime} e^{-L / \lambda}+P c+\phi \\
& q^{\prime}-q^{\prime \prime}=q_{0} \quad C_{1}^{\prime} \frac{k H}{\lambda} e^{-L / \lambda}-C_{2}^{\prime} \frac{k H}{\lambda} e^{+L / \lambda}-C_{1}^{\prime \prime} \frac{k H}{\lambda} e^{-L / \lambda}=q_{0} W i t h \\
& e^{+L / \lambda}=e^{+300 / 565}=1.70, \quad \frac{k H}{\lambda}=\frac{(0.16)\left(10^{-3}\right)(25)}{565}=(7.08) 10^{-6} \\
& 25=C_{1}^{\prime}+C_{2}^{\prime}+27.5 \quad \text { or } \quad C_{1}^{\prime}+C_{2}^{\prime}=-2.5 \\
& \frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{1.70}+1.70 C_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{C_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{1.70} \quad C_{1}^{\prime}+2.89 C_{2}^{\prime}-C_{1}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\
& \frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{1.70}-1.70 \quad C_{2}^{\prime}-\frac{C_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{1.70}=\frac{(65) 10^{-6}}{(7.08) 10^{-6}} \quad C_{1}^{\prime}-2.89 C_{2}^{\prime}-C_{1}^{\prime \prime}=15.6
\end{aligned}
$$

from which equations follows

$$
c_{1}^{\prime}=+0.20 \quad c_{2}^{\prime}=-2.70 \quad c_{1}^{\prime \prime}=-7.61
$$

At the face of the gallery, $x=L=300 \mathrm{~m}$, the water table elevation thus becomes

$$
h_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{0.20}{1.70}-(2.70)(1.70)+27.5=0.12-4.59+27.5=23.0 \mathrm{~m}
$$

giving as drawdown of the fully penetrating gallery

$$
s_{0}=h_{0}-h_{0}^{\prime}=26.0-23.0=3.0 \mathrm{~m}
$$

To this drawdown must be added the influence of partial penetration. With the gallery about halfway the saturated depth of the aquifer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta s_{0}=\frac{q_{0}}{2 \pi k} \ln \frac{H}{2 \pi r_{0}} \\
& \Delta s_{0}=\frac{(65) 10^{-6}}{2 \pi(0.16) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{25}{2 \pi(0.2)}=0.065 \ln 20=0.2 \mathrm{~m}, \text { together } \\
& s_{\mathrm{Q}}^{\prime}=s_{o}+\Delta s_{o}=3.0+0.2=3.2 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \text { At } \quad x=1 \mathrm{~km}=1000 \mathrm{~m} \text {, the water table depth during pumping } \\
& h^{\prime \prime}=-7.61 e^{-1000 / 565}+27.5=-1.3+27.5=26.2 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

To determine which part of the gallery yield is taken from the bounding ditch, the water balance for the strip of land between the gallery and the ditch must be determined. The flows as indicated in the picture at the right equal

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{r} & =P L=(50) 10^{-9}(300)= \\
& =(15) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{d}= & c_{1}^{\prime} \frac{\mathrm{kH}}{\lambda}-c_{2}^{\prime} \frac{\mathrm{kH}}{\lambda} \\
q_{d}= & (0.20)(7.08) 10^{-6}+(2.70)(7.08) 10^{-6}=(21) 10^{-6} \\
-q_{a}= & c_{1}^{\prime \prime} \frac{\mathrm{kH}}{\lambda} e^{-L / \lambda} \\
q_{a}= & (7.61)(7.08) 10^{-6} \frac{1}{1.70}=(32) 10^{-6} \\
q_{0}= & (65) 10^{-6} \\
q_{i}= & q_{r}+q_{d}+q_{a}-q_{0}=(15) 10^{-6}+(21) 10^{-6}+(32) 10^{-6}- \\
& -(65) 10^{-6}=(3) 10^{-6}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the inflow $q_{d}$ from the ditch at (21) $10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}$, an amount of (3) $10^{-6}$ will percolate downward through the semi-pervious layer to the artesian aquifer below, leaving (18) $10^{-6}$ to be abstracted by the gallery. The yield of the gallery thus consists for

$$
\frac{(18) 10^{-6}}{(65) 10^{-6}} 100 \text { or } 28 \% \text { of water derived from the ditch. }
$$

2.16 A strip of land with a constant width of 2000 m is situated between a fully penetrating ditch and an outcropping of impervious rocks. The geo-hydrological profile of this strip shows an unconfined aquifer above an impervious base with a coefficient of permeability k equal to $(0.24) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, recharged by rainfall in an amount of (18) $10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. The water level in the bounding ditch is constant at 20 m above the impervious base.

At a distance of 500 m parallel to the ditch a fully penetrating gallery is constructed and pumped at a constant rate of $(30) 10^{-6}$ $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the drawdown of the water table at the gallery and at the rock boundary?

Before pumping the gallery, the flow in the strip of land is governed by

Darcy $\quad q=-k h_{1} \frac{d h_{1}}{d x}$
continuity $\quad q=-P(L-x)$
combined $h_{1} d h_{1}=\frac{P L}{k} d x-\frac{P x}{k} d x$
integrated $h_{1}{ }^{2}=\frac{2 P L}{k} x-\frac{P}{k} x^{2}+C$

With the boundary condition $x=0, h_{1}=H, C=H^{2}$, substituted

$$
h_{1}^{2}-H^{2}=\frac{2 P L}{k} x-\frac{P}{k} x^{2}
$$



In the case under consideration

$$
h_{1}{ }^{2}-(20)^{2}=\frac{(2)(18) 10^{-9}(2000)}{(0.24) 10^{-3}} x-\frac{(18) 10^{-9}}{(0.24) 10^{-3}} x^{2} \quad \text { or }
$$

$$
h_{1}^{2}=400+\frac{x}{3.33}-\left(\frac{x}{115}\right)^{2}
$$

$x=1=500 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~h}_{1}{ }^{2}=400+\frac{500}{3.33}-\left(\frac{500}{11.5}\right)^{2}=400+150-19=531, h_{1,1}=23.1 \mathrm{~m}$ $x=L=2000 \mathrm{~m}, h_{1}{ }^{2}=400+\frac{2000}{3.33}-\left(\frac{2000}{115}\right)^{2}=400+600-303=697, h_{1, L}=26.4 \mathrm{~m}$ During abstraction the equations of flow are
$\begin{array}{ll} & 0<x<l \\ \text { Darcy } & q=-k h_{2} \frac{d h_{2}}{d x}\end{array}$
continuity $\quad q=q_{0}-P(L-x)$
combined $h_{2} d h_{2}=-\frac{q_{0}}{k} d x+\frac{P L}{k} d x-\frac{P x}{k} d x$
intergrated between the limits $x=0, h_{2}=H$ and $x=1, h_{2}=h_{2,1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h}_{2,1}^{2}-\mathrm{H}^{2} & =-\frac{2 \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{O}}}{\mathrm{k}} 1+\frac{2 \mathrm{PL}}{\mathrm{k}} 1-\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{k}} 1^{2} \\
\mathrm{~h}_{2,1}^{2}-(20)^{2} & =-\frac{(2)(30) 10^{-6}}{(0.24) 10^{-3}}(500)+\frac{500}{3.33}-\left(\frac{500}{115}\right)^{2} \\
\mathrm{~h}_{2,1}^{2} & =400-125+150-19=406, \mathrm{~h}_{0}=20.1 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The drawdown at the face of the gallery thus becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=h_{1,1}-h_{2,1}=23.1-20.1=3.0 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 1<x<L
\end{aligned}
$$

Darcy

$$
q=-k h_{2} \frac{d h_{2}}{d x}
$$

continuity

$$
q=-P(L-x)
$$

combined $h_{2} d h_{2}=\frac{P L}{k} d x-\frac{P x}{k} d x$
integrated between the limits $x=1, h=h_{2,1}$ and $x=L, h_{2, L}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{2, L}^{2}-h_{2,1}^{2} & =\frac{2 P L}{k}(L-1)-\frac{P}{k}\left(L^{2}-I^{2}\right) \\
h_{2, L}^{2}-406 & =\frac{1500}{3.33}-\left(\frac{2000}{115}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{500}{115}\right)^{2} \\
h_{2 L}^{2} & =406+450-303+19=572, \quad h_{2 L}=23.9 \mathrm{~m} \text { and } \\
s_{L} & =h_{1, L}-h_{2, L}=26.4-23.9=2.5 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$


2.17 An unconfined aquifer is situated above an impervious base and is composed of sand with a coefficient of permeability $k$ equal to (0.3) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. In this aquifer two fully penetrating ditches form a strip of land with a constant width of 1500 m . The water level in the left-hand ditch rises to 20 m above the impervious base, the water level in the right-hand ditch to 22 m , while the maximum groundwater table elevation inside the strip equals 25 m above the base.

What is the value of the recharge $P$ by rainfall?

With the notations as indicated in the figure on the right, the equations of flow may be written as

Darcy

$$
q=-k h \frac{d h}{d x}
$$

Continuity $\quad \frac{d q}{d x}=P$ or $q=P x+C_{1}$

combined $\quad h d h=-\frac{P}{k} x d x-\frac{C_{1}}{k} d x$
integrated $\quad h^{2}=-\frac{P}{k} x^{2}-\frac{2 C_{1}}{k} \cdot x+C_{2}$
Substitution of the boundary conditions gives with the known value of k

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x=0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~h}=20 \mathrm{~m} & 400=\mathrm{c}_{2} \\
x=1500 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~h}=22 \mathrm{~m} & 484=-(7.5) 10^{9} \mathrm{P}=(10) 10^{6} \mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Inside, the strip of land, the highest groundwater level occurs at the water divide. Here $q=0$ or $x=\frac{C_{1}}{P}$
$x=-\frac{C_{1}}{P}, h=25 m$

$$
625=-\frac{C_{1}^{2}}{(0.3) 10^{-3} p}+\frac{2 C_{1}^{2}}{(0.3) 10^{-3} p}+C_{2}
$$

Elimination of $C_{2}=400$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 84=-(7.5) 10^{9} P-(10) 10^{6} C_{1} \\
& 225=\frac{C_{1}^{2}}{(0.3) 10^{-3} P}, C_{1}^{2}=(67.5) 10^{-3} P, C_{1}=-0.2598 \sqrt{P} \\
& P-(0.3464) 10^{-3} \sqrt{P}+(11.2) 10^{-9}=0 \\
& \sqrt{P}=+\frac{(0.3464) 10^{-3}}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(0.3464)^{2} 10^{-6}-(4)(11.2) 10^{-9}} \\
& \sqrt{P}=+(0.1732) 10^{-3}+(0.1371) 10^{-3}=(0,3103) 10^{-3} \\
& P=(96.3) 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}=3.04 \mathrm{~m} / \text { jear }
\end{aligned}
$$

2.18 An unconfined aquifer is situated above a horizontal impervious base and is recharged by residual rainfall $P$ in an amount of (30) $10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. In this aquifer two fully penetrating ditches form a strip of land with a constant width of 1600 m . The waterlevels in both ditches are the same, rising to 20 m above the impervious base, while in the centre of the strip of land the water table attains a height of 25 m above the base.
Questions:
a. What is the value of the coefficient of permeability?
b. What is the maximum lowering of the groundwater table when in the centre of the strip of land a fully penetrating gallery is pumped at a constant rate of (24) $10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1}$, sec? .

With the notations as indicated in the picture on the right, the equations of flow become

Darcy

$$
q=-\operatorname{kh} \frac{d h}{d x}
$$


continuity $\quad \frac{d q}{d x}=P$ or $q=P x+C_{1}$

combined
$h d h=-\frac{P}{k} x d x-\frac{C_{1}}{k} d x$
integrated $\quad h^{2}=-\frac{P}{k} x^{2}-\frac{2 C_{1}}{k}+C_{2}$
Substitution of the boundary conditions gives
$x=0, h=25 m \quad 625=c_{2}$
$x=800, h=20 \mathrm{~m} \quad 400=-\frac{(19.2) 10^{-3}}{k}-\frac{1500 c_{1}}{k}+c_{2}$
$x=0, q=0 \quad 0=c_{1}$
or after elimination of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$

$$
400=-\frac{(19.2) 10^{-3}}{k}+625, k=\frac{(19.2) 10^{-3}}{225}=(85.3) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

With groundwater abstraction by a fully penetrating gallery in the centre, the equation of flow remains the same and only the boundary conditions change
$x=0 \quad q=-(12) 10^{-6}=c_{1}$

$x=800 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}=20 \mathrm{~m}, 400=-225+(18.76) 10^{6} \mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$
This gives $C_{1}=-(12) 10^{-6}, c_{2}=400$
The maximum lowering of the groundwater table occurs in the centre, at $\mathrm{x}=0$

$$
h^{2}=c_{2}=400 \quad, h=20.0 \mathrm{~m} \quad, s_{0}=5 \mathrm{~m}
$$

An unconfined aquifer is situated above an impervious base and has a recharge by residual rainfall $P$ equal to (25) $10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. In this aquifer two parallel and fully penetrating ditches form a strip of land with a constant width $L$ of 1600 m : The water levels in both ditches are the same at 20.0 m above the impervious base, while in the centre of the strip of land the groundwater table rises to 24.0 m above the base.

It is planned to construct a fully penetrating gallery at a distance $1=200 \mathrm{~m}$ parallel to the left-hand ditch and to abstract from this gallery groundwater in an amount of ( 0.08 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{sec}$.

What will be the remaining water table depth at the gallery and how much water from the bounding ditches will be induced to enter the aquifer?

To determine the coefficient of permeability of the aquifer, the existing situation must first be analysed. With the notations of the picture at the right, the equations of flow may be written as

Darcy $\quad q=-\operatorname{kh} \frac{d h}{d x}$


combined $\quad h d h=-\frac{P}{k} x d x-\frac{C_{1}}{k} d x$
integrated $\quad h^{2}=-\frac{P}{k} x^{2}-\frac{2 C_{1}}{k} x+C_{2}$
Substitution of the boundary conditions gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=0 \quad, h=20 \mathrm{~m} \\
& x=800 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~h}=24 \mathrm{~m} \\
& x=800 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{q}=0 \\
& \text { from which follows }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
400 & =C_{2} \\
576 & =-\frac{(25) 10^{-9}}{k}(800)^{2}-\frac{2 C_{1}}{k}(800)+C_{2} \\
0 & =(25) 10^{-9}(800)+C_{1} \\
C_{1} & =-(20) 10^{-6}, C_{2}=400 \text { and } \\
576 & =-\frac{(16) 10^{-3}}{k}+\frac{(32) 10^{-3}}{k}+400 \\
\frac{(16) 10^{-3}}{k} & =176, k=\frac{(16) 10^{-3}}{176}=(91) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

With abstraction, the equa-
tion of flow are exactly the same. With other boundary conditions, however, the integration $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ will also have other values.
This gives
$0<x<1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
q & =P x+C_{1}^{\prime} \\
h^{2} & =-\frac{P}{k} x^{2}-\frac{2 C_{1}^{\prime}}{k} x+C_{2}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

and with the boundary conditions

$x=0 \quad, h=20 \quad 400=c_{2}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}_{0}^{2}=-\frac{(25) 10^{-9}}{(91) 10^{-6}}(200)^{2}-\frac{2 C_{1}^{\prime}}{(91) 10^{-6}}(200)+C_{2}^{\prime} \\
& q_{1}^{\prime}=(25) 10^{-9}(200)+c_{1}^{\prime} \text { or } \\
& h_{0}^{2}=389.01-(4.396) 10^{6} C_{1}^{\prime} \\
& q_{1}^{\prime}=(5) 10^{-6}+c_{1}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$1<x<L$

$$
\begin{aligned}
q & =P x+C_{1}^{\prime \prime} \\
h^{2} & =-\frac{P}{k} x^{2}-\frac{2 C_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{k} x+C_{2}^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

and with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=200, \quad h=h_{0} \quad h_{0}^{2}=-\frac{(25) 10^{-9}}{(91) 10^{-6}}(200)^{2}-\frac{2 C_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{(91) 10^{-6}}(200)+C_{2}^{\prime \prime} \\
& x=1600, h=20 \quad 400=-\frac{(25) 10^{-9}}{(91) 10^{-6}}(1600)^{2}-\frac{2 C_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{(91) 10^{-6}}(1600)+C_{2}^{\prime \prime} \\
& x=200, \quad q=q_{1}^{\prime \prime} \quad q_{-}^{\prime \prime}=(25) 10^{-9}(200)+c_{1}^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { or } \\
& n_{0}^{2}=1092.31+(30.769) 10^{6} \mathrm{C}_{1}^{\prime \prime} \\
& q_{1}^{\prime \prime}=(5) 10^{-6}+c_{1}^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $x=1$, both values of $h_{0}^{2}$ must be the same

$$
389.01-(4.396) 10^{6} C_{1}^{\prime}=1092.31+(30.769) 10^{6} C_{1}^{\prime \prime}
$$

while the abstraction equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{0}= & (0.08) 10^{-3}=q_{1}^{\prime}-q_{1}^{\prime \prime}=(5) 10^{-6}+c_{1}^{\prime}-(5) 10^{-6}-C_{1}^{\prime \prime} \text { or } \\
& C_{1}^{\prime}+7 C_{1}^{\prime \prime}=-(160) 10^{-6} \\
& C_{1}^{\prime}-C_{1}^{\prime \prime}=(0.08) 10^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which follows
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}^{\prime}=(50) 10^{-6} \\
& c_{1}^{\prime \prime}=-(30) 10^{-6} \\
& h_{0}^{2}=389.01-(4.396) 10^{6}(50) 10^{-6}=169.21, h_{0}=13.00 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The inflow from the left-hand ditch equals
$x=0 \quad q=P x+C_{1}^{\prime}=C_{1}^{\prime}=(50) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{sec}$
and the outflow into the right-hand ditch
$x=1600 \quad q=P x+C_{q}^{\prime \prime}=(25) 10^{-9}(1600)-(30) 10^{-6}=(10) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime}, \mathrm{sec}$.
2.21 An unconfined aquifer of infinite extend is situated above a horizontal impervious base. The coefficient of transmissibility kH of this aquifer amounts to (9) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, its specific yield $\mu$ to $20 \%$.

In this aquifer a fully penetrating ditch is constructed. At $t=0$ the water level in this ditch is lowered suddenly by 3.5 m . How much groundwater flows into this ditch during a 2 month period? What is at the end of this period the rate of flow and the drawdown in a point 500 m from the ditch?

The outflow of groundwater into. the ditch equals

$$
2 q_{0}=\frac{2 s_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\mu k H} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}
$$

Over a period $T$ it sums up to


$$
\Sigma 2 q_{0}=\int_{0}^{T} \frac{2 s_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\mu k H} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} d t=\frac{4 s_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\mu k H} \sqrt{T}
$$

With $T=2$ month $=61$ days $=(5.26) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$

$$
\Sigma 2 q_{0}=\frac{(4)(3.5)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{(0.20)(9) 10^{-3}} \sqrt{(5.26) 10^{6}}=770 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime}
$$

At a point $x=500 \mathrm{~m}$ from the ditch, drawdown and flow are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=s_{0} E_{1}, q=q_{0} E_{2} \text { with } E_{1} \text { and } E_{2} \text { function of the parameter } u \\
& u=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{k H}} \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} \text { At } t=2 \text { months }=(5.26) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec} \\
& q_{0}=\frac{s_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\mu k H} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}=\frac{3.5}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{(0.20)(9) 10^{-3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(5.26) 10^{6}}} \text { or } \\
& q_{0}=(36.5) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec} \\
& u=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{0.20}{(9) 10^{-3}}} \frac{500}{\sqrt{(5.26) 10^{6}}}=0.514
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}(0.514)=0.467 \\
& s=(3.5)(0.467)=1.63 \mathrm{~m}, \quad q=(36.5) 10^{-6}(0.768) \text { or } \\
& q=(28.0) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

2.22 An unconfined aquifer of infinite extend is situated above a horizontal impervious base. The coefficient of transmissibility kH of this aquifer amounts to (18) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$, its specific yield $\mu$ to $25 \%$.

Starting at $t=0$ groundwater is abstracted from this aquifer by means of a fully penetrating ditch (of negligeable width) in an amount of $(30) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}$. At $t=10$ days this abstraction is suddenly increased to (50) $10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the lowering of the water level in the ditch at $t=30$ days and what is at this moment the drawdown at a distance of 100 m from the ditch?

With the notations as indicated in the figure at the right, a sudden increase in the capacity of the gallery results in drawdowns given by
 $x=0 \quad s_{0}=\frac{2 q_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu k F}} \sqrt{t}$ $x=x \quad s=s_{0} E_{3}$ with $E_{3}$
function of the parameter

$$
u=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{k H}} \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}
$$

The pattern of abstraction may be schematized as indi-
 cated in the diagram above, giving for $t>\Delta t$ as lowering of the water level in the ditch

$$
s_{0}=\frac{2 q_{01}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu k H}} \sqrt{t}+\frac{2 q_{02}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu k H}} \sqrt{t-\Delta t}
$$

With the data under consideration and

$$
t=30 \text { days }=(2.59) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}, \Delta t=10 \text { days }=(0.86) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}
$$

this lowering becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0}= & \frac{(30) 10^{-6}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(0.25)(18) 10^{-3}}} \sqrt{(2.59) 10^{6}}+\frac{(20) 10^{-6}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \\
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{(0.25)(18) 10^{-3}}} \sqrt{(1.73) 10^{6}} \\
s_{0}= & 0.41+0.22=0.63 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

At the same moment but at a distance $x=100 \mathrm{~m}$ from the ditch, the parameters u become

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1} & =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{0.25}{(18) 10^{-3}}} \frac{100}{\sqrt{(2.59) 10^{6}}}=0.116 \\
u_{2} & =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{0.25}{(18) 10^{-3}}} \frac{100}{\sqrt{(1.73) 10^{6}}}=0.142, \text { giving as drawdown } \\
s_{100} & =(0.41) E_{3}(0.116)+(0.22) E_{3}(0.142) \\
s_{100} & =(0.41)(0.808)+(0.22)(0.768)=0.33+0.17=0.50 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

A semi-infinite unconfined aquifer is situated above an impervious base and bounded by a fully penetrating ditch with a constant and uniform waterlevel. The coefficient of permeability $k$ of the aquifer amounts to ( 0.25 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, the saturated thickness $H$ to 8 m and the specific yield $\mu$ to $15 \%$.

At a distance of 50 m parallel to the ditch a gallery is constructed, the dimensions and position of which are shown in the picture at the right. Starting at $t=0$ water is abstracted from this gallery in an amount of (35) $10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} /$ day.

What is the lowering of the water level in the gallery after 10
 days and how much time must elapse before $90 \%$ of the steady state drawdown is obtained?

At the boundary between the aquifer and the ditch with constant water level, the drawdown due to pumping the gallery remains zero. Mathematically this can be obtained by projecting an imaginary recharge gallery of the same capacity an equatidistame at the lother side of the shore line.

The drawdown due to pumping a" fully penetrating gallery in an aquifer of infinite extent for a period of $t$ days equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0} & =\frac{q_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu k H}} \sqrt{t}, \quad s_{x}=s_{0} E_{3} \text { with } E_{3} \text { a function of } \\
u & =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{k H}} \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In case under consideration, the drawdown at the face of the gallery at time $t$ thus becomes

$$
s_{0}=\frac{q_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu k H}} \sqrt{t}\left\{1-E_{3}\left(u_{2 a}\right)\right\}
$$

With the data under consideration

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{2 a}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{0.15}{(0.25) 10^{-3}(8)}} \frac{(2)(50)}{\sqrt{t}}=\frac{433}{\sqrt{t}} \\
& s_{0}=\frac{(35) 10^{-6}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(0.15)(0.25) 10^{-3}(8)}} \sqrt{t}\left\{1-E_{3}\left(\frac{433}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right\} \\
& s_{0}=(1.14) 10^{-3} \sqrt{t}\left\{1-E_{3}\left(\frac{433}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right\} \\
& \text { At } t=10 \text { days }=(0.864) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec} \\
& s_{0}=\left(1.14 \sqrt{0.864}\left\{1-E_{3}\left(\frac{0.433}{\sqrt{0.864}}\right)\right\}=1.06\left\{1-E_{3}(0.466)\right\}\right. \\
& s_{0}=1.06(1-0.384)=0.65 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculations above in the meanwhile supposed a fully penetrating gallery. Due to partial penetration, an additional drawdown will result

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta s_{0} & =\frac{q_{0}}{\pi k} \ln \frac{H}{\Omega} \text { with } \Omega \text { as wetted circumference } \\
\Omega & =1.2+2(0.8)=2.8 \mathrm{~m} \\
\Delta s_{0} & =\frac{(35) 10^{-6}}{\pi(0.25) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{8}{2.8}=0.044 \ln 2.86=0.05 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

giving as total drawdown after 10 days

$$
s_{0}+\Delta s_{o}=0.65+0.05=0.70 \mathrm{~m}
$$

The steady state drawdown equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{\infty} & =\frac{q_{0}}{k H} a+\Delta s_{o}=\frac{(35) 10^{-6}}{(0.25) 10^{-3}(8)}(50)+0.05= \\
& =0.88+0.05=0.93 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$90 \%$ of this value or 0.84 m is reached at time $t$ determined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=0.84-0.05=(1.14) 10^{-3} \sqrt{t}\left\{1-E_{3}\left(\frac{433}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right\} \text { or } \\
& \frac{\sqrt{t}}{433}\left\{1-E_{3}\left(\frac{433}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right\}=1.60, \quad \text { from which follows } \\
& \frac{\sqrt{t}}{433}=5.8 \text { or } t=(6.3) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}=73 \text { days }
\end{aligned}
$$

2.24 An unconfined aquifer of infinite extent is situated above a horizontal impervious base. The coefficient of permeability $k$ of this aquifer amounts to $(0.48) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, the saturated thickness $H$ to 25 m and the specific yield $\mu$ to $30 \%$.

In this aquifer a ditch is constructed, the shape and dimensions of which are shown in the picture at the right. During a period of 1 month water is ab-
 stracted from this ditch in an amount of $(0.20) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the water level in the ditch at the end of the pumping period and 6 months after abstraction has stopped? What is the maximum lowering of the groundwater table in a point 500 m from the ditch?

When provisionnally the partially penetrating ditch is replaced by a fully penetrating gallery - as shown in the picture at the right, an abstraction $2 q_{0}$ stareing at $t=0$ will lower the watelodelyel in the galleny by


$$
s_{0}=\frac{2 q_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 \mathrm{ki} I}} \sqrt{t}
$$

In mathematical respect, cessation of pumping can only be obtained by superimposing a recharge of the same magnitude


$$
t>\Delta t \quad s_{0}=\frac{2 q_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu k H}} \sqrt{t}-\frac{2 q_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu k H}} \sqrt{t-\Delta t}
$$

With the data under consideration

$$
s_{0}=\frac{(0.20) 10^{-3}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(0.30)(0.48) 10^{-3}(25)}}(\sqrt{t}-\sqrt{t-\Delta t})
$$

$$
s_{0}=(1.88) 10^{-3}(\sqrt{t}-\sqrt{t-\Delta t})
$$

At the end of the pumping period

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t=1 \text { month }=(2.63) 10^{6} \text { sec, } t-\Delta t=0 \text { and } \\
& s_{0}=(1.88) 10^{-3} \sqrt{(2.63) 10^{6}}=3.05 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Six months after abstraction has stopped

$$
\begin{aligned}
t & =7 \text { months }=(18.4) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}, \\
t-\Delta t & =6 \text { months }=(15.8) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec} \\
s_{0} & =(1.88) 10^{-3}\left\{\sqrt{(18.4) 10^{6}}-\sqrt{(15.8) 10^{6}}\right\}= \\
& =(1.88)(4.29-3.97)=0.60 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

In reality, however, the ditch only partially penetrates the aquifer, from which circumstance an additional drawdown will result.

$$
\Delta s_{0}=\frac{2 q_{0}}{\pi k} \ln \frac{H-s_{0}}{\Omega} \text { with } \Omega \text { as wetted circumference. }
$$

With the total lowering $s_{0}+\Delta s_{o}$ estimated at 3.2 m , the remaining water table depth in the ditch equals 1.8 m , giving

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega & =2 \sqrt{5}(1.8)+2=10.1 \mathrm{~m} \\
\Delta s_{0} & =\frac{(0.20) 10^{-3}}{\pi(0.48) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{40-3.1}{10.1}=0.133 \ln 3.65=0.17 \mathrm{~m} \quad \text { or } \\
s_{0}+\Delta s_{0} & =3.05+0.17=3.2 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

When abstraction stops, the additional drawdown disappears and for the remaining drawdown after 6 months, no correction is necessary.

The abstraction of ( 0.2 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec}$ during 1 month $=(2.63) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$ ads up to $526 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime}$.

Part of this water in the meanwhile comes from the ditch itself. With the shaded area in the picture at the right equal to $50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}=50 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime}$, only $526-50=476 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime}$ comes from the aquifer proper. This reduces the drawdown after 1 month to about


$$
s_{o}^{\prime}=\frac{476}{526} 3.2=2.9 \mathrm{~m}
$$

In the same way the drawdown 6 months after abstraction has stopped must be reduced from 0.6 m to

$$
s_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{514}{526} 0.60=0.59 \mathrm{~m}, \text { the difference being negligeable. }
$$

In a point at a distance $x$ from the ditch, at a time $t>\Delta t$, the drawdown equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & =\frac{2 q_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu k H}}\left\{\sqrt{t} E_{3}\left(u_{t}\right)-\sqrt{t-\Delta t} E_{3}\left(u_{t}-\Delta t\right)\right\} \text { with } \\
u_{t} & =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{k H}} \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} \quad u_{t}-\Delta t=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{k H}} \frac{x}{\sqrt{t-\Delta t}} \\
E_{3}(\eta t \Delta) & =e^{-u^{2}}-\sqrt{\pi} u+2 u \int_{0}^{u} e^{-u^{2}} d u
\end{aligned}
$$

This drawdown reaches its maximum value for

$$
\frac{d s}{d t}=\frac{d s}{d u} \frac{d u}{d t}=0
$$

from which follows as time - distance relationship for maximum drawdown

$$
\frac{(t)(t-\Delta t)}{\Delta t} \ln \frac{t}{t-\Delta t}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu}{k H} x^{2}
$$

With $\Delta t=1$ month $=(2.63) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}, x=500 \mathrm{~m}$ and

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu}{k H} x^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{0.3}{(0.48) 10^{-3}(25)}(500)^{2}=(3.13) 10^{6}
$$

the time of maximum drawdown $t$ can be calculated at (4.79) $10^{6}$ sec or 1.82 months. At this moment

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{t} & =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{0.3}{(0.48) 10^{-3}(25)}} \frac{500}{\sqrt{(4.79) 10^{6}}}=0.572, E_{3}=0.297 \\
u_{t-\Delta t} & =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{0.3}{(0.48) 10^{-3}(25)}} \frac{500}{\sqrt{(2.16) 10^{6}}}=0.848, E_{3}=0.141
\end{aligned}
$$

With an effective abstraction of $476 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} /$ month $=(0.181) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}$ the maximum drawdown at a distance of 500 m from the gallery becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
s= & \frac{(0.181) 10^{-3}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(0.3)(0.48) 10^{-3}(25)}} \times \\
& \left\{\sqrt{(4.79) 10^{6}}(0.297)-\sqrt{(2.16) 10^{6}}(0.141)\right\} \\
s= & (1.70) 10^{-3}\left\{(0.65) 10^{3}-(0.21) 10^{3}\right\}=0.75 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

A semi-infinite unconfined aquifer is situated above an impervious base and bounded by a lake. The coefficient of permeability $k$ of the aquifer amounts to $(0.6) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, its specific yield $\mu$ to $23 \%$. To store water during autumn and winter, the lake level rises linearly from 16 to 20 m above the impervious base. This water is used during spring and summer, causing the lake level to drop linearly by the same amount.

What is the additional amount of groundwater storage obtained by the flow of lake water into and out from the aquifer?

When for $t<0$ the lake level is constant, while for $t \geqslant 0$ this level shows a linear rise

$$
s=\alpha t
$$

then the rate at which lake water enters the aquifer equals

$$
q=\frac{2 \alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\mu k H} \sqrt{t}
$$

With $t$ expressed in months ( 1 month $\left.=(2.63) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}\right)$ and the other factors as mentioned above

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{20-16}{(6)(2.63) 10^{6}} \sqrt{(0.23)(0.6) 10^{-3} \frac{20+16}{2}} \sqrt{(2.63) 10^{6} t} \\
& q=(0.231) 10^{-4} \sqrt{t} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the lakelevel variation as sketched at the right, the inflows thus become

$0<t<3$ months $q=0.231 \sqrt{t}$
$3<t<9 \quad q=0.231 \sqrt{t}-0.462 \sqrt{t-3}$
$9<t<12 \quad q=0.231 \sqrt{t}-0.462 \sqrt{t-3}+0.462 \sqrt{t-9}$
$12<t \quad q=0.231 \sqrt{t}-0.462 \sqrt{t-3}+0.462 \sqrt{t-9}-0.231 \sqrt{t-12}$

This gives

| t | $10^{4} \mathrm{q}$ | $t$ | $10^{4} \mathrm{q}$ | t | $10^{4} \mathrm{q}$ | t | $10^{4} q$ | t | $10^{4} \mathrm{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.000 | 12 | $+0.214$ | 24 | $+0.004$ | 36 | $+0.001$ | 0 | $+0.219$ |
| 1 | $+0.231$ | 13 | $+0.065$ | 25 | $+0.003$ | 37 | + 0.001 | 1 | $+0.300$ |
| 2 | $+0.327$ | 14 | +0.039 | 26 | $+0.003$ | 38 | $+0.001$ | 2 | $+0.370$ |
| 3 | $+0.401$ | 15 | $+0.026$ | 27 | $+0.002$ | 39 | + 0.001 | 3 | $+0.430$ |
| 4 | 0.000 | 16 | $+0.019$ | 28 | $+0.002$ | 40 | $+0.001$ | 4 | $+0.022$ |
| 5 | - 0.137 | 17 | $+0.014$ | 29 | $+0.002$ | 41 | $+0.001$ | 5 | - 0.120 |
| 6 | -0.234 | 18 | + 0.011 | 30 | $+0.002$ | 42 | $+0.001$ | 6 | - 0.220 |
| 7 | - 0.313 | 19 | $+0.009$ | 31 | $+0.002$ | 43 | $+0.001$ | 7 | - 0.301 |
| 8 | - 0.380 | 20 | $+0.007$ | 32 | $+0.001$ | 44 | $+0.001$ | 8 | -0.371 |
| 9 | - 0.439 | 21 | $+0.006$ | 33 | $+0.001$ | 45 | $+0.000$ | 9 | -0.432 |
| 10 | - 0.030 | 22 | $+0.005$ | 34 | $+0.001$ | 46 | $+0.000$ | 10 | - 0.024 |
| 11 | $+0.113$ | 23 | $+0.004$ | 35 | + 0.001 | 47 | $+0.000$ | 11 | $+0.118$ |
| 12 | $+0.214$ | 24 | $+0.004$ | 36 | $+0.001$ | 48 | $+0.000$ | 12 | + 0.219 |

In reality, however, the cycle is not restricted to one year, but it repeats itself indefinitely. Mathematically this can be taken into account by applying the method of superposition.

$$
q_{t}=q_{t}+q_{t+12}+q_{t+24}+q_{t+36}+\cdots \cdot
$$

The results are shown in the table above, at the far right. Due to unavoidable errors in calculation, the absolute value of the flows $q_{n}$ and $q_{n+6}$ are not exactly the same - as they ought to be - but the differences are negligeable small. Graphically the exchange between lake and aquifer is shown at page $2.25-\mathrm{c}$, from which follows

as total in- or outflow during a 6 months period

$$
\Sigma q=380 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime}
$$

This amount is equivalent to the lake storage over an additional width of 95 m !
2.26 An unconfined aquifer of infinite extent is situated above an impervious base. Its coefficient of transmissibility equals $0.08 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$, while its specific yield $\mu$ amounts to $40 \%$. In this aquifer 3 parallel galleries are constructed, at equal intervals of 600 m . The centre gallery is used for artificial recharge of the aquifer in an amount of (5) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{sec}$, while the same amount of water is recovered by the outer galleries.

What is the rise of the water table under the center ditch during steady-state operation and how far will this water leveldrop when recharge is interrupted for 6 weeks.while abstraction continues at the same rate?

For steady-state conditions, the equations of flow are

Darcy

$$
q=-k H \frac{d s}{d x}
$$

continuity $\quad q=q_{0}$
combined

$$
\mathrm{ds}=-\frac{\mathrm{q}_{0}}{\mathrm{kH}} \mathrm{dx}
$$

integrated

$$
s=-\frac{q_{0}}{k H} x+c_{1}
$$

boundary con- $x=L, s=0$
dj.tion

$$
0=-\frac{q}{k H} L+C_{1}
$$

combined $\quad s=\frac{q_{0}}{k H}(L-x)$,
and

$$
s_{0}=\frac{q_{0}}{k H} I
$$

With the data under consideration

$$
s_{o}=\frac{(2.5) 10^{-3}}{0.08} 600=18.75 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Mathematically spoken, interruption of recharge can easiest be accomplished by superimposing an abstraction of magnitude $2 q_{0}$ from the recharge ditch. This lowers the water level at the recharge ditch by

$$
s_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{2 q_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu \mathrm{KH}} \sqrt{t}}
$$

This gives after 6 weeks $=(3.63) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$

$$
s_{0}^{:}=\frac{(5) 10^{-3}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(0.4)(0.08)}} \sqrt{(3.63) 10^{6}}=30.05 \mathrm{~m}
$$

that is to $30.05-18.75=11.3 \mathrm{~m}$ below the original groundwater table.

From an unconfined aquifer, composed of sand with a coefficient of permeability k equal to $(0.25) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, a drain with a length of. 1600 m abstracts groundwater in an amount $Q_{0}$ of $0.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$. Due to this abstraction a lowering $s$ of the groundwater table by 3 m must be expected.

Sketch the drain construction to be applied and indicate the most important dimensions.

The coefficient of permeability k equal to ( 0.25 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ is fairly low, pointing to a rather fine sand. With regard to the danger of clogging, porous drains are not advisable. Slotted drains must be prefered, while to obtain slots as wide as possible, a double gravel treatment will be applied. The general construction is shown in the sketch at the right, with the top of the gravel pack a distance of at least 2 m below the lowest waterlevel during operation.


The outside dimensions of the gravel
pack primarily depend of theximum allowable entrance velocity $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}$. For vertical wells Sichaindt gives

$$
v_{a}=\frac{\sqrt{k}}{30}
$$

Once clogged, drains cannot be cleaned, asking for an additional factor of safety, lowering the maximum allowable entrance velocity to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\sqrt{\mathrm{k}}}{60} \text { In the case under consideration } \\
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\sqrt{(0.25) 10^{-3}}}{60}=(0.26) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

The abstraction per unit length of drain equals in average

$$
q_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{L}=\frac{0.2}{1600}=(0.125) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec} \text { asking for a }
$$

minimum circumference $\Omega$ of the gravel pack equal to

$$
\Omega=\frac{q_{0}}{v_{a}}=\frac{(0.125) 10^{-3}}{(0.26) 10^{-3}}=0.5 \mathrm{~m}^{\prime}
$$

This means a square with sides of $\frac{0.5}{4}=0.125 \mathrm{~m}$. With an artificial gravel pack such a size is always present and the maximum allowable entrance velocity is not a deciding factor.

With regard to the velocity of lateral flow, the inside diameter of the slotted drain must satisfy two contradictory requirements. On one hand this velocity must be large so as to be self-cleaning, while on the other hand the velocity must be small to keep the friction losses down, which otherwise would result in a rather uneven abstraction of groundwater over the length of the gallery. After careful consideration it is decided to keep the velocity between the limits of 0.3 and $0.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, increasing the diameter of the drain stepwise as indicated below

| $Q$ | $\phi$ | v | L | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 0.7 m | $0.52 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 1600 m |  |
| 0.116 | 0.7 | 0.30 | 930 | 670 m |
| 0.116 | 0.5 | 0.59 | 930 |  |
| 0.059 | 0.5 | 0.30 | 470 | 460 |
| 0.059 | 0.35 | 0.61 | 470 |  |
| 0.029 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 230 | 240 |
| 0.029 | 0.25 | 0.59 | 230 |  |
| 0.000 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0 | 230 |

that is to say 4 sections with

| length | 230 | 240 | 460 | 670 m |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| diameter | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.5 | $0.7 \mathrm{~m} \phi$ |
| size gravel pack | 0.75 | 0.85 | 1.0 | 1.2 m m |

With regard to the grain size distribution of the gravel pack, it is first considered that according to Allan Hazen the coefficient
of permeability and the effective diameter of the aquifer material are interrelated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
k & =(11) 10^{3} d_{10}^{2} \\
d_{10} & =\sqrt{\frac{k}{(11) 10^{3}}}=\sqrt{\frac{(0.25) 10^{-3}}{(11) 10^{3}}}=(0.15) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient of uniformity remains unknown, but it may safely be assumed, that the $85 \%$ diameter exceeds 0.3 mm . With the lower limit of the outer gravel layer a factor 4 larger thar this $85 \%$ diameter and the upper limit a factor $\sqrt{2}$ coarser than the lower limit, this gives outer gravel layer 1.2-1.7 m The inner gravel layer is again a factor 4 coarser or inner gravel layer $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$, allowing as slot width 2 mm

When the entrance velocity inside the slots is limited to (10) $10^{-3}$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$, the length of slot per $\mathrm{m}^{\prime}$ of drain equals

$$
\text { (2) } 10^{-3} \mathrm{~b}=\frac{q_{0}}{(10) 10^{-3}}=\frac{(0.125) 10^{-3}}{(10) 10^{3}} \quad \text { or } \quad b=6.25 \mathrm{~m}
$$

With the smallest drain diameter of 0.25 m and perpendicular slots over the lower half only, this means a number of slots per $m$ ' drain equal to

$$
n=\frac{.462}{(0.5)^{2} \pi(0.25)}=16
$$

4.01 A semi-infinite confined aquifer without recharge from above or from below is bounded by a fullv venetrating ditch and has a coefficient of transmissibility kH equal to (3) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$. At a distance of 200 m from the stream a fully penetrating well with an outside diameter of 0.5 m is pumped at a constant rate of ( 7 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the drawdown at the well face and what is the drawdown in a point A halfway between the well and the shoreline?

With the method of images, the drawdown in a point at a distance $r$ from the well and a distance $r^{\prime}$ from the imaginary recharge well is given by


$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H}\left\{\ln \frac{R}{r}-\ln \frac{R}{r^{\prime}}\right\}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{r^{\prime}}{r}
$$

With $r^{\prime}=2 L$, the drawdown at the well face becomes

$$
s_{0}=\frac{(7) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(3) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{400}{0.25}=0.371 \ln 1600=2.74 \mathrm{~m}
$$

and in penint $A$, at a distance $r$ from the well

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{A}=\frac{Q_{O}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{2 L-r}{r} \\
& s_{A}=0,371 \ln \frac{300}{100}=0,41 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

4.02 A leaky artesian aquifer is situated between an impervious base and an overlying less-pervious layer. Above the latter layer an unconfined aquifer with a constant and uniform water level is present. The coefficient of transmissibility kH of the artesian aquifer amounts to ( 2.5$) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$, the resistance c of the less-pervious layer against vertical water movement to (40) $10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$.

From the artesian aquifer a fully penetrating well with an outside diameter of 0.4 m abstracts water at a rate of (6) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the lowering of the artesian water table at a distance of 1000,100 , 10 and 1 m from the well centre and at the well face? How much water infiltrates from above within a radius of 200 m around the well?

For a well in a leaky artesian aquifer of infinite extent, the drawdown equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{KH}} \mathrm{~K}_{0}\left(\frac{\xi}{\lambda}\right) \text { with } \\
& \lambda=\sqrt{\mathrm{kHc}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In case $\frac{x}{}$ is gmall, the drawdown


$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \pi d r i n} \ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{r}
$$



With the data as given

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda=\sqrt{(2.5) 10^{-3}(40) 10^{6}}=316 \mathrm{~m} \\
& s=\frac{(6) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(2.5) 10^{-3}} K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{316}\right) \simeq \frac{(6) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(2.5) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{(1.123)(316)}{r} \\
& s=0.382 \mathrm{~K}_{0}\left(\frac{r}{316}\right) \simeq 0.382 \ln \frac{355}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives as drawdowns

$$
\begin{array}{rlrlll}
r & =1000 & 100 & 10 & 1 & 0.2 \mathrm{~m} \\
K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{316}\right) & =0.029 & 1.32 & 3.58 & - & - \\
\ln \frac{355}{r} & =- & 1.27 & 3.57 & & 5.87 \\
s & =0.01 & 0.50 & 1.37 & & 2.24 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

At a distance $r$ from the well centre, the rate of flow equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=Q_{0} \frac{r}{\lambda} K_{1}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) \quad \text { At } r=200 \mathrm{~m} \\
& Q=Q_{0} \frac{200}{316} K_{1}\left(\frac{200}{316}\right)=Q_{0}(0.633) K_{1}(0.633)=Q_{0}(0.633)(1.21)=0.766 Q_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

The difference between this rate and the amount $Q_{0}$ abstracted by the well, infiltrates from above

$$
I=Q_{0}-0.766 Q_{0}=(0.234) Q_{0}=(0.234)(6) 10^{-3}=(1.4) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

4.03 A leaky artesian aquifer is situated above an impervious base, has a coefficient of transmissibility kH equal to $0.012 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ and is overlain by a semi-pervious layer with a resistance of (30) $10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$ against vertical water movement.

The aquifer is crossed by two fully penetrating ditches, intersecting each other perpendicularly. In the aquifer a fully penetrating well is set, with an outside diameter of 0.4 m at equal distances of 500 m from both ditches. The well is pumped at a capacity of 0.035 . $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the influence of those ditches on the drawdown at the well face?

- For a well in a leaky artesian aquifer of infinite extent the drawdown equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{KH}} K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) \text { with } \\
& \lambda=\sqrt{\mathrm{kHc}}=\sqrt{(0.012)(30) 10^{6}}=600 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

At the well face this mas be approximated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{o}=\frac{0.035}{2 \pi(0.012)} \ln \frac{(1.123)(600)}{0.2}=0.464 \ln 3369=3.77 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the method of images, the drawdown at the well face in a semi-infinite quadrant becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{s}_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H}\left\{\ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{r_{0}}-2 K_{0}\left(\frac{2 L}{\lambda}\right)+K_{0}\left(\frac{2 L \sqrt{2}}{\lambda}\right)\right\} \\
& \bar{s}_{0}=0.464\left\{\ln \frac{(1.123)(600)}{0.2}-2 K_{0}\left(\frac{1000}{600}\right)+K_{0}\left(\frac{1000 \sqrt{2}}{600}\right)\right\} \\
& s_{0}=0.464\left\{\ln 3369-2 K_{0}(1.667)+K_{0}(2.357)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=0.464\{8.122-(2)(0.1726)+0.0739\} \\
& s_{0}=(0.464)(7.851)=3.64 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is to say a difference of 0.13 m or $3,5 \%$.
4.04 A semi-infinite leaky artesian aquifer has a thickness of 50 m and a coefficient of permeability $k$ equal to $(0.40) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. The aquifer is situated above an impervious base and overlain by a semipervious layer with a resistance $C$ of (200) $10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$ against vertical water movement. The water level in the unconfined aquifer above the semi-pervious layer is uniform and constant, equal to the water level in the bounding ditch.

At a distance of 500 m from the ditch a partially penetrating well is constructed. Its outside diameter equals 0.6 m , while the screen extends from the top of the aquifer 30 m downward. The well is pumped at a constant rate of ( 30 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the drawdown of the artesian water table at the well face and at what distance from the ditch does this drawdown deciine to 0.1 m ?

Using the method of images gives as drawdown at the face of the fully penetrating well


$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \sum_{k H}}\left\{K_{0}\left(\frac{r_{0}}{\lambda}\right)-K_{0}\left(\frac{2 \sum_{0}}{\lambda}\right)\right\} \text { in which } \\
& \lambda=\sqrt{\mathrm{kHc}}=\sqrt{(0.40) 10^{-3}(50)(200) 10^{6}}=2000 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

With $\frac{r_{0}}{\lambda}=\frac{0.3}{2000} \operatorname{small}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{0}\left(\frac{r_{0}}{\lambda}\right) & =\ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{r_{0}} \quad \text { Substitution gives with the data supplied } \\
s_{0} & =\frac{(30) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(0.40) 10^{-3}(50)}\left\{\ln \frac{(1.123)(2000)}{0.3}-K_{0}\left(\frac{1000}{2000}\right)\right\} \\
s_{0} & =(0.239)\left\{\ln 7490-K_{0}(0.5)\right\}=0.239(8.91-0.92) \text { or } \\
s_{0} & =1.91 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

In reality the well only partially penetrates the aquifer, resulting in an additional drawdown equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \frac{1-p}{p} \ln \frac{(1-p) h}{r_{0}} \text { with } p=\frac{h}{H}=\frac{30}{50}=0.6 \\
& \Delta s_{0}=(0.239) \frac{0.4}{0.6} \ln \frac{(0.4)(30)}{0.3}=(0.239)(0.667)(3.69)=0.59 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The total drawdown at the well face thus becomes

$$
s_{0}+\Delta s_{0}=1.91+0.59=2.5 \mathrm{~m}
$$

The largest drawdowns occur in a line through the well, perpendicular to the bounding ditch. At a distance $x$ from the shoreline this drawdown equals

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H}\left\{K_{0}\left(\frac{x-I}{\lambda}\right)-K_{0}\left(\frac{x+I}{\lambda}\right)\right\}
$$

A drawdown of 0.1 m occurs at

$$
\begin{aligned}
0.1 & =0.239\left\{K_{0}\left(\frac{x-500}{2000}\right)-K_{0}\left(\frac{x+500}{2000}\right)\right\} \text { or } \\
0.418 & =K_{0}\left(\frac{x-500}{2000}\right)-K_{0}\left(\frac{x+500}{2000}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

With trial and error

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=1000 \mathrm{~m} & K_{0}(0.25)-K_{0}(0.75)=1.542-0.611=0.931 \\
1500 \mathrm{~m} & K_{0}(0.50)-K_{0}(1.00)=0.924-0.421=0.503 \\
1800 \mathrm{~m} & K_{0}(0.65)-K_{0}(1.15)=0.716-0.341=0.375
\end{aligned}
$$

By interpolation

$$
x=1500+\frac{0.503-0.418}{0.503-0.375}(300)=1500+200=1700 \mathrm{~m}
$$

4.05 From below to above a geo-hydrological profile shows an impervious base;
a waterbearing formation with a coefficient of transmissibility $\mathrm{k}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ equal to (30) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$;
a semi-pervious layer with a resistance $c_{2}$ of (50) $10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$ against vertical water movement;
a waterbearing formation with a coefficient of transmissibility $\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{1}$ equal to (6) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$;
a. semi-pervious layer with a resistance $c_{1}$ of (400)10 ${ }^{6} \mathrm{sec}$ against vertical water movement;
an unconfined aquifer with a constant and uniform water level.
From the upper artesian aquifer, groundwater is abstracted in an amount $Q_{0}=(28) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ by means of a fully penetrating well with a diameter of 0.4 m

Calculate the drawdown in the upper and lower artesian aquifers as function of the distance to the pumped well.

In the case of a two-layered leaky artesian aquifer and groundwaterabstraction from the upper storey, the drawdown formulae are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k_{1} H_{1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}\left\{\left(\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right) K_{0}\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{1} r}\right)+\left(\alpha_{2}-\lambda_{2}\right) K_{0}\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{2} r}\right)\right\} \\
& s_{2}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k_{1} H_{1}} \frac{\alpha_{2}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}\left\{-K_{0}\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{1} r}\right)+K_{0}\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{2} r}\right)\right\} \quad \text { with } \\
& \alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{k_{1} H_{1} c_{1}} \quad \alpha_{2}=\frac{1}{k_{2} H_{2} c_{2}} \quad \beta_{1}=\frac{1}{k_{1} H_{1} c_{2}} \quad \text { and } \\
& \lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\beta_{1} \pm \sqrt{\left.\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\beta_{1}\right)^{2}-4 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right\}}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

With the data under consideration

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{(6) 10^{-3}(400) 10^{6}}=(0.417) 10^{-6} \\
& \alpha_{2}=\frac{1}{(30) 10^{-3}(50) 10^{6}}=(0.667) 10^{-6}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}=\frac{1}{(6) 10^{-3}(50) 10^{6}}=(3.333) 10^{-6} \\
& \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\beta_{1}=(4.417) 10^{-6} \\
& 4 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \\
& \lambda_{1}=(1.111) 10^{-12} \\
& \lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{(4.417) 10^{-6} \pm \sqrt{(19.510) 10^{-12}-(1.111) 10^{-12}}\right\} \\
& \lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{(4.417) 10^{-6}+(4.289) 10^{-6}\right\}=(4.353) 10^{-6} \\
& \lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{(4.417) 10^{-6}-(4.289) 10^{-6}\right\}=(0.064) 10^{-6} \\
& \sqrt{\lambda_{1}}=\frac{1}{480} \quad \sqrt{\lambda_{2}}=\frac{1}{3950}
\end{aligned}
$$

The drawdown formulae thus become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=\frac{(28) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(6) 10^{-3}} \frac{1}{(4.289) 10^{-6}}\left\{(3.686) 10^{-6} K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{480}\right)+(0.603) 10^{-6} K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{3950}\right)\right\} \\
& s_{2}=\frac{(28) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(6) 10^{-3}} \frac{(0.667) 10^{-6}}{(4.289) 10^{-6}}\left\{-K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{480}\right)+K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{3950}\right)\right\} \quad \text { Simplified } \\
& s_{1}=0.639 K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{480}\right)+0.105 K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{3950}\right) \\
& s_{2}=0.116\left\{-K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{480}\right)+K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{3950}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the vicinity of the well, the approximation

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) & =\ln \frac{(1.123) \lambda}{r} \text { may be applied, giving as drawdowns } \\
s_{1} & =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k_{1} H_{1}}\left\{\ln \frac{1.123}{r}+\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right) \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}}}+\left(\alpha_{2}-\lambda_{2}\right) \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{2}}}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}\right\} \\
s_{2} & =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k_{1} H_{1}} \frac{\alpha_{2}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

or in the case under consideration

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=0.742\left(\ln \frac{1.123}{r}+6.47\right)=0.742 \ln \frac{740}{r} \\
& s_{2}=0.243
\end{aligned}
$$

The drawdowns at the well face thus become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{01}=0.742 \ln \frac{740}{0.2}=6.10 \mathrm{~m} \\
& s_{02}=0.24 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

For greater distances from the well, the drawdowns are shown in the


In the near vicinity of the well, the drawdown $s_{1}$ may be approximated by'assuming a single-layered leaky artesian aquifer of transmissivity $k_{1} H_{1}$, overlain by a semi-pervious layer of resistance c

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{c}=\frac{1}{c_{1}}+\frac{1}{c_{2}} \text { or } \\
& \frac{1}{c}=\frac{1}{(400) 10^{6}}+\frac{1}{(50) 10^{6}}=\frac{2}{(400) 10^{6}}, \quad c=(44.4) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec} \\
& \lambda=\sqrt{\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{c}}=\sqrt{(6) 10^{-3}(44.4) 10^{6}}=520 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
s_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k_{1} H_{1}} \ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{r}=0.742 \ln \frac{580}{r}
$$

The difference with the true value

$$
s_{1}=0.742 \ln \frac{740}{r} \text { equals in this case }
$$

$s_{1}-s_{1}^{\prime}=0.742 \ln \frac{740}{580}=0.18 \mathrm{~m}$, negligeable in the immediate vicinity of well.

At greater distances from the well on the other hand, the semipervious layer of resistance $c_{2}$ becomes unimportant, allowing approximation by one aquifer of transmissivity kH

$$
\mathrm{kH}=\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}=(36) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec} \text {, overlain by a semi-pervi- }
$$ ous layer of resistance $c_{1}$. This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda & =\sqrt{(36) 10^{-3}(400) 10^{6^{\top}}}=3800 \mathrm{~m} \text { and } \\
s_{1} & =s_{2}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)=0.124 K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{3800}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

4.11 A circular island has a diameter of 1800 m , is built up of sand and is situated above a horizontal impervious base. The surface water surrounding the island has a constant level of 20.0 m above the base. Due to recharge by rainfall in an amount of (30) $10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, the groundwater levels inside the island are higher, reaching in the centre to 22.1 m above the base.

In the centre of the island a fully penetrating well with an outside diameter of 0.3 m is constructed. What is the drawdown at the well face and at the water divide when this well is pumped at a constant rate of (6) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ ?

With the notations of the figure at the right, the combined flow pattern due to recharge by rainfall and well abstraction, may be described with

Darcy

$$
Q=-2 \pi r k h_{2} \frac{d h_{2}}{d r}
$$

continuity $\quad Q=\pi r^{2} P^{\circ}-Q_{0}$

combined $h_{2} d h_{2}=-\frac{P}{2 k} r d r+\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k} \frac{d r}{r}$

Integrated between the limits $r=r, h_{2}=h_{2}$ and $r=L, h_{2}=H$

$$
H^{2}-h_{2}^{2}=-\frac{P}{2 k}\left(L^{2}-r^{2}\right)+\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi k} \ln \frac{L}{r}
$$

in which the coefficient of permeability $k$ is unknown.
Before pumping, $Q_{0}=O_{8}$ the formula above gives as water table depth $h_{1}$

$$
H^{2}-h_{1}^{2}=-\frac{P}{2 k}\left(I^{2}-r^{2}\right)
$$

In the centre of the island, $r=0, h_{1}=h_{10}$

$$
H^{2}-h_{10}^{2}=-\frac{P}{2 k} L^{2} \text { or } k=\frac{1}{2} \frac{P L^{2}}{h_{10}^{2}-H^{2}}
$$

Substitution of the data gives

$$
k=\frac{1}{2} \frac{(30) 10^{-9}(900)^{2}}{(22.1)^{2}-(20)^{2}}=(0.137) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

The water table depth before and after pumping thus becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (20.0)^{2}-h_{1}^{2}=-\frac{(30) 10^{-9}}{(2)(0.137) 10^{-3}}\left\{(900)^{2}-r^{2}\right\} \\
& (20.0)^{2}-h_{2}^{2}=-\frac{(30) 10^{-9}}{(2)(0.137) 10^{-3}}\left\{(900)^{2}-r^{2}\right\}+\frac{(6) 10^{-3}}{\pi(0.137) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{900}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Simplified

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 400-h_{1}^{2}=-(88.4)\left\{1-\left(\frac{r}{900}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& 400-h_{2}^{2}=-(88.4)\left\{1-\left(\frac{r}{900}\right)^{2}\right\}+13.9 \ln \frac{900}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

At the well face, $r=0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ this gives

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
400-h_{1}^{2}=-88.4 & , h_{1}^{2}=488.4, h_{1}=22.1 \\
400-h_{2}^{2}=-88.4+121.0, h_{2}^{2}=367.4, h_{2}=19.2
\end{array}
$$

$$
s=h_{1}-h_{2}=2.9 \mathrm{~m}
$$

The water divide limits the area over which the recharge by rainfall is abstracted by the well, in formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{0}=\pi \rho^{2} P \\
&(6) 10^{-3}=\pi \rho^{2}(30) 10^{-9}, \rho=252 \mathrm{~m}, \text { giving as water table depths } \\
& 400-h_{1}^{2}=-(88.4)\left\{1-\left(\frac{252}{900}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& 400-h_{2}^{2}=-(88.4)\left\{1-\left(\frac{252}{900}\right)^{2}\right\}+13.9 \ln \frac{900}{252} \quad h_{2}=21.9 \\
&=21.5 \\
& s_{\rho}=h_{1}-h_{2}=0.4 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

4.12 An unconfined aquifer is situated above a horizontal impervious base and is composed of sand with a coefficient of permeability equal to ( 0.09 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. In plan this aquifer is circular, while the boundary along its circumference is vertical and impervious. The circular basin thus formed has an area of (2) $10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and is recharged by available rainfall in an amount of ( 30 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$. In the centre of the basin a fully penetrating well with an outside diameter of 0.6 m is constructed and pumped at a capacity equal to the full amount of recharge.

What is the groundwater level at the outer circumference of the basin when at the face of the well this level rises to 30 m above the impervious base?

With the notations as indicated in the figure at the right, the equations governing the flow of groundwater in the circular basin become

Darcy

$$
Q=2 \pi r \operatorname{kh} \frac{d h}{d r}
$$

continuity $\quad Q=\pi\left(R^{2}-r^{2}\right) P$
combined $\quad h d h=\frac{P}{2 k} \frac{R^{2}-r^{2}}{r} d r$


Integration between the limits $r=R, h=H$ and $r=r_{0}, h=h$ gives

$$
H^{2}-h_{0}^{2}=\frac{P}{2 k}\left\{2 R^{2} \ln \frac{R}{r_{0}}-\left(R^{2}-r_{0}^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

According to the data supplied

$$
\begin{aligned}
k & =(0.09) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}, r_{0}=0,3 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~h}_{0}=30 \mathrm{~m} \\
\pi R^{2} & =(2) 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \text { or } R=798 \mathrm{~m} \\
\pi R^{2} P & =(30) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec} \text { or } P=(15) 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec} . \text { Substituted }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^{2}-900 & =\frac{(15) 10^{-9}}{(2)(0.09) 10^{-3}}\left\{(2)(798)^{2} \ln \frac{798}{0.3}-(798)^{2}\right\} \text { or } \\
\mathrm{H}^{2} & =900+785=1685, \mathrm{H}=41.0 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

4.13 , A circular island has a diameter of 800 m , is built up of sand with a coefficient of permeability $k$ equal to $(0.35) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ and is situated above a horizontal impervious base. The surface water surrounding the island has a constant level of 25 m above the base, while the recharge by rainfall amounts to $(50) 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$.

To raise groundwater levels, water is injected in the centre of the island with the help of a fully penetrating well of 0.8 m diameter. The injection rate is kept constant at $28\left(10^{-3}\right) \mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the rise of the groundwater table at the well face and at a distance of 200 m from the well?

Using the notations of the figure at the right, the combined flow pattern due to recharge by rainfall and injection, can be described with

Darcy $\quad Q=-2 \pi r k h_{2} \frac{d h_{2}}{d r}$
continuity $\quad Q=Q_{0}+\pi r^{2} P$
combined $h_{2} \mathrm{dh}_{2}=-\frac{Q_{O}}{2 \pi k} \frac{d r}{r}-\frac{P}{2 k} r d r$


Integrated between the limits $r=r, h_{2}=h_{2}$ and $r=L, h_{2}=H$

$$
h_{2}^{2}-H^{2}=\frac{Q_{O}}{\pi k} \ln \frac{L}{r}+\frac{P}{2 k}\left(L^{2}-r^{2}\right)
$$

Before injection, $Q_{0}=0$, this formula gives as water table depth $h_{1}$

$$
h_{1}^{2}-H^{2}=\frac{P}{2 k}\left(L^{2}-r^{2}\right)
$$

At the well face, $r=0.4 \mathrm{~m}$, substitution of the data gives

$$
h_{2}^{2}-625=\frac{(28) 10^{-3}}{\pi(0.35) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{400}{0.4}+\frac{(50) 10^{-9}}{(2)(0.35) 10^{-3}}\left\{(400)^{2}-(0.4)^{2}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{2}^{2}-625=176+11.4, & h_{2}^{2}=812 . \\
h_{1}^{2}-625=11.4 & h_{2}=28.5 \\
& s_{0}=h_{2}-h_{1}^{2}=636, \quad h_{1}=25,2
\end{aligned}
$$

At a distance of 200 m from the centre

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{2}^{2}-625= \frac{(28) 10^{-3}}{\pi(0.35) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{400}{200}+\frac{(50) 10^{-9}}{2(0.35) 10^{-3}}\left\{(400)^{2}-(200)^{2}\right\} \\
& h_{2}^{2}-625=17.7+8.6, h_{2}^{2}=651.3, h_{2}=25.5 \\
& h_{1}^{2}-625=8.6 \quad, \quad h_{1}^{2}=633.6, h_{1}=25.2 \\
& s_{200}=h_{2}-h_{1}=0.3 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the results obtained, the water table variations are only small and the calculation could also have been made assuming a constant coefficient of transmissibility kH

$$
s=\frac{Q_{O}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{L}{r}=\frac{(28) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(0.35) 10^{-3}(25)} \ln \frac{400}{r}=0.510 \ln \frac{400}{r}
$$

$r=0.4 \mathrm{~m} \quad s_{o}=0.510 \ln \frac{400}{0.4}=3.5 \mathrm{~m}$
$r=200 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathrm{s}_{200}=0.510 \ln \frac{400}{200}=0.35 \mathrm{~m}$
4.14 A semi-infinite unconfined aquifer is situated above an impervious base and bounded at the left by a fully penetrating ditch with a constant and uniform water level, rising to 15 m above the base.

The aquifer has a coefficient of permeability $k$ equal to ( 0.40 ) $10^{-3}$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ and discharges groundwater into the ditch in a constant amount of (75) $10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec}$.

At a distance of 150 m from the ditch, a fully penetrating well with an outside diameter of 0.5 m is constructed, to be pumped at a rate of (12) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the drawdown of the water table at the well face and in a point halfway between the well and the ditch?

Before pumping the well, the water table elevation $h_{1}$ follows from

$$
H^{2}-h_{1}^{2}=-\frac{2 q_{0}}{k} x
$$

During well pumping, but without the groundwater discharge $q_{0}$, the water table elevation may be found by using the method of images


$$
H^{2}-h_{3}^{2}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi k} \ln \frac{r^{\prime}}{r} \text { with } r^{\prime} \text { as distance between the point }
$$

of observation and the centre of the imaginary recharge well. By superposition the water table depth during pumping and outflow follows at

$$
H^{2}-h_{2}^{2}=-\frac{2 q_{0}}{k} x+\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi k} \ln \frac{r^{\prime}}{r}
$$

This gives at the well face with $x=150 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{r}=0.25 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{r}=300 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
225-h_{1}^{2}=-\frac{(2)(75) 10^{-6}}{(0.40) 10^{-3}} 150
$$

$$
225-h_{2}^{2}=-\frac{(2)(75) 10^{-6}}{(0.40) 10^{-3}} 150+\frac{(12) 10^{-3}}{\pi(0.40) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{300}{0.25}
$$

## Simplified

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 225-h_{1}^{2}=-56.3, h_{1}^{2}=281, \\
& 225-h_{1}^{2}=-56.3+67.7, h_{2}^{2}=214, \\
& s_{0}=h_{1}-h_{2}=14.6 \\
&=2.2 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Halfway between the well and the ditch, the coordinates are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=75 \mathrm{~m}, r=75 \mathrm{~m}, r^{\prime}=225 \mathrm{~m} . \text { Substituted } \\
& 225-h_{1}^{2}=-\frac{(2)(75) 10^{-6}}{(0.40) 10^{-3}} 75 . \\
& 225-h_{2}^{2}=-\frac{(2)(75) 10^{-6}}{(0.40) 10^{-3}} 75+\frac{(12) 10^{-3}}{\pi(0.40) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{225}{75} \text { or } \\
& 225-h_{1}^{2}=-28.1 \quad, h_{1}^{2}=253, h_{1}=15.9 \\
& 225-h_{2}^{2}=-28.1+10.5, h_{2}^{2}=243, h_{2}=15.6 \\
& s_{75}=h_{1}-h_{2}=0.3 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

With a constant coefficient of transmissibility kH , the drawdowns would have been found at

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}} \ln \frac{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}}{\mathbf{r}} \\
s_{0} & =\frac{(12) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(0.40) 10^{-3}(15)} \ln \frac{300}{0.25}
\end{aligned}=0.318 \ln 1200=2.25 \mathrm{~m} .
$$

4.15 An unconfined aquifer of infinite extent is situated above a semi-pervious layer below which artesian water with a constant and uniform water table is present. The coefficient of transmissibility kH of the unconfined aquifer amounts to (15) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$, its recharge by available rainfall $P$ to (5) $10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, while the semi-pervious layer has a resistance $c$ of (25) $10^{6}$ sec against vertical water movement.

In the unconfined aquifer a fully penetrating well with an outside diameter of 0.8 m is constructed. From this well groundwater is abstracted at a constant rate of $(50) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the drawdown of the phreatic water table at the well face and at a distance of 250 m from the well? How much artesian water percolates upward in an area with a radius of 250 m around the well?

The drawdown due to pumping a well in an unconfined aquifer above a semi-pervious base equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) \\
\text { with } \quad \lambda & =\sqrt{\mathrm{kHc}}
\end{aligned}
$$



When $\lambda$ is small, the didebidewn may be approximated by

$$
s=\frac{Q}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{r}
$$

In the case under consideration

$$
\lambda=\sqrt{(15) 10^{-3}(25) 10^{6}}=613 \mathrm{~m}
$$

giving as drawdown at the well face $(r=0.4 \mathrm{~m})$ and at $r=250 \mathrm{~m}$ from the well

$$
s_{0}=\frac{(50) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(15) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{(1.123) 613}{0.4}=(0.53) \ln 1720=3.95 \mathrm{~m}
$$

$$
s_{250}=(0.53) \mathrm{K}_{0}\left(\frac{250}{613}\right)=0.53 \mathrm{~K}_{0}(0.408)=(0.53)(1.097)=0.58 \mathrm{~m}
$$

According to the water balance for the area with a radius of 250 m around the well, the abstraction $Q_{0}=(50) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ is composed of 3 parts:
rainfall

$$
Q_{r}=\pi r^{2} P=\pi(250)^{2}(5) 10^{-9}=(1.0) 10^{-3}
$$

lateral inflow

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{r}=Q_{0} \frac{r}{\lambda} K_{1}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)=(50) 10^{-3} \frac{250}{613} K_{1}\left(\frac{250}{613}\right)=(20.4) 10^{-3} K_{1}(0.407) \\
& Q_{r}=(20.4) 10^{-3}(2.133)=(43.5) 10^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

upward percolation
together
$u+(44.5) 10^{-3}$
from which follows

$$
u=(50) 10^{-3}-(44.5) 10^{-3} \text { or }
$$

$$
u=(5.5) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

4.16 An unconfined aquifer without recharge from above or from below has a coefficient of transmissibility kF equal to (3) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$. In this aquifer a strip of land with a width of 500 m is bounded at the left by a fully penetrating ditch and at the right by an impervious dyke

At a distance of 200 m from the ditch a fully penetrating well with an outside diameter of 0.5 m is pumped at a constant rate of (7) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the drawdown at the well face and what is roughly the drawdown in a point halfway between the well and the ditch?

For the near vicinity of the well, the integration constant $R$ in the general draw-down formula

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{R}{r}
$$

has as value

$$
R=\frac{4 A}{\pi} \operatorname{tg} \frac{\pi L}{2 A}
$$

In the case under consideration


$$
R=\frac{(4)(500)}{\pi} \operatorname{tg} \frac{\pi(200)}{(23)(500)}=\frac{2000}{\pi} \operatorname{tg} \frac{\pi}{5}=462 \mathrm{~m}
$$

giving as drawdown at the well face

$$
s_{0}=\frac{(7) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(3) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{4.62}{0.25}=0.372 \ln 1848=2.80 \mathrm{~m}
$$

In a point halfway between the well and the ditch, application of the same formula would give

$$
s_{100}=0.372 \ln \frac{462}{100}=0.57 \mathrm{~m}
$$

This formula, however, needs correction as it would give at the shoreline

$$
s_{200}=0.372 \ln \frac{462}{200}=0.31 \mathrm{~m}, \text { instead of zero. }
$$

Halfway between the ditch and the well the drawdown will roughly be

$$
s_{100}=0.57-\frac{0.31}{2}=0.4 \mathrm{~m}
$$

- 

4.21 An unconfined aquifer of infinite extent is situated above an impervious base. The coefficient of transmissibility kH below water table equals (12) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$, the specific yield $\mu 17 \%$.

In this aquifer a fully penetrating well with an outside diameter of 0.6 m is set. Starting at $t=0$ groundwater is abstracted from this well in an amount of (40) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the drawdow in a point 100 m from the well after 1,10 , 100 and 1000 days of pumping and what is the drawdown at the well face at the latter moment?

The drawdown due to pumping a well in an unconfined aquifer of infinite extent is determined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & =\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} W\left(u^{2}\right) \text { with } \\
u^{2} & =\frac{u}{4 k H} \frac{r^{2}}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitution of the data gives for $r=100 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{2}=\frac{0.17}{(4)(12) 10^{-3}} \frac{(100)^{2}}{t}=\frac{(35.4) 10^{3}}{t} \\
& s=\frac{(40) 10^{-3}}{4 \pi(12) 10^{-3}} W\left(u^{2}\right)=0.265 W\left(u^{2}\right) \\
& \begin{array}{lllll}
t=1 & 10 & 100 & 1000 & \text { desss }
\end{array} \\
& t=(86.4) \quad(864) \quad(8640) \quad(86400) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{sec} \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
u^{2}=0.41 & 0.041 & 0.0041 & 0.00041
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
W\left(u^{2}\right) & 0.69 & 2.66 & 4.92
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{s}=0.18 & 0.70 & 1.31 & 1.92 \mathrm{~m}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

After 1000 days, the value of $u^{2}$ is so small that the drawdown formula may be approximated by

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} \ln \frac{0.562}{u^{2}}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{0.75}{u}
$$

This gives as difference in drawdown for $r=100 \mathrm{~m}$ and the well face, $r_{0}=0.3 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
\Delta s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{r}{r_{0}}=(2)(0.265) \ln \frac{100}{0.3}=3.08 \mathrm{~m}
$$

The drawdown at the well face after 1000 days of pumping thus becomes

$$
s_{0}=s_{100}+\Delta s=1.92+3.08=5.0 \mathrm{~m}
$$

4.22 An unconfined aquifer of infinite extent has a coefficient of transmissibility kH equal to $(5) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$, a specific yield $\mu$ of $15 \%$ and is situated above an impervious base. With a fully penetrating well of 0.5 m diameter groundwater is abstracted from this aquifer for a period of 2 weeks:
during the first 10 days in an amount of $0.01 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$
during the last 4 days in an amount of $0.03 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$
What is the drawdown at the well face at the end of the pumping period?

The drawdown due to pumping a well in an unconfined aquifer of infinite extent is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & =\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} W\left(u^{2}\right) \text { with } \\
u^{2} & =\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{x^{2}}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

At the well face $u^{2}$ is small, allowing to use the approximation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} \ln \frac{0.562}{u_{0}^{2}} \text { or } \\
& s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln 1.5 \sqrt{\frac{k H}{H}} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{r_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

 -


In the case under consideration $Q_{0}$ is not constant. It may be split, however, in two constant abstractions

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0<t<14 \text { days } \quad Q_{1}=0.01 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec} \\
10<t<14 \text { days } \quad Q_{2}=0.02 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{array}
$$

With the method of superposition the drawdown at $t=14$ days thus becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=\frac{Q_{1}}{2 \pi k H} \ln 1.5 \sqrt{\frac{k H}{\mu}} \frac{\sqrt{t_{1}}}{r_{0}}+\frac{Q_{2}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \sqrt{\frac{k H}{\mu}} \frac{\sqrt{t_{2}}}{r_{0}} \text { With } \\
& t_{1}=14 \text { days }=(1.21) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec} \quad t_{2}=4 \text { days }=(0.35) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the geo-hydrological contents as given

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
s_{o} & =\frac{0.01}{2 \pi(5) 10^{-3}} \ln 1.5 \sqrt{\frac{(5) 10^{-3}}{0.15}} \frac{\sqrt{(1.21) 10^{6}}}{0.25}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

4.23 An unconfined aquifer of infinite extent is situated above an impervious base. The coefficient of transmissibility kH equals (8) $10^{-3}$ $\mathrm{m}^{2} /$ sec, the specific yield $\mu 20 \%$. In this aquifer a fully penetrating well with an outside diameter of 0.4 m is pumped for a period of 180 days at a constant rate of (25) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the maximum drawdown at the well face and in a point 800 m from the well?

The unsteady drawdown due to pumping a well in an unconfined aquifer of infinite extent above an impervious base equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & =\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} W\left(u^{2}\right) \text { with } \\
u^{2} & =\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r^{2}}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

At the face of the well the drawdown will be maximum at the end of the pumping period or at

$$
\begin{aligned}
t & =\Delta t=180 \text { days }=(15.5) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec} \\
u^{2} & =\frac{0.2}{(4)(8) 10^{-3}} \frac{(0.2)^{2}}{(15.5) 10^{6}}=(1.61) 10^{-8} \\
W\left(u^{2}\right) & =17.37 \\
s_{0} & =\frac{(25) 10^{-3}}{(4) \pi(8) 10^{-3}}(17.37)=(0.249)(17.37)=4.33 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

At a distance of 200 m from the well, the maximum drawdown may occur at a later moment. When cessation of pumping is materialised by superimposing a recharge of the same magnitude

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & =\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k \#}\left\{W\left(u_{t}^{2}\right)-W\left(u_{t}^{2}-\Delta t\right)\right\} \text { or } \\
s & =0.249\left\{W\left(u_{t}^{2}\right)-W\left(u_{t}^{2}-\Delta t\right)\right\} \text { with } \\
u_{t}^{2} & =\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r^{2}}{t}=\frac{0.2}{(4)(8) 10^{-3}} \frac{(800)^{2}}{t}=\frac{(4) 10^{6}}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
u_{t}^{2}-\Delta t=\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r^{2}}{t-\Delta t}=\frac{0.2}{(4)(8) 10^{-3}} \frac{800^{2}}{t-\Delta t}=\frac{(4) 10^{6}}{t-\Delta t}
$$

The maximum drawdown occurs at time $t$ determined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d s}{d t} & =\frac{d s}{d u^{2}} \frac{d u^{2}}{d t}=0 \quad \text { The function } W\left(u^{2}\right) \text { equals } \\
W\left(u^{2}\right) & =\int_{u^{2}}^{\int^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u^{2}}}{u^{2}} d u^{2}} \text {, giving as requirement } \\
\frac{e^{-u_{t}^{2}}}{t} & =\frac{e^{-u_{t}^{2}-\Delta t}}{t-\Delta t} \text { or } u_{t}^{2}-\Delta t-u_{t}^{2}=\ln \frac{t}{t-\Delta t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(4) 10^{6}}{t-(15.5) 10^{6}}-\frac{(4) 10^{6}}{t}=\ln \frac{t}{t-(15.5) 10^{6}} \text { giving by trial and error } \\
& t=(17) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}=197 \text { days and } \\
& s=0.249\{W(0.235)-W(2.67)\}=0.249\{1.09-0.02\}=0.27 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

At the end of the pumping period, this drawdown would have been

$$
s=0.249 \mathrm{~W}(0.258)=(0.249)(1.02)=0.25 \mathrm{~m}
$$

4.24 A semi-infinite unconfined aquifer is situated above a horinzontal impervious base and bounded by a fully penetrating ditch with a uniform and constant waterlevel of 20 m above the base. The coefficient of transmissibility kH of the aquifer amounts to (5) $10^{-3}$ $\mathrm{m}^{2} /$ sec and the specific yield $\mu$ to $30 \%$.

At a distance of 120 m from the ditch a fully penetrating well with an outside diameter of 0.4 m is constructed. Starting at $t=0$ this well is pumped at a constant rate of (8) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the drawdown of the groundwater table at the well face after 7 days of pumping and after how many days will $95 \%$ of the steady state drawdown here be obtained?

The unsteady flow of groundWater to a well in an aquifer of infinite extent is accompanied by a drawdown sequal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & =\frac{Q}{4 \pi k H} w\left(u^{2}\right) \text { with } \\
u^{2} & =\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r^{2}}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$



Using the method of images this gives as drawdown at the face of the well under consideration

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H}\left\{W\left(u_{1}^{2}\right)-W\left(u_{2}^{2}\right)\right\} \text { with } \\
& u_{1}^{2}=\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r_{0}^{2}}{t} \quad u_{2}^{2}=\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{(2 L)^{2}}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

and as steady state drawdown

$$
s_{\infty}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{2 L}{r_{0}}
$$

Substituting the data gives

$$
s_{0}=\frac{(8) 10^{-3}}{4 \pi(5) 10^{-3}}\left\{W\left(u_{1}^{2}\right)-W\left(u_{2}^{2}\right)\right\}=0.127\left\{W\left(u_{1}^{2}\right)-W\left(u_{2}^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}^{2}=\frac{0.3}{(4)(5) 10^{-3}} \frac{(0.2)^{2}}{t}=\frac{0.6}{t} \\
& u_{2}^{2}=\frac{0.3}{(4)(5) 10^{-3}} \frac{(240)^{2}}{t}=\frac{(0.864) 10^{6}}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

After 7 days $=(0.605) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0} & =0.127\left\{\mathrm{~W}\left((0.99) 10^{-6}\right)-\mathrm{W}(1.43)\right\}=0.127(13.25-0.11)= \\
& =1.67 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The steady - state drawdown equals

$$
s_{\infty}=(2)(0.127) \ln \frac{240}{0.2}=1.80 \mathrm{~m}
$$

$95 \%$ of this value or 1.71 m will be reacked at time t determined by

$$
1.71=0.127\left\{W\left(\frac{0.6}{t}\right)-W\left(\frac{(0.864) 10^{6}}{t}\right)\right\}
$$

from which follows by trial and error

$$
t=(1.0) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}=12 \text { days }
$$

4.25

A semi-infinite unconfined aquifer is composed of sand with a coefficient of permeability $k$ equal to $(0.4) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ and a specific yield $\mu$ of $25 \%$. The aquifer is situated above an impervious base and is bounded by a fully penetrating ditch. Due to absence of recharge, the groundwater table is horizontal, at an elevation of 20 m above the base.

At a distance of 300 m from the ditch a fully penetrating well with an outside diameter of 0.5 m is constructed. Starting at $t=0$, the well is pumped at a rate of ( 15 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ for a period of 2 days and after that at a constant rate of (10) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

Questions:
a. What is the drawdown of the well face after 5 days of pumping?
b. What is the steady state drawdown at the well face at $Q_{0}=(10) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

Using the method of images, the drawdown at the well face is given by


$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} W\left(u_{1}^{2}\right)-\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} W\left(u_{2}^{2}\right) \text { with } \\
& u_{1}^{2}=\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r_{0}^{2}}{t}=\frac{0.25}{(4)(0.4) 10^{-3}(20)} \frac{(0.25)^{2}}{t}=\frac{0.488}{t} \\
& u_{2}^{2}=\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{(2 L)^{2}}{t}=\frac{0.25}{(4)(0.4) 10^{-3}(20)} \frac{(600)^{2}}{t}=\frac{(2.813) 10^{6}}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

With $u_{1}^{2}$ small, $w\left(u_{1}^{2}\right)=\ln \frac{0.562}{u_{1}^{2}}=\ln 1.152 t$, giving as drawdown
formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi(0.4) 10^{-3}(20)}\left[\ln 1.152 t-W\left\{\frac{(2.813) 10^{6}}{t}\right\}\right] \quad \text { or } \\
& s_{0}=9.95 Q_{0}\left[\ln 1.152 t-W\left\{\frac{(2.813) 10^{6}}{t}\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## For the abstraction

 pattern sketched on the right and$t=5$ days $=(432) 10^{3} \mathrm{sec}$ $t=(5-2)$ days $=(259.2) 10^{3} \mathrm{sec}$ the drawdown at the well face
 becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0} & =(9.95)(15) 10^{-3}\left[\ln (1.152)(432) 10^{3}-W\left\{\frac{(2.813) 10^{6}}{(432) 10^{3}}\right\}\right]- \\
& -(9.95)(5) 10^{-3}\left[\ln (1.152)(259.2) 10^{3}-W\left\{\frac{(2.813) 10^{6}}{(259.2) 10^{3}}\right\}\right] \\
s_{0} & =(9.95)(15) 10^{-3}\left\{\ln (497.7) 10^{3}-W(6.51)\right\}- \\
& -(9.95)(5) 10^{-3}\left\{\ln (298.6) 10^{3}-W(10.85)\right\} \\
s_{0} & =(9.95)(15) 10^{-3}(13.12-0.00)-(9.95)(5) 10^{-3}(12.61-0.00) \\
s_{0} & =1.96-0.63=1.33 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The steady-state drawdown at the well face for $Q_{0}=(10) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ is given by

$$
s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{2 L}{r_{0}}=\frac{(10) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(0.4) 10^{-3}(20)} \ln \frac{(2)(300)}{0.25}=1.55 \mathrm{~m}
$$

4.26 A circular island with a radius $L$ of 800 m is situated above an impervious base and is composed of sand with a coefficient of transmissibility kH of (12) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ and a specific yield $\mu$ of $25 \%$. In the centre of the island a fully penetrating well with an outside diameter $2 r_{0}$ of 0.6 m is constructed. Starting at $t=0$ the well is pumped at a constant rate of $(50) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$.

After how much time will the drawdown at the well face reach $90 \%$ of the steady state value?

Under steady flow conditions, the drawdown at the face of a well in the centre of a circular island is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0} & =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{L}{r_{0}} \quad \text { In the case under consideration } \\
s_{0} & =\frac{(50) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(12) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{800}{0.3}=0.6631 \ln \cdot 2667=5.23 \mathrm{~m} \text { and } \\
0.9 s_{0} & =(0.9)(5.23)=4.71 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The unsteady flow to a well in an aquifer of infinite extend is described by

$$
s_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} W\left(u^{2}\right) \text { with } u^{2}=\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r^{2}}{t}
$$

At the well face, this may be simplified to

$$
s_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} \ln \frac{0.562}{u_{2}^{2}} \text { with } u_{2}^{2}=\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r_{0}^{2}}{t}
$$

To keep the drawdown at the outer circumference of the island zero, an in finite number of recharge wells with a combined capacity $Q_{0}$ will be assumed here. This reduces the drawdown at the well face to

$$
s_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H}\left\{\ln \frac{0.562}{u_{1}^{2}}-W\left(u_{2}^{2}\right)\right\}-\text { with } u_{2}^{2}=\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{L^{2}}{t}
$$

With the date under consideration

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{o}^{\prime}=0.3316\left\{\ln 1.20 t-W\left(\frac{10^{7}}{3 t}\right)\right\} \text { and } \\
& t=10^{5} s_{0}^{\prime}= \\
& 10^{6}=0.3316(11.695-0.000)=3.88 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 10^{7}=0.3316(13.998-0.009)=4.64 \mathrm{~m} \\
&(1.1) .10^{6}=0.3316(14.093-0.830)=5.13 \mathrm{~m} \\
&(1.2) 10^{6}=0.3316(14.180-0.013)=4.67 \mathrm{~m} \\
&=4.70 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

By interpolation it may be inferred that a drawdown of 4.71 m is reached after

$$
t=(1.21) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}=14 \text { days }
$$

4.27 An unconfined aquifer of infinite extent is situated above an impervious base. Its transmissibility kH amount to $0.015 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$, its specific yield equals $30 \%$. In this aquifer a well with an outside diameter of 0.5 m is set, its screen with a length of 20 m extending over the lower half of the aquifer depth. During the summer half-year water is abstracted from this well in an amount $Q_{0}=(0.05) \mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$, while during the winter half-year the same amount of water is recharged.

What is the ultimate variation of the ground-water table at the well face?

The unsteady flow of groundwater to a single well can be described by

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} W\left(u^{2}\right) \text { with } u^{2}=\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r^{2}}{t}
$$

At the well face $u^{2}$ is always small, giving as good approximation for a fully penetrating well

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} \ln \frac{0.562}{u^{2}}=\frac{0.05}{4 \pi(0.015)} \ln \frac{(0.562)(4)(0.015)}{(0.3)(0.25)^{2}} t \text { or } \\
& s_{0}=0.265 \ln 1.80 \mathrm{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

The thaximum drahdown atrtieg well face occurs at the end of the pumping pemiof. When pumping. sitarts at $t=0$, this gives $\cdot$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t=\frac{1}{2} \text { year }=(1.5 .8) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec} \\
& \quad s_{0}=0.265 \ln (1.80)(15.8) 10^{6}=0.265 \ln (28.4) 10^{6}=4.55 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first transition from pumping to recharge is mathematecally accomplished by superimposing a recharge of double capacity and the next transition from recharge to pumping by superimposing an abstraction $2 Q_{0}$ (see diagram). This gives
$t=1 \frac{1}{2}$ year

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=0.265\left\{\ln (3)(28.4) 10^{6}-2 \ln (2)(28.4) 10^{6}+2 \ln (28.4) 10^{6}\right\} \\
& s_{0}=0.265 \ln \frac{(3)(1)^{2}(28.4)^{3} 10^{18}}{(2)^{2}(28.4)^{2} 10^{12}}=0.265 \ln \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)(28.4) 10^{6}=4.47 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

pumping recharge pumping recharge pumping recharge pumping

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
+Q_{0} & +Q_{0} & +Q_{0} & +Q_{0} & +Q_{0} & +Q_{0} \\
-2 Q_{0} & -2 Q_{0} & -2 Q_{0} & -2 Q_{0} & -2 Q_{0} & -2 Q_{0} \\
& +2 Q_{0} & +2 Q_{0} & +2 Q_{0} & +2 Q_{0} & +2 Q_{0} \\
& & -2 Q_{0} & -2 Q_{0} & -2 Q_{0} & -2 Q_{0} \\
& & & & & +2 Q_{0} \\
& & & & & -2 Q_{0} \\
& & & & & +2 Q_{0} \\
& & & & & \\
& & & & & \\
& & & & & Q_{0} \\
& & & & Q_{0}
\end{array}
$$

$t=0$
$\frac{1}{2}$
$1 \frac{1}{2}$
$2 \frac{1}{2}$
$3 \frac{1}{2}$ year
$t=2 \frac{1}{2}$ year

$$
s_{0}=0.265 \ln \frac{(5)(3)^{2}(1)^{2}}{(4)^{2}(2)^{2}}(28.4) 10^{6}=0.265 \ln \frac{45}{64}(28.4) 10^{6}=4.45 \mathrm{~m}
$$

$t=3 \frac{1}{2}$ year

$$
s_{0}=0.265 \ln \frac{(7)(5)^{2}(3)^{2}(1)^{2}}{(6)^{2}(4)^{2}(2)^{2}}(28.4) 10^{6}=0.265 \ln \frac{1575}{2304}(28.4) 10^{6}=4.45 \mathrm{~m}
$$

and ultimately

$$
\mathrm{s}_{0}=4.43 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Due to partial penetration, an additional drawdown will occur

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \frac{1-p}{p} \ln \frac{(1-p) h}{r_{0}}, \text { with } p=0.5 \\
& \Delta s_{0}=\frac{(0.05) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(0.015)} \frac{0.5}{0.5} \ln \frac{(0.5)(20)}{0.25}=0.531 \ln 40=1.96 \mathrm{~m} . \text { This gives }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
s_{o}+\Delta s_{o}=4.43+1.96=6.39 \mathrm{~m} \text { and a variation in water table elevation of }
$$

$$
(2)(6.39)=12.8 \mathrm{~m}
$$

5.01 A leaky artesian aquifer is situated above an impervious base and overlain by a semi-pervious layer. Above the latter layer an unconfined aquifer is present, the water table of which is maintained at a constant and uniform level. The thickness $H$ of the artesian aquifer equals 50 m , its coefficient of permeability $k(0.36) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, while the resistance $c$ of the overlying layer against vertical water movement amounts to (190) $10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$.

The western half of this aquifer is used as catchment area for a water supply company. To its regret this company notes that across the eastern boundary, with a length of 3000 m , artesian water in an amount of $(0.018) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}$ is flowing out. To intercept this outflow it is decided to construct a line of wells along the boundary. The wells are drilled at intervals $b$ of 150 m , with a diameter of 0.30 m and a screen length of 15 m , from 10 to 25 m below the top of the aquifer.

What is the maximum drawdown of the artesian water table at the face of a well, when all wells are pumped at the same rate $Q_{0}$, of such a magnitude that the outflow is reduced to zero.

To solve this problem, the line of wells is first replaced by a gallery with the same capacity per lineal meter

$$
q_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{b}
$$



$$
q_{1}=(0.018) 10^{-3}-\frac{q_{0}}{2}
$$

To reduce $q_{1}$ to zero clearly asks for a gallery capacity

$$
q_{0}=(0.036) 10^{-3} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

The drawdown due to pumping a fully penetrating gallery of infinite length in a leaky artesian aquifer is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s^{\prime}=\frac{q_{0}}{2} \frac{\lambda}{k H} e^{-x / \lambda} \quad \text { with } \\
& \lambda=\sqrt{k H C}=\sqrt{(0.36) 10^{-3}(50)(190) 10^{6}}=1850 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\frac{1}{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1425}{1850}\right)+\frac{1}{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1575}{1850}\right)=\frac{1}{2} F_{1}(0.771)+\frac{1}{2} F_{1}(0.851) \text { or } \\
& B=\frac{1}{2}(0.718+0.746)=0.732 \\
& s_{0}^{\prime \prime}=(0.732)(1.85)=1.35 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \text { At the well face the drawdown is larger } \\
& \text { due to point abstraction and partial } \\
& \Delta s_{p . a .}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{b}{2 \pi r_{0}}, Q_{0}=b \cdot q_{0} \\
& \Delta s_{\text {p.a. }}=\frac{(150)(0.036) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(18) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{150}{2 \pi(0.15)} \\
& =(0.0478) \ln 159=0.24 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$ penetration

$$
\Delta s_{p p}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \frac{1-p}{p} \ln \frac{\alpha h}{r_{0}} \text { with } \alpha \text { a function of the amount }
$$

of penetration $p$ and the amount of eccentricity $e$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p & =\frac{h}{H}=\frac{15}{50}=0.30, \quad e=\frac{\delta}{H}=\frac{7.5}{50}=0.15, \alpha=0.39 \\
\Delta s_{p p} & =(0.0478) \frac{0.7}{0.3} \ln \frac{(0.39)(15)}{0.15}=0.41 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum drawdown at the well face thus becomes

$$
s_{0}=6 s_{o}^{\prime}+\Delta s_{p a}+\Delta s_{p p}=1.35+0.24+0.41=2.0 \mathrm{~m}
$$

A leaky artesian aquifer is situated between an impervious layer at the bottom and a semi-pervious layer at the top: Above the latter layer phreatic water with a constant and uniform level is present. The thickness $H$ of the leaky artesian aquifer amounts to 60 m , its coefficient of permeability $k$ to $(0,23) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, while the overlying semipervious layer has a resistance $c$ of $(180) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$ against vertical water movement.

In the leaky artesian aquifer a circular battery of wells is constructed. The battery has a diameter of 250 m and is composed of 10 wells, each with a diameter of 0.4 m and a screen length of 45 m , extending from the top of the aquifer downward. From each well a constant amount of (15) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ is abstracted.
a. What is the drawdown of the artesian water in the centre of the battery?
b. What is the drawdown of the artesian water table at the well face and halfway between two consecutive wells.

The drawdown due to pumping a single well in a leaky artesian aquifer equals

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}} K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) \text { with } \lambda=\sqrt{\mathrm{kHc}}
$$

With $n$ wells at an equal distance $\rho$ from the centre of the battery, the drawdown there becomes


$$
s_{c}=\frac{n Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} K_{0}\left(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right)
$$

With $\frac{\rho}{\lambda}$ small, less than about 0.2 , this formula may be approximated by

$$
s_{c}=\frac{n Q_{o}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{\rho}
$$

In the case under consideration

$$
\rho=125 \mathrm{~m}, \lambda=\sqrt{(0.23) 10^{-3}(60)(180) 10^{6}}=1610 \mathrm{~m} \text { and } \frac{\rho}{\lambda}=0.078,
$$

so that the approximation mentioned on page 5.02-a may certainly be applied. The drawdown at the centre of the battery thus becomes

$$
s_{c}=\frac{(10)(15) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(0.23) 10^{-3}(60)} \ln \frac{(1.123)(1610)}{125}=1.73 \ln 14.5=4.62 \mathrm{~m}
$$

The physical meaning of the approximation used above for the calculation of the drawdown in the centre of the battery, is that over the area of the battery the recharge from above is negligeable and the artesian water table horizontal. In the line of wells, the average drawdown is consequently equal to $s_{c}=4.62 \mathrm{~m}$. At the face of each well the drawdown is larger by point abstraction and partial penetration.
$\Delta s_{p . a}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{b}{2 \pi r_{0}}$ with $b$ as distance between 2 consecutive wells

$$
b=\frac{1}{10} \pi(250)=78.5 \mathrm{~m}
$$

$\Delta s_{p . a}=\frac{1.73}{10} \ln \frac{78.5}{2 \pi(0.2)}=0.173 \ln 62.5=0.72 \mathrm{~m}$
$\Delta s_{p p}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \frac{1-p}{p} \ln \frac{(1-p) h}{r_{0}}$ with $p$ as amount of penetration $p=\frac{h}{H}=\frac{45}{60}=0.75$
$\Delta s_{p p}=0.173 \frac{0.25}{0.75} \ln \frac{(0.25) 45}{0.2}=0.058 \ln 56.2=0.23 \mathrm{~m}$
Together

$$
s_{0}=s_{c}+\Delta s_{\mathrm{p.a}}+\Delta s_{\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{p}}=4.62+0.72+0.23=5.57 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Halfway between two wells the drawdown is smaller by an amount

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta s & =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}} 0.693=(0.173)(0.693)=0.12, \text { together } \\
s & =s_{c}-\Delta s=4.62-0.12=4.50 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

An artesian aquifer without recharge from above or from below is bounded by two fully penetrating ditches, which separate a strip of land of constant width equal to 1500 m . The water levels in both ditches are equal, constant and uniform.

In a line parallel to the ditches and through the centre of the strip of land, three fully penetrating wells with outside diameters of 0.25 m are constructed at equal intervals of 75 m . From the 3 wells together ground-water in an amount of (50) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ has to be abstracted.

Which subdivision of this total abstraction must be chosen so that the drawdown at the face of each well has the same value?

In the near surrounding of a well in the centre of a strip of land without recharge from above or from below, the drawdown equals

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \dot{k H}} \ln \frac{R}{r} \quad \text { with } R=\frac{4}{\pi} L
$$

In the case under consideration, symmetry requires


$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{1}=Q_{3} \text { giving as drawdowns } \\
& s_{1}=\frac{Q_{0} H}{2 \pi h H} \ln \frac{R}{r_{0}}+\frac{Q^{2}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{R}{b}+\frac{Q_{1}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{R}{2 b}=\frac{Q_{1}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{R^{2}}{2 r_{0} b}+\frac{Q_{2}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{R}{b} \\
& s_{2}=\frac{Q_{1}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{R}{b}+\frac{Q_{2}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{R}{r_{0}}+\frac{Q_{1}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{R}{b}=\frac{Q_{1}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{R^{2}}{b^{2}}+\frac{Q_{2}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{R}{r_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

To satisfy the requirement

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=s_{2} \quad \text { or } \quad 0=-s_{1}+s_{2} \\
& 0=-\frac{Q_{1}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{R^{2}}{2 r_{0} b}-\frac{Q_{2}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{R}{b}+\frac{Q_{1}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{R^{2}}{b^{2}}+\frac{Q_{2}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{R}{r_{0}} \\
& 0=-Q_{1} \ln \frac{b}{2 r_{0}}+Q_{2} \ln \frac{b}{r_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

With the data given

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =-Q_{1} \ln \frac{75}{0.25}+Q_{2} \ln \frac{75}{0.125}=-5.70 Q_{1}+6.40 Q_{2} \\
Q_{1} & =1.123 Q_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

With as total capacity
(50) $10^{-3}=2 Q_{1}+Q_{2}=3.246 Q_{2}$ or

$$
Q_{2}=(15.4) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec} \quad \text { and } \quad Q_{1}=Q_{3}=(17.3) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

5.04 A- leaky artesian aquifer is bounded at the bottom by an impervious base and at the top by a semi-pervious layer. Above the semi-pervious layer an unconfined aquifer with a constant and uniform water table is present. The coefficient of transmissibility $k H$ of the artesian aquifer amounts to (3) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ the resistance c of the overlying layer against vertical water movement to (50) $10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$.

In the artesian aquifer 4 fully penetrating wells are set on a straight line, at equal intervals b of 200 m and are pumped at the same rate of (8) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$. The outer wells have a diameter of 0.25 m , the diameter of the inner wells is larger.

How large must the diameter of the inner wells be chosen so that the drawdown at each well face is the same and what is this amount of drawdown?

The drawdown formula for a well in a leaky artesian aquifer reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) \text { with } \\
& \lambda=\sqrt{k H C}
\end{aligned}
$$



Using the method of superposition this gives as drawdown at the faces of the outer and inner wells

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{01}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H}\left\{\operatorname{In} \frac{1 \cdot 123 \lambda}{r_{0}}+K_{0}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda}\right)+K_{0}\left(\frac{2 b}{\lambda}\right)+K_{0}\left(\frac{3 b}{\lambda}\right)\right\} \\
& s_{02}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H}\left\{K_{0}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda}\right)+1 n \frac{1 \cdot 123 \lambda}{r_{0}^{\prime}}+K_{0}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda}\right)+K_{0}\left(\frac{2 b}{\lambda}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equality of both drawdowns requires

$$
\ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{r_{0}}+K_{0}\left(\frac{3 b}{\lambda}\right)=\ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{r_{0}^{\prime}}+K_{0}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda}\right)
$$

$$
\ln \frac{r_{0}^{\prime}}{r_{0}}=K_{0}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda}\right)-K_{0}\left(\frac{3 b}{\lambda}\right)
$$

With $\mathrm{b}=200 \mathrm{~m}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdot \lambda & =\sqrt{\mathrm{kHc}}=\sqrt{(3) 10^{-3}(50) 10^{6}}=387 \mathrm{~m} \\
\ln \frac{r_{0}^{\prime}}{r_{0}^{\prime}} & =K_{0}(0.517)-K_{0}(1.55)=0.897-0.200=0.697=\ln 2.01 \\
r_{0}^{\prime} & =(2.01)(0.25)=0.50 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The drawdown itself becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=\frac{(8) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(3) 10^{-3}}\left\{\ln 3480+K_{0}(0.517)+K_{0}(1.034)+K_{0}(1.55)\right\} \\
& s_{0}=0.425\{8.15+0.90+0.40+0.20\}=4.1 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

5.05 A leaky artesian aquifer of infinite extent has a thickness $H$ of 60 m and a coefficient of permeability k of $(0.3) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. The aquifer is bounded at the bottom by an impervious base and at the top by a semi-pervious layer with a resistance of (0.15) $10^{9} \mathrm{sec}$ against vertical watermovement. Above this layer phreatic water with a constant and uniform level is present.

In the leaky artesian aquifer 4 wells are constructed, at the corners of a square with sides of 60 m . The wells have outside diameters of 0.4 m , with the screens extending from the top of the aquifer 20 m downward. All wells are pumped at a constant rate $Q_{0}$ of (20) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ each.

What is the drawdown at the well face and what is the drawdown at a distance of 100 m from the centre of the square?

The drawdown due to pumping a fully penetrating well in a leaky artesian aquifer of infinite extent equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}} K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) \text { with } \\
& \lambda=\sqrt{\mathrm{kHC}}=\sqrt{(0.3) 10^{-3}(60)(0.15) 10^{9}}=1640 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\frac{r}{\lambda}$ is small, less than 0.16 , a $99 \%$ accurate approximation may be had with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}} \ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{r}=\frac{(20) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(0.3) 10^{-3}(60)} \ln \frac{(1.123)(1640)}{r} \text { or } \\
& s=0.177 \ln \frac{1850}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the method of superposition, the drawdown in a point at distances $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ and $r_{4}$ from the 4 wells now becomes

$$
s=0.177 \ln \frac{(1850)^{4}}{\left(r_{1}\right)\left(r_{2}\right)\left(r_{3}\right)\left(r_{4}\right)}
$$

At the well face the distances $r_{1}$ to $r_{4}$ equal

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}=r_{0}=0.2 \mathrm{~m}, \quad r_{2}=r_{3}=2 a=60 \mathrm{~m}, \quad r_{4}=2 a \sqrt{2}=85 \mathrm{~m} \\
& s_{0}=0.177 \ln \frac{(1850)^{4}}{(0.2)(60)^{2}(85)}=0.177 \ln (1.92) 10^{8}=3.37 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

At the well face in the meanwhile, the influence of partial penetration must still be considered. This results in an additional drawdown

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta s_{0} & =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \frac{1-p}{p} \ln \frac{(1-p) h}{r_{0}} \text { with } h \text { as screen length and } \\
p & =\frac{h}{H}=\frac{20}{60}=0.333 \\
\Delta s_{0} & =0.177 \frac{0.666}{0.333} \ln \frac{(0.666)(20)}{0.2}=0.354 \ln 66.7=1.51 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The drawdown at the face of the partially penetrating well thus becomes

$$
s_{0}^{\prime}=s_{0}+\Delta s_{0}=3.37+1.51=4.9 \mathrm{~m}
$$

At a distance of 100 m from the centre of the group of wells, the drawdown will vary. Extreme values will occur in the points $A$ and $B$ of the accompanying sketch. With

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{A}: \sqrt{(r-a)^{2}+a^{2}}=76 \mathrm{~m} \quad \text { and } \sqrt{(r+a)^{2}+a^{2}}=133 \mathrm{~m} \\
& r_{B}: r-a \sqrt{2}=58, \quad r+a \sqrt{2}=142 \quad \text { and } \sqrt{r^{2}+(a \sqrt{2})^{2}}=109 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

the drawdowns will be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{A}=0.177 \ln \frac{(1850)^{4}}{(76)^{2}(133)^{2}}=0.177 \ln (1.15) 10^{4}=1.09 \mathrm{~m} \\
& S_{B}=0.177 \ln \frac{(1850)^{4}}{(58)(142)(109)^{2}}=0.177 \ln (1.20) 10^{4}=1.10 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

A leaky artesian aquifer has a coefficient of transmissibility $k H$ equal to (5) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ and is bounded at the bottom by an impervious base and at the top by a semi-pervious layer with a resistance $c$ of (20) $10^{6}$ sec against vertical water movement. Above this semi-pervious layer phreatic water with a constant and uniform level is present.

In the leaky artesian aquifer 6 fully penetrating wells with outside diameters of 0.5 m are constructed and pumped at equal capocities $Q_{0}$. The wells are set along the circumference of a rectangle as shown in the picture at the right.

What must be the well capacity $Q_{0}$ so that over the full area of the rectangle the lowering of the artesian water table is at least 3 m and what is the maximum drawdown at the
 well face?

The minimum drawdown will occur in point A, having as distances to the well centres

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}=30 \mathrm{~m} \\
& r_{2}=\sqrt{(30)^{2}+(50)^{2}}=58.3 \mathrm{~m} \\
& r_{3}=\sqrt{(30)^{2}+(100)^{2}}=104.4 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

With $\lambda=\sqrt{\mathrm{kHC}}=\sqrt{(5) 10^{-3}(20) 10^{6}}=316 \mathrm{~m}$, the drawdown due to pumping all wells with the same capacity $Q_{0}$ equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{A}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi(5) 10^{-3}}\left\{2 K_{0}\left(\frac{30}{316}\right)+2 K_{0}\left(\frac{58.3}{316}\right)+2 K_{0}\left(\frac{104.4}{316}\right)\right\} \\
& s_{A}=(63.7) Q_{0}\{2.48+1.83+1.29\}=(356) Q_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Going out from the requirement $s_{A}=3 \mathrm{~m}$, gives

$$
Q_{0}=\frac{3}{356}=(8.4) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

The maximum drawdown will occur at the face of well $B$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{B}=\frac{(8.4) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(5) 10^{-3}}\left\{\ln \frac{(1.123)(316)}{0.25}+K_{0}\left(\frac{60}{316}\right)+2 K_{0}\left(\frac{50}{316}\right)+2 K_{0}\left(\frac{67.1}{316}\right)\right\} \\
& s_{B}=0.268\{7.26+1.80+2(1.98)+2(1.69)\}=4.4 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

A leaky artesian aquifer has a thickness of 20 m , a coefficient of permeability of $(0.15) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, is bounded at the bottom by an impervious base and at the top by a semi-pervious layer with a resistance of (50) $10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$ against vertical water movement. Above this semi-pervious layer an unconfined aquifer with a constant and uniform water table is present.

In the artesian aquifer 4 wells are set on a straight line, at equal intervals of 100 m and are pumped at constant rates of (8) $10^{-3}$ $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ each. The wells have equal diameters of 0.30 m , but the inner wells are fully penetrating, while the outer wells only partially penetrate the saturated thickness of the aquifer.

Calculate the screen length $h$ of the outer wells, extending from the top of the aquifer downward, so that at each well face the drawdown has the same value. How large is this drawdown?

When all wells are fully penetrating, the drawdowns of the outer and inner wells amount to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H}\left\{K_{0}\left(\frac{r_{0}}{\lambda}\right)+K_{0}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda}\right)+K_{0}\left(\frac{2 b}{\lambda}\right)+K_{0}\left(\frac{3 b}{\lambda}\right)\right\} \\
& s_{2}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H}\left\{K_{0}\left(\frac{r_{0}}{\lambda}\right)+2 K_{0}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda}\right)+K_{0}\left(\frac{2 b}{\lambda}\right)\right\} \\
& \text { with } \lambda=\sqrt{k H c} \text { and } K_{0}\left(\frac{r_{0}}{\lambda}\right) \simeq \ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{r_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case under consideration

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda=\sqrt{(0.15) 10^{-3}(20)(50) 10^{6}}=387 \mathrm{~m} \\
& s_{1}=\frac{(8) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(3) 10^{-3}}\left\{\ln \frac{(1.123)(387)}{0.15}+K_{0}\left(\frac{100}{387}\right)+K_{0}\left(\frac{200}{387}\right)+K_{0}\left(\frac{300}{387}\right)\right\} \\
& s_{1}=0.424\left\{\ln 2900+K_{0}(0.259)+K_{0}(0.518)+K_{0}(0.777)\right\} \\
& s_{1}=0.424\{7.963+1.509+0.895+0.586\}=4.64 \mathrm{~m} \\
& s_{2}=0.424\{7.963+(2)(1.509)+0.895\}=5.03 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The difference of 0.39 m must equal the influence of partial penetration

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \frac{1-p}{p} \ln \frac{(1-p) h}{r_{0}} \quad \text { with } h=p H \\
& 0.39=0.424 \frac{1-p}{p} \ln \frac{(1-p)(p)(20)}{0.15}
\end{aligned}
$$

By trial and error this gives

$$
p=0.77 \quad \text { and } \quad h=(0.77)(20)=15.4 \mathrm{~m}
$$

5.08 A leaky artesian aquifer has a thickness $H$ of 45 m and is composed of sand with a coefficient of permeability $k$ equal to (12) $10^{-5} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. At the bottom the aquifer is bounded by an impervious base and at the top by a semi-pervious layer with a resistance $c$ of (20) $10^{6}$ sec against vertical water movement. Above this semi-pervious layer phreatic water with a constant and uniform level is present. In the leaky artesian aquifer 4 wells are set at the corners of a square with sides of 80 m and are pumped at equal capacities $Q_{0}$. The outside diameter of the well screen amounts to 0.4 m , while the screen penetrates the aquifer over a distance of 15 m .

Question:
a. What must be the well capacity $Q_{0}$ so that over the full area of the square the lowering of the artesian water table is at least 3 m ?
b. What is the resulting drawdown at the face of each well?

The drawdown accompanying the flow of water to a well in a leaky artesian aquifer is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) \text { with } \\
& \lambda=\sqrt{k H \quad c}=\sqrt{(12) 10^{-5}(45)(20) 10^{6}}=329 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The minimum lowering of the groundwater table occurs either in point $A$ or in point $B$

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{A} & =\frac{Q_{O}}{2 \pi k H} 4 K_{O}\left(\frac{40 \sqrt{2}}{329}\right)=\frac{Q_{O}}{2 \pi k H} 4 K_{O}(0.172)=\frac{Q_{O}}{2 \pi k H}(4)(1.898)= \\
& =7.59 \frac{Q_{O}}{2 \pi k H}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{B} & =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}}\left\{2 K_{0}\left(\frac{40}{329}\right)+2 K_{0}\left(\frac{40 \sqrt{5}}{329}\right)\right\}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}}\left\{2 K_{0}(0.122)+2 K_{0}(0.272)\right\}= \\
& =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}}\{(2)(2.232)+(2)(1.463)\}=7.39 \frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The drawdown in point $B$ is the deciding factor, requiring a capacity larger than

$$
Q_{0}=\frac{2 \pi \mathrm{kH} s}{7.39}=\frac{2 \pi(12) 10^{-5}(45)(3)}{7.39}=(13.8) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

At the face of a fully penetrating well, the drawdown equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0} & =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H}\left\{\ln \frac{(1.123)(329)}{0.2}+2 K_{0}\left(\frac{80}{329}\right)+K_{0}\left(\frac{80 \sqrt{2}}{329}\right)\right\}= \\
& =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H}\left\{\ln 1847+2 K_{0}(0.243)+K_{0}(0.344)\right\} \\
& =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H}\{7.52+(2)(1.568)+(1.248)\}=11.90 \frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}} \text { or } \\
s_{0} & =(11.90) \frac{(13.8) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(12) 10^{-5}(45)}=4.84 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to partial penetration, the drawdow at the well face will be larger by an amount

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \frac{1-p}{p} \ln \frac{(1-p) h}{r_{0}} \text { with } \\
& p=\frac{h}{H}=\frac{15}{45}=0.333 \\
& \Delta s_{0}=\frac{(13.8) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(12) 10^{-5}(45)} \frac{1-0.333}{0.333} \ln \frac{(1-0.333) 15}{0.2}=3.18 \mathrm{~m} \text { and } \\
& s_{0}+\Delta s_{0}=4.84+3.18=8.02 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

A leaky artesian aquifer is situated between an impervious layer at the bottom and a semi-pervious layer at the top. Above the latter layer phreatic water with a constant and uniform level is present. The thickness $H$ of the leaky artesian aquifer amounts to 50 m , its coefficient of permeability $k$ to $(0.30) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, while the overlying semi-pervious layer has a resistance of (200) $10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$ against vertical water movement.

In the leaky artesian aquifer a circular battery of wells is constructed. The battery has a diameter of 180 m and is composed of 6 wells with diameters of 0.4 m , set at equal intervals.

At what minimum capacity $Q_{0}$ must the wells be pumped so that over the full area of the circular battery the lowering of the artesian water table is at least 5 m ?

The drawdown due to pumping a well in a leaky artesian aquifer is given by


$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) \text { with } \\
& \lambda=\sqrt{k k H c}=\sqrt{(0.3) 10^{-3}(50)(200) 10^{6}}=1732 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

This value is so large, that the Bessel function may be replaced by its logarithmic approximation

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{r}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{1945}{r}
$$

At the same time this means that inside the battery of wells the recharge from above is negligible and that everywhere the drawdown is the same, equal to the drawdown at the well centre

$$
s_{A}=\frac{6 Q_{O}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}} \ln \frac{1945}{90}=18.44 \frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}}
$$

Only in the immediate vicinity of the wells do deviations occur and at. point $B$ the drawdown is less by an amount

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{A}-s_{B}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}}(0.693) \quad \text { This gives } \\
& s_{B}=17.75 \frac{Q_{O}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which as minimum value of $Q_{0}$ follows

$$
Q_{0}=\frac{2 \pi \mathrm{kH} s_{B}}{17.75}=\frac{2 \pi(0.30) 10^{-3}(50)(5)}{17.75}=(26.55) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

A leaky artesian aquifer is situated above an impervious base and is overłain by a semi-pervious layer. Above the latter layer an unconfined aquifer is present, with a water table that is maintained at a constant and uniform level. The coefficient of transmissibility kH of the leaky artesian aquifer amounts to $0.02 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$, while for a capacity of (50) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ the drawdow at the face of a fully penetrating well with an outside diameter of 0.6 m equals 3.0 m .

In the leaky artesian aquifer mentioned above, a second well must be constructed of the same design and capacity. What is the minimum distance between the two wells so that the lowering of the artesian water table does not exceed a value of 3.5 m ?

For a single well in a leaky artesian aquifer, the drawdown equals

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)
$$

and at the well face

$$
s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{1 \cdot 123 \lambda}{r_{0}}
$$

In the case under consideration

kH

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3.0=\frac{(50) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(0.02)} \ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{0.3} \text { or } \\
& \ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{0.3}=7.540=\ln 1882 \text { or. } \\
& \lambda=\frac{(1882)(0.3)}{1.123}=503 \mathrm{~m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

With both wells in operation, the drawdown at the well face will be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{r_{0}}+\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} K_{0}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) \\
& 3.5=3.0+\frac{(50) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(0.02)} K_{0}\left(\frac{a}{503}\right) \\
& K_{0}\left(\frac{a}{503}\right)=\frac{(0.5)(2) \pi(0.02)}{(50) 10^{-3}}=1.2566=K_{0}(0.341) \text { or } \\
& a>(503)(0.341)=172 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

5.11 An semi-infinite unconfined aquifer has a coefficient of permeability k equal to $(0.17) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, is situated above a horizontal impervious base and bounded by a fully penetrating ditch. Rainfall and evapo-transpiration are about the same with as consequence that the ground-water table is horizontal, equal to the constant water level in the bounding ditch at 20 m above the impervious base.

In the unconfined aquifer a building pit must be drained for which purpose 3 fully penetrating wells have been constructed as indicated in the sketch at the right. The wells have equal diameters of 0.3 m and are pumped at the same rate $Q_{0}$.

What is the minimum rate of abstraction necessary to lower the groundwater table with at least 2 m over the full area of the building pit. What is the
 maximum drawdown at the well face and what is the lowering of the groundwater table 1 km from the ditch?

With an unconfined aquifer above an impervious base, the drawdown due to pumping a single well at a distance L from a ditch with constant water table, follows' from

$$
H^{2}-h^{2}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi k} \ln \frac{r^{\prime}}{r}
$$

In the case under consideration, the drawdown will be minimum in point A. Using the method of superposition, the remaining water table depth here is given by

$\left.+\ln \sqrt{\frac{(2 L+1)^{2}+(2 b)^{2}}{1^{2}+(2 b)^{2}}}\right\}$

With $H=20 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}=2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{A}}$ becomes $20-2=18 \mathrm{~m}$, giving with the data supplied

$$
\begin{aligned}
(20)^{2}-(18)^{2} & =\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi(0.17) 10^{-3}} \ln \left(\frac{120}{30}\right) \sqrt{\frac{(120)^{2}+(35)^{2}}{(30)^{2}+(35)^{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{(120)^{2}+(70)^{2}}{(30)^{2}+(70)^{2}}} \\
400-324 & =\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi(0.17) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{120}{30} \frac{125}{46} \frac{139}{76} \text { or } \\
Q_{0} & =\frac{(76) \pi(0.17) 10^{-3}}{2.99}=(13.6) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum drawdown occurs at the face of the centre well

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{2}-n_{0}^{2} & =\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi k} \ln \frac{2 L}{r_{0}} \frac{(2 L)^{2}+b^{2}}{b^{2}} \\
(20)^{2}-n_{0}^{2} & =\frac{(13.6) 10^{-3}}{\pi(0.17) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{90}{0.15} \frac{(120)^{2}+(35)^{2}}{(35)^{2}} \\
400-h_{0}^{2} & =25.5 \ln \frac{90}{0.15} \frac{15625}{1225}=25.5 \ln 7653=228 \\
h_{0}^{2} & =400-228=172, h_{0}=13.1 \mathrm{~m} \text { and } \\
s_{0} & =H-h_{0}=20-13.1=6.9 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The drawdown $s_{o}=6.9 \mathrm{~m}$ in the meanwhile represents the lowering of the water level inside the well when the well losses - if present are neglected. Outside the wells the water table is higher by the surface of seepage $m_{0}$

$$
m_{0}=\frac{H}{2}\left(1-\frac{h_{0}}{H}\right)^{2}=\frac{20}{2}\left(1-\frac{15.2}{20}\right)^{2}=0.5 \mathrm{~m}
$$

giving as real drawdown at the well face

$$
s_{0}^{1}=s_{0}-m_{0}=6.9-0.6=6.3 \mathrm{~m}
$$

At $1 \mathrm{~km}=1000 \mathrm{~m}$ from the ditch, the drawdown equals

$$
\mathrm{s}=\frac{3 Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}} \ln \frac{1000+45}{1000-45}=\frac{(3)(25.5)}{40} \ln 1.095=0.17 \mathrm{~m}
$$

5.12

A semi-infinite unconfined aquifer has a coefficient of permeability $k$ equal to $(0.25) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, is situated above an impervious base and bounded by a fully penetrating ditch with a constant water level, rising to 12 m above the impervious base. From the aquifer the ditch receives groundwater, originating from available rainfall in an amount of (30) $10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ over an area extending to 1500 m beyond the ditch.

At a distance of 300 m parallel to the ditch a line of 9 fully penetrating wells is constructed. The wells have diameters of 0.4 m , are set at equal intervals $b$ of 110 m and are pumped at a constant rate of ( 4.5 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ each.

What is the lowest waterlevel inside the well, neglecting well losses?

When provisionally the line of wells is replaced by a infinite gallery with the same capacity per unit length

$$
q_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{b}=\frac{(4.5) 10^{-3}}{110}=(41) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

the flow pattern caused by rainfall and abstraction may easily be determined. According to the figure at the right, there remains an outflow of groundwater into the ditch with as magnitude


$$
q_{d}=p\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right)-q_{0}
$$

$$
=(30) 10^{-9}(1500)-(41) 10^{-6}=(4) 10^{-6}
$$

For the strip of land between the ditch and the gallery, the equations of flow thus become

Darcy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q=-k h \frac{d h}{d x} \\
& q=-q_{d}+P x
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuity

combined $\quad h d h=\frac{q_{d}}{k} d x-\frac{P}{k} x d x$

Integrated between the limits $x=0, h=H$ and $x=L_{1}, h=h_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{0}^{2}-H^{2}=\frac{2 q_{d}}{k} L_{1}-\frac{p}{k}\left(L_{1}\right)^{2} \quad \text { or with the data given } \\
& h_{0}^{2}-(12)^{2}=\frac{(2)(4) 10^{-6}}{(0.25) 10^{-3}}(300)-\frac{(30) 10^{-9}}{(0.25) 10^{-3}}(300)^{2} \\
& h_{0}^{2}-144=9.6-10.8, \quad h_{0}^{2}=142.8, \quad h_{0}=11.9 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Before pumping the gallery, the full recharge by rainfall flowed out to the ditch

$$
q_{d}^{\prime}=P\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right)=(30) 10^{-9}(1500)=(45) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

giving as watertable elevation $h_{g}$ at the gallery

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{g}^{2}-H^{2} & =\frac{2 q_{d}^{1}}{k} L_{1}-\frac{P}{k}\left(L_{1}\right)^{2} \\
h_{g}^{2}-144 & =\frac{(2)(45) 10^{-6}}{(0.25) 10^{-3}}(300)-\frac{(30) 10^{-9}}{(0.25) 10^{-3}}(300)^{2} \\
h_{g}^{2}-144 & =108-10.8, \quad h_{g}^{2}=241, \quad h_{g}=15.5 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

and as drawdown at the face of the gallery

$$
s_{0}=h_{g}-h_{0}=15.5-11.9=3.6 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Due to the finite length of the gallery, this drawdown will in reality be smaller

$$
s_{0}^{\prime}=\beta s_{0} \text { with } B=\frac{1}{2} F_{2}\left(\frac{B_{1}}{2 L_{1}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} F_{2}\left(\frac{B_{2}}{2 L_{1}}\right)
$$



The reduction factor $\beta$ has its largest value at the place of the centre well

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{1} & =B_{2}=495 \mathrm{~m} \\
B & =F_{2}\left(\frac{495}{600}\right)=F_{2}(0.825)=0.676 \\
s_{0}^{\prime} & =2.4 \mathrm{~m} \quad \text { and } \quad h_{0}^{\prime}=15.5-2.4=13.1 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

In reality the groundwater is not abstracted with a gallery but by means of a line of wells. Due to this point abstraction an additioned drawdown will occur

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k h_{0}^{\prime}} \ln \frac{b}{2 \pi r_{0}} . \text { In the case under consideration } \\
& \Delta s=\frac{(4.5) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(0.25) 10^{-3}(13.0)} \ln \frac{110}{2 \pi(0.2)}=0.221 \ln 87.5=1.0 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

giving as lowest water table depth inside the well, neglecting well losses

$$
h_{0}^{\prime \prime}=h_{0}^{\prime}-\Delta s=13.0-1.0=12.0 \mathrm{~m}
$$

5.13 An unconfined aquifer is situated above an impervious base and is composed of sand with a coefficient of permeability $k$ equal to ( 0.15 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. Two fully penetrating ditches separate in this aquifer a strip of land of constant width equal to 1800 m . The water level in the ditches is constant at 12 m above the impervious base. Due to recharge by rainfall the water table in the centre of the strip of land is higher by an amount of 3.2 m .

In the strip of land, at a distance of 600 m parallel to one of the ditches, a line of fully penetrating wells is constructed. The wells have outside diameters of 0.30 m , are set at constant intervals b of 100 m and are pumped at a capacity $Q_{0}$ of (2.0) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ each.

What is the drawdown at the well face and what is the remaining ground-water outflow to both ditches?

With the notations of the figure at the right, the equations of flow become

Darcy $\quad q=-k h \frac{d h}{d x}$
continuity $q=P x$
combined $h d h=-\frac{P}{k} x d x$
integrated $\quad h^{2}=-\frac{P}{k} x^{2}+C$


Substitution of the boundary conditions

$$
x=0, h=h_{0}=15.2 \mathrm{~m} ; \quad x=L=900 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{H}=12 \mathrm{~m}
$$

gives with $k=(0.15) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(15.2)^{2}=C & \text { or } & C=231 \\
(12)^{2}=-\frac{P}{(0.15) 10^{-3}}(900)^{2}+C & P=(16.1) 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{array}
$$

substituted

$$
h^{2}=-\frac{(16.1) 10^{-9}}{(0.15) 10^{-3}} x^{2}+231 \quad \text { or } \quad h^{2}=231-\left(\frac{x}{96.5}\right)^{2}
$$

At the proposed line of wells, $x=300 \mathrm{~m}$, giving as water table elevation before pumping

$$
h_{W}^{2}=231-\left(\frac{300}{96.5}\right)^{2}=231-10=221 \quad \text { or } h_{W}=14.9 \mathrm{~m}
$$

When provisionally the line of wells is replaced by a gallery with the same capacity per lineal meter

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{b}=\frac{(2.0) 10^{-3}}{100} \\
& q_{0}=(20) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

the equations of flow for both the strips $B$ - $A$ and $B-C$ read

Darcy

$$
q=-k h \frac{d h}{d x}
$$


continuity $\frac{d q}{d x}=P, \quad$ integrated $\quad q=P x+C_{1}$
combined $h d h=-\frac{P}{k} x d x-\frac{C}{k} d x$
integrated $h^{2}=-\frac{P}{k} x^{2}-\frac{2 C_{1}}{k} x+C_{2}$

Substitution of the boundary conditions yields strip B - A

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=0, h=h_{r}: h_{r}^{2}=C_{2} \\
& x=600, h=12: 144=\frac{(16.1) 10^{-9}}{(0.15) 10^{-3}}(600)^{2}-\frac{2 C_{1}}{(0.15) 10^{-3}}(600)+C_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which follows $\quad C_{1}=(0.125) 10^{-6} h_{r}{ }^{2}-(22.8) 10^{-6}$ and

$$
q_{1}=(16.1) 10^{-9} x+(0.125) 10^{-6} h_{r}^{2}-(22.8) 10^{-6}
$$

strip $B-C$
$x=0, \quad h=h_{r} \quad: h_{r}{ }^{2}=c_{2}$
$x=1200, h=12 \quad 144=\frac{(16.1) 10^{-9}}{(0.15) 10^{-3}}(1200)^{2}-\frac{2 C_{1}}{(0.15) 10^{-3}}(1200)+C_{2}$
from which follows $\quad C_{1}=(0.063) 10^{-6} h_{r}^{2}-(18.7) 10^{-6}$ and

$$
q_{2}=(16.1) 10^{-9} x+(0.063) 10^{-6} h_{r}^{2}-(18.7) 10^{-6}
$$

At point $B, x=0$ the groundwater flows equal

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{10}=(0.125) 10^{-6} h_{r}^{2}-(22.8) 10^{-6} \\
& q_{20}=(0.063) 10^{-6} h_{r}^{2}-(18.7) 10^{-6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Together they must equal the abstraction $q_{0}=(20) 10^{-6}$. or

$$
\begin{aligned}
-(20) 10^{-6} & =(0.188) 10^{-6} \cdot h_{r}^{2}-(41.5) 10^{-6} \\
h_{r}^{2} & =115, \quad h_{r}=10.7 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The average drawdown in the line of wells thus equals

$$
s_{0}^{\prime}=h_{W}-h_{r}=14.9-10.7=4.2 \mathrm{~m}
$$

At the face of the well, the drawdown is larger by an amount

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{b}{2 \pi r_{0}}=\frac{(2.0) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(0.15) 10^{-3}(10.7)} \ln \frac{100}{2 \pi(0.15)}=0.20 \ln 106 \\
& \Delta s_{0}=0.9 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

giving as total drawdown at the well face

$$
s_{0}=4.2+0.9=5.1 \mathrm{~m}
$$

The outflow in the ditches equal the groundwater flows at $x=600 \mathrm{~m}$ and $x=1200 \mathrm{~m}$ respectively

A:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{1}=(16.1) 10^{-9}(600)+(0.125) 10^{-6}(10.7)^{2}-(22.8) 10^{-6} \\
& q_{1}=(9.7) 10^{-6}+(14.3) 10^{-6}-(22.8) 10^{-6}=(1.2) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec} \\
& q_{2}=(16.1) 10^{-9}(1200)+(0.063) 10^{-6}(10.7)^{2}-(18.7) 10^{-6} \\
& q_{2}=(19.3) 10^{-6}+(7.2) 10^{-6}-(18.7) 10^{-6}=(7.8) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

$C$ :

The calculations made above are quite complicated and a computational error will thus easily slip in. A check may be had by neglecting rainfall and assuming the coefficient of transmissibility constant at
$\mathrm{kH}=(0.15) 10^{-3}(12)=(1.8) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$

The rate of flows thus become
$q_{01}=(1.8) 10^{-3} \frac{s_{o}^{\prime}}{600}=(3) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~s}_{0}^{\prime}$
$q_{02}=(1.8) 10^{-3} \frac{s_{o}^{\prime}}{1200}=(1.5) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~s}_{0}^{\prime}$


Together they equal $q_{0}$ or (20) $10^{-6}=(4.5) 10^{-6} s_{0}^{1}, \quad s_{0}^{1}=4.4$, in close agreement with the value of 4.2 m calculated above. The rates of flow now equal

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{01}=(3) 10^{-6}(4.4)=(13.3) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec} \\
& q_{02}=(1.5) 10^{-6}(4.4)=(6.7) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

Before pumping, the recharge by rainfall flows out equally to both ditches, giving at each ditch an outflow

$$
q=P . L=(16.1) 10^{-9}(900)=(14.5) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

Superposition gives as remaining outflows

A:

$$
q=(14.5) 10^{-6}-(13.3) 10^{-6}=(1.2) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

C:

$$
q=(14.5) 10^{-6}-(6.7) 10^{-6}=(7.8) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

in complete agreement with the original calculations.
5.14 An unconfined aquifer is situated above an impervious base and bounded by a fully penetrating ditch. From the aquifer groundwater flows out into this ditch in an amount $q_{0} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}$. Parallel to the ditch a line of fully penetrating wells at constant intervals $b$ is constructed. All wells are pumped at equal capacities $Q_{0}=(0.9) b q_{0}$.

What is the minimum distance between the ditch and the line of wells to prevent water from the ditch to enter the aquifer?

When prior to pumping groundwater is at rest, the water table elevation during pumping is given by the equation $H^{2}-h^{2}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k} \ln \frac{\cosh 2 \pi(x+L) / b-\cos 2 \pi y / b}{\cosh 2 \pi(x-L) / b-\cos 2 \pi y / b}$ The slope of the groundwater table perpendicular to the coastline can be found by differentiation to $x$
$-2 h d h=\frac{Q_{0}}{k b}\left[\frac{\sinh 2 \pi(x+L) / b}{\cosh 2 \pi(x+L) / b-\cos 2 \pi y / b}-\right.$

$$
\left.-\frac{\sinh 2 \pi(x-L) / b}{\cosh 2 \pi(x-L) / b-\cos 2 \pi y / b}\right\} d x
$$

At the coastline $x=0$, this formula simplifies to
$-2 H \mathrm{Ch}=\frac{2 Q_{o}}{\mathrm{~kb}} \frac{\sinh 2 \pi L / b}{\cosh 2 \pi L / b-\cos 2 \pi y / b} d x$
The slope $-\frac{d h}{d x}$ reaches its maximum value at

$$
y=0+n b \quad \text { Substituted }
$$


$-\frac{d h}{d x}=\frac{Q_{O}}{k H b} \frac{\sinh 2 \pi L / b}{\cosh 2 \pi L / b-1}$

The original outflow of groundwater in a magnitude $q_{0}$ was accompanied by a slope

$$
\frac{d h}{d x}=\frac{q_{0}}{k H}
$$

In the combined case, no water from the ditch will enter the aquifer when the sum of both slopes equal zero or

$$
\frac{q_{0}}{k H}=\frac{Q_{0}}{k H b} \frac{\sinh 2 \pi L / b}{\cosh 2 \pi L / b-1}
$$

With

$$
Q_{0}=n q_{0} b \text { and }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sinh 2 \pi L / b=\frac{1}{2}\left\{e^{2 \pi L / b}-e^{-2 \pi L / b}\right\}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\alpha-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right\} \\
& \cosh 2 \pi L / b=\frac{1}{2}\left\{e^{2 \pi L / b}+e^{-2 \pi L / b}\right\}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\alpha+\frac{1}{\alpha}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

this requirement may be simplified to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=n \frac{\alpha-\frac{1}{\alpha}}{\alpha+\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \text { or } \\
& (1-n) \alpha^{2}-2 \alpha+(1+n)=0 \\
& \alpha=\frac{2}{2(1-n)} \pm \frac{1}{2(1-n)} \sqrt{4-4(1-n)(1+n)}=\frac{1}{1-n} \pm \frac{n}{1-n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and as real solution

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\alpha & =e^{2 \pi L / b}=\frac{1+n}{1-n} & \text { For } n=0.9 \\
e^{2 \pi L / b} & =\frac{1.9}{0.1}=19=e^{2.95} & L=\frac{2.95 b}{2 \pi}=0.47 b
\end{array}
$$

5.15 An unconfined aquifer of infinite extent has a coefficient of transmissibility $k \notin$ equal to (14) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ and is situated above a semipervious layer with a resistance $c$ of (26) $10^{6}$ sec against vertical water movement. Below this semi-pervious layer artesian water with a constant and uniform level is present.

In the unconfined aquifer a circular battery of 6 fully penetrating wells is constructed. The wells are situated on a circle with a diameter of 180 m , have equal intervals, outside diameters of 0.3 m and are pumped at a constant capacity of $(5.5) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ each.

What is the lowering of the phreatic whtertable in the centre of the battery and at the face of the wells? How much artesian water will percolate upward over the area of the battery as a result of well pumping?

The drawdown due to pumping a single well in an unconfined aquifer above a semi-pervious layer equals

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} K_{0}\left(\frac{\Sigma}{\lambda}\right) \text { with } \lambda=\sqrt{k H C}
$$

This gives as drawdown in the centre of the battery

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{c}=\frac{6 Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} K_{0}\left(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right) \quad \lambda=\sqrt{(14) 10^{-3}(26) 10^{6}}=603 \mathrm{~m} \\
& s_{c}=\frac{(6)(5.5) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(14) 10^{-3}} K_{0}\left(\frac{90}{603}\right)=0.375 K_{0}(0.149)=(0.375)(2.04)=0.77 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

and at the well face

$$
s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} K_{0}\left(\frac{r_{0}}{\lambda}\right)+\frac{2 Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} K_{0}\left(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right)+\frac{2 Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} K_{0}\left(\frac{\rho \sqrt{3}}{\lambda}\right)+\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} K_{0}\left(\frac{2 \rho}{\lambda}\right)
$$

With for $\frac{r}{\lambda}$ small
$K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)=\ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{r}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=\frac{0.375}{6} \ln \frac{(1.123)(603)}{0.15}+\frac{0.375}{3} K_{0}\left(\frac{90}{603}\right)+\frac{0.375}{3} K_{0}\left(\frac{90 \sqrt{3}}{603}\right)+\frac{0.375}{6} K_{0}\left(\frac{180}{603}\right) \\
& s_{0}=\frac{0.375}{6}\left\{\ln 4502+K_{0}(0.299)\right\}+\frac{0.375}{3}\left\{K_{0}(0.149)+K_{0}(0.259)\right\} \\
& s_{0}=\frac{0.375}{6}(8.41+1.38)+\frac{0.375}{3}(2.04+1.51)=0.61+0.44=1.05 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The average drawdown $s$ ' in the circular line of wells is the drawdown $s_{0}$ at the well face minus the influence $\Delta s_{o}$ of point abstraction

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta s_{0} & =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{b}{2 \pi r_{0}} \text { with } b \text { as interval between the wells } \\
s_{0} & =\frac{0.375}{6} \ln \frac{90}{2 \pi(0.15)}=\frac{0.375}{6} \ln 95.6=0.28 \mathrm{~m} \\
s^{\prime} & =s_{0}-\Delta s_{0}=1.05-0.28=0.77 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

This drawdown is the same as the drawdown $s_{c}$ in the centre of the battery, meaning that over the full area of the battery the drawdown will have this value. The upward percolation of artesian water thus equals

$$
Q=\pi \rho^{2} \frac{s^{\prime}}{c}=\pi(90)^{2} \frac{0.77}{(26) 10^{6}}=(0.75) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

5.16 A horizontal semi-pervious layer separates a confined and an unconfined aquifer, both of infinite extent. The piezometric level of the aquifers is constant, reaching in the unconfined aquifer to 15 m and in the confined aquifer to 13.4 m above the top of the semi-pervious layer. The unconfined aquifer has a coefficient of permeability $k$ equal to ( 0.45 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ and is recharged by rainfall P in an amount of (18) $10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. The confined aquifer has a very great depth and consists of ccarse sand/fine gravel.

In the unconfined aquifer 5 fully penetrating wells are constructed in a straight line, at constant intervals of 60 m . The well screens have diameters of 0.4 m and extend from the top of the semi-pervious layer 7 m upward. The wells are pumped at constant rates $Q_{0}$ of ( 8 ) $10^{-3}$ $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ each.

What is the maximum drawdown at the well face?

In the case under consideration, the artesian water table may be considered constant, giving as drawdowndistance relationship for a fully penetrating well in the unconfined aquifer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2} K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) \text { with } \\
& \lambda=\sqrt{\mathrm{kic}} \text { and for } \frac{r}{\lambda} \text { small } \\
& K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)=\ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum drawdown occurs at the face of the centre well. Using the method of superposition, this drawdown equals


$$
s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H}\left\{\ln \frac{1.123 \lambda}{r_{0}}+2 K_{0}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda}\right)+2 K_{0}\left(\frac{2 b}{\lambda}\right)\right\}
$$

To determine the resistance $c$ of the semi-pervious layer against vertical
water movement, it is considered that with a horizontal water table in the unconfined aquifer, the full recharge by rainfall must penetrate downward to the artesian aquifer (of great transmissivity) below. The accompanying loss of head equals the difference in piezometric level, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & =P c=H-\phi \quad \text { or } \\
(18) 10^{-9} c & =15-13.4 \quad c=\frac{1.6}{(18) 10^{-9}}=(89) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec} . \text { This gives } \\
\lambda & =\sqrt{(0.45) 10^{-3}(15)(89) 10^{6}}=775 \mathrm{~m} \\
s_{0} & =\frac{(8) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(0.45) 10^{-3}(15)}\left\{\ln \frac{(1.123)(775)}{0.2}+2 K_{0}\left(\frac{60}{775}\right)+2 K_{0}\left(\frac{120}{775}\right)\right\} \\
s_{0} & =0.189\left\{\ln 4350+2 K_{0}(0.0774)+2 K_{0}(0.155)\right\} \\
s_{0} & =0.189\{8.38+2(2.68)+2(2.00)\}=(0.189)(17.74)=3.36 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

In reality, however, the well only partially penetrates the aquifer, giving rise to an additional drawdown

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta s_{0} & =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \frac{1-p}{p} \ln \frac{(1-p) h_{W}}{2 r_{0}}, \text { with } p=\frac{h_{W}}{h_{0}}=\frac{h_{W}}{H-s_{0}} \\
p & =\frac{7}{15-3.36}=\frac{7}{11.64}=0.60 \\
\Delta s_{0} & =(0.189) \frac{0.40}{0.60} \ln \frac{(0.40)(7)}{0.4}=0.25 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum drawdown at the face of the partially penetrating well thus becomes

$$
s_{0}^{1}=s_{0}+\Delta s_{0}=3.36+0.25=3.6 \mathrm{~m}
$$

5.17 An unconfined aquifer of infinite extent has a coefficient of transmissibility kH equal to $0.01 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ and is situated above a semipervious layer with a resistance $c$ of ( 36 ) $10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$ against vertical water movement. Below this less pervious layer artesian water is present at a constant and uniform level. For the construction of a building pit with a size of $80 \times 80 \mathrm{~m}$, a lowering of the phreatic water table is necessary. This will be accomplished by setting 4 wells, one at each corner of the pit and pumping these wells at equal capacities.

What is the minimum amount of groundwater abstraction necessary to assure that over the full area of the pit the lowering of the phreatic water table is at least 3 m .

The drawdown accompanying the flow of groundwater to a well in an unconfined aquifer above a semipervious layer is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) \text { with } \\
& \lambda=\sqrt{\mathrm{kHc}}=\sqrt{(0.01)(36) 10^{6}}=600 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$



For $\frac{r}{\lambda}<0.16$ or $r<100 m$ the drawdown formula may be replaced by

$$
s=\frac{Q}{2 \pi \pi^{2} H} \ln \frac{1.12 \lambda \lambda}{r}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathbb{k} H} \ln \frac{674}{r}
$$

This gives as drawdown in points $A$ and $B$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{A}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}} \ln \frac{(674)^{4}}{(40 \sqrt{2})^{4}}=9.91 \frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}} \\
& s_{B}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}} \ln \frac{(674)^{4}}{(40)^{2}(40 \sqrt{5})^{2}}=9.69 \frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The drawdown is lowest in point B, giving as requirement

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{0}>\frac{2 \pi k H s}{9.69}, Q_{0}>\frac{2 \pi(0.01)(3)}{9.69}, Q_{0}>(19.45) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec} \text { and } \\
& 4 Q_{0}=(77.8) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}=(280) \mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hour}
\end{aligned}
$$

5.18 A semi-infinite unconfined aquifer is situated above a horizontal impervious base and is bounded by a fully penetrating ditch, while at a distance of 3000 m parallel to the ditch a water divide is present. The water level in the ditch is constant at 15 m above the base, while due to recharge by rainfall $P$ in an amount of $(18) 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ the water table at the water divide rises to 20 m above the base.

At a distance of 500 m parallel to the ditch a line of fully penetrating wells is constructed, consisting of 9 units with outer diameters of 0.4 m , at intervals of 200 m . The wells are pumped at constant rates $Q_{0}$ of ( 15 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ each.

Calculate the lowest water level in the line of wells when it may be assumed that the position of the water divide relative to the ditch remains unchanged.

To calculate the unknown value of the coefficient of permeability $k$, the flow pattern shown at the right. must first be analysed

Darcy

$$
q=-k h \frac{d h}{d x}
$$


continuity

$$
\frac{d q}{d x}=P \text { or } q=P x+c_{1}
$$

combined $\quad$ hdh $=-\frac{P}{k} x d x-\frac{C_{i}}{k} d x$
integrated $\quad n^{2}=-\frac{p}{k} x^{2}-\frac{2 C_{1}}{k} x+C_{2}$

Substitution of the boundary condition gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=0, \quad h=15 \mathrm{~m}, \quad 225=C_{2} . \\
& x=3000 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~h}=20 \mathrm{~m}, \quad 400=-\frac{0.162}{\mathrm{k}}-6000 \frac{c_{1}}{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \\
& x=3000 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{q}=0 \quad 0=(54) 10^{-66}+\mathrm{C}_{1} \\
& \text { or } \quad c_{1}=-(54) 10^{-6}, c_{2}=225 \text { and } \\
& 400=-\frac{0.162}{k}+\frac{0.324}{k}+225, \frac{0.162}{k}=175 \\
& k=\frac{0.162}{175}=(0.926) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

At the site of the future line of wells ( $\mathrm{x}=500 \mathrm{~m}$ ), the water table elevation follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=-\frac{(18) 10^{-9}}{(0.926) 10^{-3}}(500)^{2}+\frac{(2)(54) 10^{-6}}{(0.926) 10^{-3}}(500)+225 \\
& \left(h_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=-4.86+58.32+225=278.46 \quad, \quad h_{0}^{\prime}=16.69 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

To calculate the drawdown due to pumping the line of wells, this line is first replaced by a fully penetrating gallery of infinite length with as capacity

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{0}=\frac{Q}{b} & =\frac{(15) 10^{-3}}{200} \\
& =(75) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$



The total recharge by rainfall amounts to

$$
\text { P.L }=(18) 10^{-9}(3000)=(54) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m} / / \mathrm{sec}
$$

requiring an inflow of water from the bounding ditch with as magnitude

$$
q_{r}=(75) 10^{-6}-(54) 10^{-6}=(21) 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{1} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

The equations of flow remains the same as derived above

$$
\begin{aligned}
q & =P x+C_{1} \\
h^{2} & =-\frac{P}{k} x^{2}-\frac{2 C_{1}}{k} x+C_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

but the boundary conditions are different

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x=0, & q=q_{r}=(21) 10^{-6}=c_{1} \\
x=0, & \text { or } c_{1}=(21) 10^{-6} \\
x=15 \mathrm{~m} & 225=c_{2}
\end{array}
$$

This gives for $x=500 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{0}^{2}=-\frac{(18) 10^{-9}}{(0.926) 10^{-3}}(500)^{2}-\frac{(2)(21) 10^{-6}}{(0.926) 10^{-3}}(500)+225 \\
& h_{0}^{2}=-4.86-22.68+225=197.46, h_{0}=14.05 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

and as drawdown

$$
s^{\prime}=h^{\prime}-h=16.69-14.05=0.64 \mathrm{~m}
$$

The line of wells has only a limited length, reducing the drawdown to
to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=\beta s_{0}^{\prime} \text { with for the centre well } \\
& \beta=F_{2}\left\{\frac{(4.5)(200)}{(2)(500)}\right\}=F_{2}(0.900)=0.697 \\
& s_{0}=(0.697)(2.64)=1.84 \mathrm{~m} \text { and augmenting the water table depth } \\
& h_{00}=14.05+(2.64-1.84)=14.85 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to point abstraction, the drawdown at the face of the well is larger by

$$
\Delta s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{b}{2 \pi r_{0}}=\frac{(15) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(0.926) 10^{-3}(14.85)} \ln \frac{200}{2 \pi(0.2)}=0.88 \mathrm{~m}
$$

giving as final table elevation at the well face

$$
h_{000}=14.85-0.88=13.97 \mathrm{~m}
$$

5.19 An unconfined aquifer has a coefficient of permeability $k$ equal to ( 0.3 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ and is situated above an impervious base. To the left the aquifer is bounded by a fully penetrating ditch, to the right it extends to infinity. The water level in the ditch is constant at 25 m above the impervious base.

At a distance of 150 m from the ditch, a line of 5 fully penetrating wells at intervals of 80 m and outside diameters of 0.4 m is constructed. From each well groundwater in an amount $Q_{0}=(12) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ is abstracted.

What is the maximum lowering of the ground-water table at the well face? At what distance from the shoreline is the lowering of the groundwater table less than 0.05 m ?

The raximum lowering of the groundwater table will occur at the face of the centre well. Using the method of images, the remaining water table depth $h_{0}$ is given by

$$
H^{2}-h_{0}^{2}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi k} \Sigma \ln \frac{r^{\prime}}{r}
$$



$$
(25)^{2}-h_{0}^{2}=\frac{(12) 10^{-3}}{\pi(0.3) 10^{-3}}\left(\ln \frac{300}{0.2}+2 \ln \frac{310.5}{80}+2 \ln \frac{340.0}{160}\right)
$$

$$
625-h_{0}^{2}=146.84, h_{0}^{2}=478.16, h_{0}=21.87 \text { and }
$$

$$
s=H-h_{0}=25-21.87=3.13 m
$$

When the drawdown of 0.05 m occurs at a distance $x$ from the shoreline, the distance between this point and the real wells equals $x-150 \mathrm{~m}$ and the distance to the image wells $\mathrm{x}+150 \mathrm{~m}$. This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
(25)^{2}-(24.95)^{2} & =5 \frac{(12)}{\pi(0.3) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{x+150}{x-150}, \frac{x+150}{x-150}=1.040 \text { and } \\
x & =150 \frac{1.040+1}{1.040-1}=7650 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

This distance is so large, that indeed the abstraction by the line of wells may be concentrated in the centre.
5.21 An unconfined aquifer of infinite extent has a coefficient of transmissibility kH equal to (4) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$, a specific yield $\mu$ of $25 \%$ and is situated above an impervious base. In this aquifer an infinite line of fully penetrating wells is constructed. The wells have external diameters of 0.3 m , are set at intervals b of 100 m and are pumped at a constant rate $Q_{0}$ of (6) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

What is the drawdown at the well face after 100 days of pumping and what is the drawdown at 200 m from the line of wells at this moment?

When provisionally the line of wells is replaced by a gallery with the same capacity per lineal meter

$$
2 q_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{b}
$$

the drawdown equals


$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0} & =\frac{2 q_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu k H}} \sqrt{t}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi b}} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{\mu k H}} \\
s & =s_{0} E_{3} \text { with } E_{3} \text { a function of } \\
u & =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{k H}} \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

After $t=100$ days $=(8.64) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$, the drawdowns become

$$
s_{0}=\frac{(6) 10^{-3}}{\sqrt{\pi(100)}} \frac{\sqrt{(8.64) 10^{6}}}{\sqrt{(0.25)(4) 10^{-3}}}=3.15 \mathrm{~m}
$$

At $x=200 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{0.25}{(4) 10^{-3}} \frac{200}{\sqrt{(8.64) 10^{6}}}=0.270, \quad E_{3}(0.270)=0.594} \\
& s=(3.15)(0.594)=1.9 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing the gallery by a line of wells gives an additional drawdown at the well face

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{b}{2 \pi r_{0}}=\frac{(6) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(4) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{100}{2 \pi(0.15)}=0.239 \ln 106 \text { or } \\
& \Delta s_{0}=1.11 \mathrm{~m} \text { Together } \\
& s_{0}^{\prime}=s_{0}+\Delta s_{0}=3.15+1.11=4.3 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

A semi-infinite unconfined aquifer is situated above an impervious base and bounded by a fully penetrating ditch. The coefficient of transmissibility $k H$ below water table amounts to (8) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ the specific yield $\mu$ to $30 \%$. In the aquifer 3 fully penetrating wells are set at intervals of 60 m in a line at a distance of 200 m parallel to the ditch. The wells have outside diameters of 0.6 m and are pumped for a period of 50 days at a constant rate of (12) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ each.

What is the maximum drawdown at the well face at the end of the pumping period?

The unsteady drawdown due to pumping a single well in a semi-infinite unconfined aquifer above an impervious base equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & =\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H}\left\{W\left(u_{1}{ }^{2}\right)-W\left(u_{2}{ }^{2}\right)\right\} \quad \text { with } \\
u_{1}^{2} & =\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r_{1}{ }^{2}}{t} \quad u_{2}{ }^{2}=\frac{u}{4 k H} \frac{r_{2}^{2}}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$



After 50 days $=(4.32) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$

$$
u^{2}=\frac{0.3}{(4)(8) 10^{-3}} \frac{r^{2}}{(4.32) 10^{6}}=\frac{r^{2}}{(0.46) 10^{6}}
$$



The maximum drawdown occurs at the face of the centre well. Using the method of superposition this drawdown becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0}= & \frac{(12) 10^{-3}}{4 \pi(8) 10^{-3}}\left\{\mathrm{~W}\left(\frac{(0.3)^{2}}{(0.46) 10^{6}}\right)+2 W\left(\frac{(60)^{2}}{(0.46) 10^{6}}\right)-W\left(\frac{(400)^{2}}{(0.46) 10^{6}}\right)-\right. \\
& -2 W\left\{\left(\frac{60)^{2}+(400)^{2}}{(0.46) 10^{6}}\right)\right\} \\
s_{0}= & 0.119\left\{\mathrm{~W}\left((1.95) 10^{-7}\right)+2 W\left\{(7.82) 10^{-3}\right)-W\left\{(3.48) 10^{-1}\right)-\right. \\
& \left.-2 W\left\{(3.56) 10^{-1}\right)\right\} \\
s_{0}= & 0.119\{14.86+2(4.28)-0.80-2(0.78)\}=(0.119)(21.06)=2.5
\end{aligned}
$$

An unconfined aquifer of infinite extent is situated above an impervious base. The aquifer consists of fractured limestone with a coefficient of transmissibility kH of ( 8 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ below water table and a specific yield $\mu$ of $5 \%$. Due to absence of recharge the groundwater table is horizontal. In the unconfined aquifer two fully penetrating wells are constructed at an interval of 1000 m . One well has a diameter of 0.3 m and a capacity of (25) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$, the other well a diameter of 0.6 m and a capacity of $(50) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$. Starting at $t=0$, both wells are pumped during a period of 30 days.

What is the drawdown at the face of each well and halfway between the two wells at the end of the pumping period? How much time must elapse before the drawdown halfway the two wells has decreased to 0.1 m ?

The drawdown due to pumping a single well in an unconfined aquifer of infinite extent is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & =\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} W\left(u^{2}\right) \quad \text { with } \\
u^{2} & =\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r^{2}}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$



The drawdown caused by pumping the wells $A$ and $B$ can be found with the method of superposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=\frac{Q_{a}}{4 \pi k \dot{k} H} W\left(u_{a}{ }^{2}\right)+\frac{Q_{b}}{4 \pi k H} W\left(u_{b}{ }^{2}\right) . \text { This gives at } \\
& t=30 \text { days }=(2.59) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

well face A:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{A}^{2}=\frac{0.05}{(4)(8) 10^{-3}} \frac{(0.15)^{2}}{(2.59) 10^{6}}=(1.36) 10^{-8} \quad W\left(u_{a}\right)^{2}=17.54 \\
& u_{B}^{2}=\frac{0.05}{(4)(8) 10^{-3}} \frac{(1000)^{2}}{(2.59) 10^{6}}=0.60 \quad W\left(u_{b}^{2}\right)=0.454 \\
& s_{A}=\frac{(25) 10^{-3}}{4 \pi(8) 10^{-3}}(17.54)+\frac{(50) 10^{-3}}{4 \pi(8) 10^{-3}}(0.454)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
s_{A}=(0.249)(17.54)+(0.498)(0.454)=4.37+0.23=4.6 \mathrm{~m}
$$

well face $B$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{A}^{2}=\frac{0.05}{(4)(8) 10^{-3}} \frac{(1000)^{2}}{(2.59) 10^{6}}=0.60 \quad W\left(u_{a}^{2}\right)=0.454 \\
& u_{B}^{2}=\frac{0.05}{(4)(8) 10^{-3}} \frac{(0.3)^{2}}{(2.59) 10^{6}}=(5.43) 10^{-8} \quad W\left(u_{b}^{2}\right)=16.15 \\
& s_{B}=(0.249)(0.454)+(0.498)(16.15)=0.11+8.05=8.2 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

halfway

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{2}=\frac{0.05}{(4)(8) 10^{-3}} \frac{(500)^{2}}{(2.59) 10^{6}}=0.15 \quad W\left(u^{2}\right)=1.465 \\
& s_{C}=(0.249)(1.465)+(0.498)(1.465)=(0.747)(1.465)=1.1 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Stopping the abstraction at the end of the pumping period must mathematically be obtained by superimposing a recharge of the same magnitude. When the time $t$ is measured from the moment pumping starts and the duration of the pumping period is called $\tau$ (equal to 30 days or $(2.59) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$ ) the drawdown in point $C$ now equals

$$
s_{C}=(0.747) W\left(u_{t}^{2}\right)-(0.747) W\left(u_{t-\tau}^{2}\right)
$$

Before the drawdown has receded to 0.1 m , much time will have elapsed. The values of $u^{2}$ are than so small that the formula above may be approximated by

$$
s_{C}=(0.747) \ln \frac{0.562}{u_{t}^{2}}-(0.747) \ln \frac{0.562}{u_{t-\tau}^{2}}=0.747 \ln \frac{u_{t-\tau}^{2}}{u_{t}^{2}}
$$

With $\quad u^{2}=\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r^{2}}{t} \quad$ this formula simplifies to

$$
s_{C}=0.747 \ln \frac{t}{t-\tau}
$$

A value $s_{C}=0.1 \mathrm{~m}$ consequently requires

$$
\ln \frac{t}{t-\tau}=0.134, \frac{t}{t-\tau}=1.143, \quad t=8 \tau, \quad t-\tau=7 \tau
$$

After pumping has stopped, (7)(30) or 210 days must elapse before the drawdown halfway both wells has decreased to 0.1 m .
-.
5.24 An unconfined aquifer has a coefficient of transmissibility kH equal to $0.02 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ and a specific yield $\mu$ of $30 \%$ and is situated above an impervious base. In this aquifer a ditch is constructed from which water is abstracted according to the following pattern


What is the lowering of the groundwater table at a distance of 60 m from the ditch at $t=50$ days?

For the situation sketched in the figure at the right, the drawdown is given by
$x=0 \quad s_{0}=\frac{2 q_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi} \cdot} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu K H}} \sqrt{t}$

$x=x \quad s=s_{0} E_{3}$ with $E_{3}$ a function of the parameter

$$
u=\frac{1}{t} \sqrt{\frac{u}{\mathrm{kH}}} \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}
$$

These formula hold true for a constant abstraction, starting at $t=0$ and thereaderemenuing indefinitely. To apiply these formula for the case under consideration, superposition is necessary as indicated in the diagram on the right.
With the data supplied

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=(7.28)\left(2 q_{0}\right) \sqrt{t} \\
& u=\frac{116.2}{\sqrt{t}} \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$


$t=50$ days $\quad=(4.320) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$
$t=(50-10)$ days $=(3.456) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$
$t=(50-20)$ days $=(2.592) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$
the drawdown at $x=60 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{t}=50$ days becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & =(7.28)(0.8) 10^{-3} \sqrt{(4.320) 10^{6}} E_{3}\left(\frac{116.2}{\sqrt{(4.320) 10^{6}}}\right)+ \\
& +(7.28)(0.4) 10^{-3} \sqrt{(3.456) 10^{6}} E_{3}\left(\frac{116.2}{\left.\sqrt{(3.456) 10^{0}}\right)}-\right. \\
& -(7.28)(1.2) 10^{-3} \sqrt{(2.592) 10^{6}} E_{3}\left(\frac{116.2}{\left.\sqrt{(2.592) 10^{0}}\right)}\right. \\
s & =12.10 E_{3}(0.0559)+(5.41) E_{3}(0.0625)-(14.06) E_{3}(0.722)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the values of $E_{3}$ from Groundwater Recovery, page 42 , gives

```
s=(12.10)(0.9041) +(5.41)(0.8931) - (14.06)(0.8773)
s=10.94 + 4.83-12.33=3.44m
```

6.01

An artesian aquifer has a thickness of 15 m and is composed of sand, the extreme grain size distributions of which are shown in the diagram at the right. In this aquifer a well must be constructed for a capacity $Q_{0}$ of (12) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

Sketch the well construction to be applied and calculate all necessary dimensions.

With regard to the smail aquifer depth and the fine
 grainsize distribution of the aquifer material, a fully penetrating artificially gravel-packed well must be applied, as sketched in the picture at the right. With $40 \%$ of the finest aquifer material smaller than ( 0.24 ) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$, the maximum allowable entrance velocity according to Gross equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{a}=(2)(0.24) 10^{-3} \text { or } \\
& v_{a}=(0.48) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$



The outer diameter of the gravel pack now follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{0}=2 \pi r_{0} h_{w} v_{a} \\
& r_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi h_{w} r_{a}}=\frac{(12) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(14)(0.48) 10^{-3}}=0.284 \mathrm{~m}, \text { rounded of } \\
& r_{0}=0.3 \mathrm{~m}, 2 r_{0}=0.6 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

With a double gravel treatment, each layer 0.07 m thick, the inner diameter becomes

$$
2 r_{i}=0.6-(4)(0.07)=0.32 \mathrm{~m}, \text { giving as maximum velocity }
$$

of upward flow inside the screen

$$
v_{\max }=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi r_{i}^{2}}=\frac{(12) 10^{-3}}{\pi(0.16)^{2}}=0.15 \mathrm{~m}
$$

This velocity is so small that the inner diameter may be reduced to 0.25 m , increasing the thickness of the gravel layers to nearly 9 cm each and augmenting the maximum velocity of upward water movement to only

$$
v_{\max }=\frac{(12) 10^{-3}}{\pi(0.125)^{2}}=0.24 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

As regards the composition of the gravel pack, the lower limit of the outer layer should have a size not exceeding 4 times the $85 \%$ diameter of the finest aquifer material or

$$
(4)(0.38)=1.52 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

and the upper layer not more than a factor $\sqrt{2}=1.41$ coarser or

$$
(1.41)(1.52)=2.14 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

As commercially available material, the size $1.4-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ will be chosen. The inner layer is again a factor 4 coarser or $5.6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ allowing slot openings of 2 mm .
7.01 In a confined aquifer of large extent, a test well is pumped at a constant rate $Q_{0}$ of ( 8$) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$. The steady - state drawdown is measured with the help of piezometers at 20 and 60 m from the testwell and amounts to 1.05 and 0.72 m respectively. What is the coefficient of transmissibility of the aquifer concerned?

According to Thiem's formula, the difference in drawdown between two points at distances of $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ from the well centre equals

$$
s_{1}-s_{2}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}
$$

From this formula follows as coefficient of transmissibility

$$
k H=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)} \ln \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}
$$

Substitution of the data gives

$$
\mathrm{kH}=\frac{(8) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(1.05-0.72)} \ln \frac{60}{20}=\frac{(8) 10^{-3}(1.10)}{2 \pi(0.33)}=(4.2) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}
$$ and topped by a semi-pervious layer, above which phreatic water at a constant level is present. In the aquifer a testwell is constructed and pumped at a constant rate $Q_{0}$ of (17) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$. The resulting steady -state drawdown $s$ of the artesian water table is measured at various distances $r$ from the centre of the pumped well:

| $r=50$ | 100 | 200 | 300 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $s=0.85$ | 0.58 | 0.34 | 0.22 |$\cdot$| 400 | 500 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.14 | 0.10 |

What are the values of the geo-hydrological constants for this formation?


With a leaky artesian aquifer the drawdown $s$ as function of the distance $r$ is given by

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}} K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)
$$

In the accompanying diagram with logarithmic divisions on both axis, the drawdown $s$ is plotted against the distance $r$, while the Besselfunction $K_{o}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)$ is indicated with a dotted line. To cover the plotted points as well as possible, the dotted line must be moved upwards and sideways, so that point $A$ arrives in point $B$. The coordinates of both points

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
\mathrm{A}: & \frac{r}{\lambda}=2.1 & K_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)=0.1 \\
\text { B: } & r=760 \mathrm{~m} & \mathrm{~s}=0.04 \mathrm{~m}
\end{array}
$$

must now correspond, giving as relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{760}{\lambda} & =2.1 \quad \text { or } \lambda=360 \mathrm{~m} \\
0.04 & =\frac{(17) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}}(0.1) \quad \text { or } \mathrm{kH}=(6.8) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec} \\
\text { and } \quad c & =\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\mathrm{kH}}=\frac{(360)^{2}}{(6.8) 10^{-3}}=(19) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

7.11 A semi-infinite unconfined aquifer has a saturated thickness of 15 m , is situated above an impervious base and bounded by a ditch. In a line perpendicular to the ditch a well and two piezometers are constructed. The well is situated at 400 m from the ditch, while the piezometers are at distances $r$ of 20 m and 50 m more inland. The well is pumped at a constant rate $Q_{0}$ of (30) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$. After reaching steady-state conditions the drawdown $s$ in the piezometers amounts to 2.20 m and 1.67 m respectively.

What is the coefficient of permeability of the unconfined aquifer?

For an unconfined aquifer above an impervious base, the general well formula reads

$$
H^{2}-h^{2}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi k} \ln \frac{R}{r}
$$

Assuming that $R$ has the same value for both piezometers gives

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{2}^{2}-h_{1}^{2}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi k} \ln \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}} \quad \text { With } \\
h_{1}=15-2.20=12.80 \text { and } h_{2}=15-1.67=13.33 \\
(13.33)^{2}-(12.80)^{2}=\frac{(30) 10^{-3}}{\pi k} \ln \frac{50}{20} \\
k=\frac{(30) 10^{-3}(0.916)}{\pi(0.53)(26.13)}=(0.63) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{gathered}
$$

A more sophisticated approach takes into account the variation of $R$ with distance to the shoreline. Using the method of images

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{2}-h^{2}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi k} \ln \frac{2 L+r}{r} \text { and } \\
& h_{2}^{2}-h_{1}^{2}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi k} \ln \left(\frac{2 L+r_{1}}{2 L+r_{2}} \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Provisionally assuming $L=400 \mathrm{~m}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
(13.33)^{2}-(12.80)^{2} & =\frac{(30) 10^{-3}}{\pi k} \ln \frac{820}{850} \cdot \frac{50}{20} \\
k & =\frac{(30) 10^{-3}(0.88)}{\pi(0.53)(26.13)}=(0.61) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

The assumed value of $L$ may be checked with the individual drawdowns

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (15)^{2}-(12.80)^{2}=\frac{(30) 10^{-3}}{\pi(0.61) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{2 L+20}{20}, \quad \ln \frac{2 L+20}{20}=3.89 \quad L=480 \mathrm{~m} \\
& (15)^{2}-(13.33)^{2}=\frac{(30) 10^{-3}}{\pi(0.61) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{2 L+50}{50}, \quad \ln \frac{2 L+50}{50}=3.00 \quad L=480 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives finally
$(13.33)^{2}-(12.80)^{2}=\frac{(30) 10^{-3}}{\pi k} \ln \frac{980}{1010} \frac{50}{20}$

$$
k=\frac{(30) 10^{-3}(0.885)}{\pi(0.53)(26.13)}=(0.61) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

7.12 In an unconfined aquifer with a saturated thickness of 20 m above an impervious base, a line of fully penetrating wells runs at a distance of 50 m parallel to a stream. The wells have outside diameters of 0.6 m , an artificial gravel pack 0.15 m thick, intervals of 40 m and are pumped at constant rates of (15) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ each. The wells are about 15 years old, but during the last years a serious lowering of the water level inside the pumped wells has been noticed, pointing to a clogging of the well screen openings. According to local experience, cleaning of the well screen is possible, but the cost is rather high and it is therefore doubtful whether this is an economic proposition. To decide this question, a test pumping is carried out, abstracting from one well an amount of (28) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ and measuring the drawdown in the well itself and in the neighbouring wells

| $\mathrm{r}=0$ | 40 | 80 | 120 | 160 | 200 m |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{~s}=6.3$ | 0.65 | 0.33 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.08 m |

What is the amount of screen resistance and would cleaning of the well be an attractive proposition?

Using the method of images, the drawdown in a well at a distance nb
 from the pumped well equals

$$
\mathrm{s}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{(\mathrm{nb})^{2}+(2 \mathrm{~L})^{2}}}{\mathrm{nb}}=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi \mathrm{kH}} \ln \left\{1+\left(\frac{2 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{nb}}\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

This means that when $s$ on linear scale is plotted against the factor

$$
a=1+\left(\frac{2 L}{n b}\right)^{2} \text { on logarithmic scale, }
$$ a straight line will emerge, the slope of which is a measure for the coefficient of

 transmissibility kH. Unfortunately, however, the distance $L$ is not known exactly. Indeed the wells are set at a distance of 50 m from the shoreline, but the effective distance to the constant water level in the bounding ditch might be larger. Two distances will therefore be assumed

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=50 \mathrm{~m}, \quad a_{50}=1+\left(\frac{100}{n 40}\right)^{2}=1+\left(\frac{2.5}{n}\right)^{2} \\
& L=75 \mathrm{~m}, \quad a_{75}=1+\left(\frac{150}{n 40}\right)^{2}=1+\left(\frac{3.75}{n}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives

| $r$ | $=40$ | 80 | 120 | 160 | $200 \quad m$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $n$ | $=1$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| $a_{50}$ | $=7.25$ | 2.56 |  | 1.69 |  |
| $a_{75}$ | $=15.1$ |  | 4.52 |  | 1.59 |
| $a_{75}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Graphically the relation between $s$ and $a$ is shown in the diagram below, from which follows that $L$ must be larger than 50 and smaller than 75 m . The dotted line has the average slope and as characteristics

$$
s=0.65 \mathrm{~m}, r=40 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n}=1, a=10
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
10 & =1+\left(\frac{2 L}{40}\right)^{2} & \frac{2 L}{40}=3, & L=60 \mathrm{~m} \\
0.65 & =\frac{(28) 10^{-3}}{4 \pi k H} \ln 10, & \mathrm{kH} & =\frac{(28) 10^{-3}(2.30)}{4 \pi(0.65)}=(7.9) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

and with

$$
H=20 \mathrm{~m}, \quad \mathrm{k}=(0.4) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

With these data, the drawdown at the well face follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{2}-h_{0}^{2} & =\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi k} \ln \frac{2 L}{r_{0}} \text { or } 400-h_{0}^{2}=\frac{(28) 10^{-3}}{\pi(0.4) 10^{-3}} \ln \frac{120}{0.3} \\
h_{0}^{2} & =400-134=266, h_{0}=16.3 \mathrm{~m}, \quad s_{0}=20-16.3=3.7 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

In reality, a drawdown inside the well of 6.3 m was measured. The difference of $6.3-3.7=2.6 \mathrm{~m}$ is due to
entrance resistance
friction losses in well screen and casing pipe

With a flow of (28) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ through screen and casing pipe of 0.3 m inner diameter, the velocity of upward watermovement is only $0.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ and even when the interior surface is very rough, the friction losses will not exceed 1 mm per m . With the shallow well under consideration, these friction losses are consequently negligeable and the full difference of 2.6 m must be attributed to entrance resistance.

During normal operation with a capacity of (15) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ these entrance losses are much lower, equal to

$$
\Delta=\left\{\frac{(15) 10^{-3}}{(28) 10^{-3}}\right\}^{2} \quad 2.6=0.75 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Theoretically this increases power requirements by

$$
P=(15) 10^{-3}(0.75)=(11) 10^{-3} \text { tonmeter } / \mathrm{sec}=0.11 \mathrm{~kW}
$$

and taking into account an over-all efficiency of 0.7 in reality by

$$
P=\frac{0.11}{0.7}=0.16 \mathrm{~kW}
$$

When operating continuously, the additional annual energy consumption equals

$$
E=(0.16)(8760)=1400 \mathrm{kWh}
$$

increasing the cost of operation by about $\$ 30$ per year. This sum is so small, that an expensive cleaning operation is economically not justified.
7.21 In an unconfined aquifer of infinite extent, situated above an impervious base, a test well is pumped at a constant rate of (12) $10^{-3}$ $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$. The resulting drawdown of the water table is measured with piezometers at distances of 20 and 50 m from the well centre. After 60 days of pumping these drawdowns are 1.65 m and 1.15 m respectively.

What are the values of the coefficient of transmissibility kH and of the specific yield $\mu$ for this formation?

The unsteady drawdown due to pumping a well in an unconfined aquifer above an impervious base equals

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} W\left(u^{2}\right), \quad \text { with } u^{2}=\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r^{2}}{t}
$$

When $u^{2}$ is small, less than 0.05 , a $98 \%$ accurate approximation may be had with

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{0.75}{u}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln 1.5 \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{kH}}{\mu}} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{r}
$$

Provisionally assuming that for the observations made $u^{2}$ is indeed small, the difference in drawdown in points at distances $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ from the weli centre becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{1}-s_{2} & =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi \mu H} \ln \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}} \quad \text { or } \\
1.65-1.15 & =\frac{(12) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi \mathrm{KH}} \ln \frac{50}{20} \\
\mathrm{kH} & =\frac{(12) 10^{-3}(0.916)}{2 \pi(0.50)}=(3.5) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

The value of $\mu$ follows from ( 60 days $=(5.18) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{1} & =\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln 1.5 \sqrt{\frac{k H}{\mu}} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{r_{1}} \\
1.65 & =\frac{(12) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi(3.5) 10^{-3}} \ln \quad 1.5 \sqrt{\frac{(3.5) 10^{-3}}{\mu}} \frac{\sqrt{(5.18) 10^{6}}}{20}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\ln \frac{10.1}{\sqrt{\mu}}=3.02, \quad \frac{10.1}{\sqrt{\mu}}=20.5, \quad \mu=0.24
$$

To check the validity of the approximative formule, the largest value of $u^{2}$ used must be calculated

$$
u_{2}^{2}=\frac{0.24}{(4)(3.5) 10^{-3}} \frac{(50)^{2}}{(5.18) 10^{6}}=0.0083<0.05
$$

7.22 In an unconfined aquifer above an impervious base a fully penetrating well is pumped for 10 days at a constant rate $Q_{0}$ of (11) $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$. The resulting lowering $s$ of the phreatic water table is measured with piezometers at 20,50 and 100 m from the well centre:

| after | drawdown at distance of <br>  |  | 20 m | 50 m | 100 m |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | $\mathrm{~s}_{20}-\mathrm{s}_{50}$.

What is the value of the coefficient of transmissibility $k H$ and of the specific yield $\mu$ for this formation?

The unsteady drawdown in an unconfined aquifer above an impervious base equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{s} & =\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi \mathrm{kH}} \mathrm{~W}\left(u^{2}\right) \quad \text { with } \\
u^{2} & =\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r^{2}}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the various observations, the values of $\frac{r^{2}}{t}$ are tabulated below ( 1 day $=86400 \mathrm{sec}$ )

| after | $\begin{array}{r} r^{2} \\ 20 \quad m \\ \hline \end{array}$ | at distance <br> 50 m | 100 m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 day | (4.63) $10^{-3}$ | $(28.9) 10^{-3}$ | $(116) 10^{-3}$ |
| 2 days | (2.32) | (14.5) | (57.9) |
| 3 " | (1.54) | (9.65) | (38.6) |
| $5 "$ | (0.926) | (5.79) | (23.2) |
| 7 " | (0.661) | (4.13) | (16.5) |
| 10 " | (0.463) | (2.89) | (11.6) |



In the accompanying diagram with a logarithmic division on both axis the drawdown $s$ is plotted against the calculated values of $r^{2} / t$, while the logarithmic integral $W\left(u^{2}\right)$ is indicated with a dotted line. To cover the plotted points as well as possible, the dotted line must be moved upward and sideways, so that point $A$ arrives in point $B$. The coordinates of both points

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A: & u^{2}=1.5 \\
B: & \frac{r^{2}}{t}=(70) 10^{-3}
\end{array}
$$

$$
W\left(u^{2}\right)=0.1
$$

$$
s=0.025
$$

must now correspond, giving as relations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0.025=\frac{(11) 10^{-3}}{4 \pi \mathrm{kH}} 0.1, & \mathrm{kH}
\end{array} \begin{array}{ll} 
& =(3.5 \\
1.5 & =\frac{\mu}{(1)(2-5) 10^{-3}}(70) 10^{-3},
\end{array} r=0.30
$$

The first table (page $7.22-a$ ) shows, that the limit of $s_{1}-s_{2}$ will be about 0.45 m . Thiem's formula:
$s_{1}-s_{2}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 \pi k H} \ln \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}$ and substitution of this value and the data of $r_{1}=20 \mathrm{~m}$ and $r_{2}=50 \mathrm{~m}$ gives:

$$
0.45=\frac{(11) 10^{-3}}{2 \pi \mathrm{kH}} \ln \frac{50}{20} \text { or } \mathrm{kH}=\frac{(11) 10^{-3} 0.916}{2 \pi(0.45)}=(3.6) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

This result is in good accordance with the given solution.
-

Starting at $t=0$, a well in an unconfined sandstone aquifer is pumped at a constant rate of 44 liters/sec. In an observation well at a. distance of 75 m the drawdown equals

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
t=100 & 1000 & 10000 \\
s=0.57 & 1.01 & 1.44
\end{array}
$$

What are the values for the coefficient of transmissibility and for the specific yield of this aquifer?

The drawdown accompanying the unsteady flow of groundwater to a well is given by

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} W\left(u^{2}\right) \quad \text { with } u^{2}=\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r^{2}}{t}
$$

For $u^{2}$ small, that is for $t$ large, this formula may be simplified to

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} \ln \frac{0.562}{u^{2}} \text { and after substitution of the value }
$$

for $u^{2}$

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} \ln \frac{(2.25) k H}{\mu r^{2}} t
$$

With the observations made, this gives
(1) $0.57=\frac{(44) 10^{-3}}{4 \pi k H} \ln \frac{(2.25) \mathrm{kH}}{\mu(75)^{2}}(100)(60)$
(2) $\quad 1.01=\frac{(44) 10^{-3}}{4 \pi k H} \ln \frac{(2.25) \mathrm{kH}}{\mu(75)^{2}}(1000)(60)$
(3) $1.44=\frac{(44) \cdot 10^{-3}}{4 \pi k H} \ln \frac{(2.25) k H}{\mu(75)^{2}}(10000)(60)$
(1) $-(2) \quad 0.44=\frac{(44) 10^{-3}}{4 \pi \mathrm{kH}} \ln 10$
$(2)-(3) \quad 0.43=\frac{(44) 10^{-3}}{4 \pi \mathrm{KH}} \ln 10$
(1)-(3) $\quad 0.87=\frac{(44) 10^{-3}}{4 \pi \mathrm{kH}} \ln 100$ or $0.435=\frac{(44) 10^{-3}}{4 \pi \mathrm{kH}} \ln 10$

These results are in good accordance with each other, justifying the use of the approximate formula for $W\left(u^{2}\right)$. With the last equation the value of kH follows at

$$
\mathrm{kH}=\frac{(44) 10^{-3}}{4 \pi(0.435)} \ln 10=0.0185 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}=1600 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{day}
$$

Substitution of this value in (3) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.44=\frac{(44) 10^{-3}}{4 \pi(0.0185)} \ln \frac{(2.25)(0.0185)}{\mu(75)^{2}}(10000)(60) \\
& 7.61=\ln 2015=\ln \frac{4.44}{\mu} \quad \text { or } \mu=0.0022=0.22 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

7.24 An unconfined fractured limestone aquifer has a saturated thickness of about 300 m and is situated above an impervious base. In this aquifer an open hole is drilled with a diameter of 0.24 m . Starting at $t=0$ water is abstracted from this hole in a constant amount of $0.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ minute, giving as drawdown

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
t=0 & 5 & 10 & 15 & 30 & 45 & 60 & 120 \text { minutes } \\
s_{0}= & 0.0 & 21.5 & 24.0 & 25.5 & 28.0 & 29.5 & 30.5 & 33.0
\end{array}
$$

Questions
a. what are the geo-hydrological constants of this aquifer?
b. what is the drawdown after 3 months of continuous pumping at the rate mentioned above?
c. what is the remaining drawdown 9 months after cessation of pumping?

The unsteady drawdown in an unconfined aquifer above an impervious base equals

$$
s=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} w\left(u^{2}\right) \text { with } u^{2}=\frac{\mu}{4 k H} \frac{r^{2}}{t}
$$

At the well face, $r=r_{0}, u^{2}$ will be small, allowing as approximation

$$
s_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} \ln \frac{0.562}{u^{2}}=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} \ln \frac{2.25 \mathrm{kH}}{\mu r_{0}^{2}} t
$$

The observation clearly show that each doubling of time increases the drawdown by 2.5 m . Substituted

$$
2.5=\frac{Q_{0}}{4 \pi k H} \ln 2
$$

$$
\mathrm{kH}=\frac{Q_{0}}{(10) \pi} \ln 2=\frac{0.3}{(10) \pi(60)} \ln 2=(0.11) 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

After $120 \mathrm{~min}=7200 \mathrm{sec}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
33.0 & =\frac{0.3}{(4) \pi(0.11) 10^{-3}(60)} \ln \frac{(2.25)(0.11) 10^{-3}}{\mu(0.12)^{2}} 7200 \\
33.0 & =3.617 \ln \frac{123.8}{\mu}, \quad \ln \frac{123.8}{\mu}=9.124=\ln 9169 \\
\mu & =\frac{123.8}{9169}=0.0135=1.35 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

After 3 months $=(7.884) 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}$ the drawdown becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0} & =3.617 \ln \frac{(2.25)(0.11) 10^{-3}}{(0.0135)(0.12)^{2}}(7.884) 10^{6}= \\
& =3.617 \ln (10.04) 10^{6}=58.3 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Nine months later the remaining drawdown equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{o} & \left.=3.617 \ln \frac{(2.25)(0.11) 10^{-3}}{(0.0135)(0.12)^{2}}+4\right)(7.884) 10^{6}- \\
& -\ln \frac{(2.25)(0.11) 10^{-3}}{(0.0135)(0.12)^{2}}(3)(7.884) 10^{6} \\
s_{0} & =3.617 \ln \frac{4}{3}=1.04 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Strictly speaking, the drawdown of 58.3 m decreases the saturated thickness to $300-58=242 \mathrm{~m}$ or to $\frac{242}{300}=0.8$ of the original value. This increases the drawdown to

$$
s_{0}=\frac{3.617}{0.8} \ln (10.04) 10^{6}(0.8)=71.9 \mathrm{~m} \text { or by } 23 \%
$$

and so on, and so on. By trial and error

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=75 \mathrm{~m} \quad \frac{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{s}_{0}}{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{225}{300}=0.75 \\
& s_{0}=\frac{3.617}{0.75} \ln (10.04) 10^{6}(0.75)=76.4 \mathrm{~m} \\
& s_{0}=80 \mathrm{~m} \quad \frac{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{s}_{0}}{\mathrm{H}}=\cdot \frac{220}{300}=0.733 \\
& s_{0}=\frac{3.617}{0.733} \ln (10.04) 10^{6}(0.733)=78.0
\end{aligned}
$$

from which follows by interpolation $s_{0}=77.1 \mathrm{~m}$


#### Abstract

8.01 How are round holes obtained when drilling with the cable tool percussion method in consolidated formations and what is the purpose of the sinker bar in this system?


With the cable tool percussion method of well construction, the tool string is supported in the drill hole at the end of a steel cable, connected to it by means of a rope socket. The cable is woven such as to obtain a strong twist, while the rope socket allows the tool string to turn relative to the cable. When now during the upstroke the cable stretches, its twist will turn the tool-string several times. At the end of the downstroke, the tool-string rests momentarily upon the bottom of the hole, the cable slackens and is now able to turn back by the swivel action of the rope socket. The actual amount of turning, however, is never the same in both directions. This assures that the drill bit will strike the bottom of the hole every time in a different position, thus producing round holes.

When by small cave-ins for instance, the tool string sticks in the drill hole, straight pulling is commonly insufficient to loosen it and the tool must be hammered to above. This can be done with the drill rig, after the cable has been lowered till the set of jars is completely closed. The necessary force for this operation is now provided by the weight of the sinker bar.

What is the purpose of the set of jars in the cable tool percussion method of well drilling and why is the length of the auger stem so great?

The set of jars provide a loose link in the tool string. When now during drilling the bit strikes the bottom of the hole before the end of the downstroke, this set of jars closes, taking up the slack of the cable, thus preventing bending and rapid breaking of the cable. During the upstroke the set of jars allow the sinker bar on top to give a sharp upward blow to the tool string, preventing it from sticking or wedging in the hole.

A great length of auger stem is required to obtain straight and vertical holes, especially important when for groundwater abstraction well pumps must be used, which are set inside the casing at some depth below the water level during operation.
8.03 What is the difference between straight and reverse hydraulic well drilling?

With hydraulic well drilling, a flow of water is used for continuous removal of drill cuttings. With straight hydraulic well drilling, this flow is directed down the hollow drill pipe and flows upward in the annular space between the drill pipe and the drill hole. With reverse hydraulic well drilling, the circulating fluid flows downward in the annular space mentioned above and rises inside the drill pipe. With the latter method, the cutting action of the rotating drill tools is no longer supported by the jetting action of the stream of water. Inside the drill pipe, however, the upflow velocities are now much higher, enabling large chunks of material to be carried to ground surface.

Which method of gravel placement around a well can best be applied when a single and when a triple gravel treatment is to be used?

With a single gravel treatment, the screen is centered in the drill hole by means of guide blocks and the gravel is poured into the remaining annular space. To prevent de-segregation during the fall through water, small diameter filling pipes can be used with which the gravel can be fed in slowly and evenly. During placement of the gravel, the casing and filling pipes are slowly raised, keeping the bottom of the casing 1 or 2 m below the top of the gravel and the lower end of the filling pipes not more than 0.5 m above this top.

With a triple gravel treatment, the bottom of the well screen is enlarged with a heavy wooden disk, on to which wire gauze packing baskets are fastened. The two innermost layers of gravel are now filled in above ground, allowing carefull inspection, equal wall thicknesses and a stable packing. After the screen with the attached layers of gravel has been lowered and centered in the hole, the remaining annular space is filled with the finest gravel, in the same way as described above for a single gravel treatment.

Why is it desirable to keep the top of the well screen some distance below the lowest groundwater level during operation?

Every water table well abstracts two types of water 1. water that has infiltrated directly around the well 2. water that has infiltrated some distance away from the well. Directly below the water table, the groundwater type 1 is aerobic. In case the sub-soil contains organic matter, the water type 2 , however, is anaerobic and may have picked up ferrous iron from the underground. Around the well screen, both types of water will mix, converting the soluble ferrous iron into insoluble ferrix oxyde hydrates which clog the well screen openings

$$
2 \mathrm{Fe}^{++}+\mathrm{O}_{2}+(\mathrm{n}+1) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}
$$

To prevent this phenomenon from occurring, the water type 1 must also be anaerobic. This can be obtained by forcing this water to travel a greater distance through the sub-soil, by keeping the well screen openings some distance below the lowest water level during operation.

In fine formations, gravel treatment is used to allow the use of screens with larger openings. What is the maximum permissible increase in slot width when a single gravel layer is applied?

With an artificial gravel pack, the slot width $b$ of the screen openings must be 2 to 3 times smaller than the lower grain size Iimit of the inner gravel layer, while with a single gravel treatment this grain size limit must be smaller than 4 times the $85 \%$ diameter of the aquifer material. The maximum slot width thus becomes

$$
b=\frac{1}{2 \text { to } 3} 4 d_{85}=(1.3 \text { to } 2) d_{85}
$$

Without artificial gravel treatment and non-uniform aquifer material the well screen openings may pass $80 \%$ of the aquifer material

$$
b^{\prime}=d_{80}=0.95 d_{85}
$$

With uniform material the percentage of aquifer material passing must be reduced to $40 \%$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b^{\prime}=d_{40}=0.8 d_{85} \quad \text { This gives } \\
& \frac{b}{b^{\prime}}=\frac{(1.3 \text { to } 2) d_{85}}{(0.8 \text { to } 0.95) d_{85}}=1.4 \text { to } 2.5
\end{aligned}
$$

8.21 From a well water is abstracted with a submersible pump. This pump, however, must be set inside the well screen. Which provisions are now advisable?

Around the pump inlet large velocities of flow will occur, which are even able to shift the material outside the screen. On the long run this will result in a destruction of the screen by continuous friction with the grains. To prevent such damage, the screen must be replaced by a blank piece, about 1 m long, around the pump inlet.

