INTERNATIONAL RFFERENCE CIWNTHE FOR COMMUNITY WATER GUPPLY AN: SANITATION IHRCI

## ACTION RESEARCH STUDY ON RAIN WATER HARVESTING



Project Report 2
Community Water Supply \& Sanitation Project
Ministry of Housing, Construction \& Public Utilities

## C W S S P

- The Community Water Supply and Sanitation Project is an initiative of the Government of Sri Lanka with the support of the World Bank.
- The CWSS Programme Unit located within the Ministry of Housing, Construction \& Public Utilities coordinates the project. The Regional Directorates in Badulla, Matara and Ratnapura, support Partner Organizations and Community Based Organizations in implementing their projects.
- CWSSP supports improvements in water supply and sanitation for approximately 650,000 rural people in 2,500 villages and 17 small towns in Badulla, Matara, Ratnapura and Monaragala Districts.
- Some 1600 schools in these districts will be eligible for support to improve personal hygiene through school water supply and sanitation, and hygiene education.
- CWSSP works with over 80 partner organizations (NGOs, co-operatives, government and quasigovernment bodies) to support, motivate, organize and train communities to implement and manage their own water supply and sanitation schemes.
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## Abbreviations

| CBO | Community Based Organization |
| :--- | :--- |
| CRO | Community Relations Officer |
| CRO/T | Community Relations/Technical officer |
| CWSSP | Community Water Supply \& Sanitation Project |
| DD/T | Deputy Director/Technical |
| G.I. | Galvanized Iron |
| ITDG | International Technology Develogment Group |
| LPCD | Liters per capita per day |
| M $^{3}$ | Cubic Meter |
| NERD | National Engineering Research and Development Centre |
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| TSC | Technical Support Cell |
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## 1. Abstract

Rain water harvesting is becoming an important option for underserved households and communities in Sri Lanka. Many areas in Sri Lanka offer a good potential for the introduction of rainwater harvesting, however as many people do not appreciate the potential of rainwater harvesting for domestic and drinking water purpose this technology is undervalued.

A policy for the Community Water Supply and Sanitation Project is formulated to enhance the application of rain water harvesting methods.

In rain water harvesting reliability of the supply depends on the size of the catchment area - often the roof -, the volume of the storage tank and the management of the daily consumption, all in relation to the local rainfall pattern. The study attempts to develop a suitable technical and economical solution to the storage of drinking water, and as a result proposes brick dome and ferrocement as the two most economical options.

Quality of rain water in storage is addressed on the basis of a literature study. Maps are provided indicating areas of high suitability for rain water harvesting.

Recommendations are given in respect of further trials and field level monitoring. Promotion and awareness raising for greater appreciation of rain water harvesting is advocated.

## 2. Preface

This report has been drafted in the framework of the World Bank financed Community Water Supply \& Sanitation Project, executed by the Ministry of Housing, Construction \& Public Utilities, Sri Lanka.

The need to assess the feasibility of rainwater harvesting as an water supply option specially for low income households located at places where no other viable option is available, has always existed. It is an appropriate time to do this study as a number of water supply schemes under CWSSP with no traditional options, continue to increase.

Given the time frame of just three months for the preparation of this report including trial construction at places upto 200 km away from the Head Office and the limited office facilities, some issues may not be dealt with in sufficient depth. Future studies may be able to correct any such deficiencies.

Numerous persons were involved with the study and their friendly response and cooperation significantly contributed to the formulation of this report. They include the TSC Manager Mr. Han Heijnen and the staff of CWSSP at Head Office, Regional Offices in Matara, Badulla and Ratnapura and the NERD Centre, Ja-Ela.

The co-operation of UNICEF, ITDG, SARVODAYA, the Faculty of Engineering Peradeniya, Residents of Rahaspokuna, Mr. Pigera of Bandarawela, Residents of Iriyagama and the Principal of Paradise School Ratnapura need special mention. The consultant is deeply indebted to all of them.

A special thank you to Ms. Samanthika de Silva for computer analyzing the data and typing the report.

## 3. Executíve Summary

## Introduction

An Action Research Study on Rain Water Harvesting was commissioned in January 1995 by CWSSP as part of its Research and Documentation Program.

Rainwater Harvesting appears to be the only option remaining for a number of water supply schemes, under CWSSP with no traditional options. This applies to all three districts where CWSSP is working : Matara, Badulla \& Ratnapura.

The objective of the study was to design and construct low cost rain water harvesting storage tanks and to gather existing experience in Sri Lanka on rainwater harvesting, in order to investigate the possibility to conduct Pilot Projects to develop recommendations and guidelines for the in-corporation of the rain water harvesting option in the CWSSP.

The study comprised of four main steps :

1. Design and construction of trial tanks.
2. Visits to existing rainwater harvesting places in Sri Lanka.
3. Field visits to selected pilot project villages.
4. The collection and analysis of rainfall data.

## Status of Rain Water Harvesting in Sri Lanka

Presently there is no planned rainwater harvesting program in Sri Lanka.
Local investigation reveals :

- that rainwater harvesting for domestic consumption is currently in practice in Sri Lanka.
- that a section of potential consumers are looking for appropriate technology to harvest and store rain water.
- that a section of potential consumers are not sure about rainwater harvesting. They are concerned about the quality and reliability of rain water.


## Rain Water Harvesting as an Option

Technical potential for rain water harvesting exists every where in the island where a suitable catchment is available.

Economic feasibility varies from place to place. It is a reasonable option where,

- Water bills are high

[^0]- $\quad$ Traditional technical options are not economically feasible.
- Operation and maintenance of traditional methods are a major concern.

In the CWSSP rainwater harvesting is specially relevant in some $30 \%$ of the total projected project coverage where tube wells and pump systems are, envisaged, or in areas high up in the hills where there are no springs.

## Various Technical Options Tested

- CWSSP criteria on rainwater collecting tanks system requires that a five cubic meter tank be built to cost below Rs. 5,400/- excluding unskilled labour.

Three types of storage tanks were studied:

| Type | Capacity |
| :--- | ---: |
| Brick Dome | $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ |
| Ferro-Cement | $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ |
| Cement Jar | $1 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ |

These were designed and constructed. Construction indicates that :

- $\quad$ The brick dome tank can be constructed to meet this criteria.
- $\quad$ The ferro cement tank constructed, using the cost lowering method, was successful in bringing the standard cost of a $5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ferro cement tank down by a impressive $50 \%$. It is anticipated that with a few more trials the cost could be brought down further to meet CWSSP criteria, by reducing skill labour time.


## COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT TANKS

| Type of Tank | Total Cost/ $M^{3}$ (1995) Rs. | Advantages | Disadvantages | Rural Level Construction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brick Dome | ' 1318 | - Can be built to any capacity from 2-10M ${ }^{3}$ <br> - Can easily be maintained \& repaired at rural level. <br> - Dome roof prevents contamination <br> - Water wasted is minimum due to extraction of water by pump | - Tank is under ground level <br> - Need a pump to extract water <br> - Difficult to empty for cleaning. <br> - Risk of falling in by children, animals, etc. | - With a short training rural masons can built the tanks with locally available material. |
| Ferro-Cement (Pumpkin Shape) | 1468 | - Can be built upto $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ capacity. <br> - Easy to maintain at rural level. <br> - Water is well protected against outside contamination. <br> - Convenient to take water from a gravity fed tap or siphon pipe <br> - Safe for children and animals | - Difficult to built larger than $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ <br> - The full tank is visible <br> - Water mıght get wasted from the tap. | - With the use of a simple low cost mould possible to build at rural level with available materials. |
| Cement Jar | 1874 | - Easy to maintain \& repair at rural level. <br> - Water is well protected against outside contamination. <br> - Safe for children \& anımals. | - Difficult to built larger than $2 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ capacity. <br> - Need number of jars for a house. <br> - Takes too much ground space to keep. | - Possible to build at rural level, with available material. |

## Pilot Project

Three places from the three districts were identified to do pilot projects. They are :
Dematawelhinna

- Badulla District

Medawatugoda Upper Sec.

Omalpè
Dorsar Kanda

- Matara District

- At Dematawelhinna and Madawatugoda rainwater harvesting is technically and economically feasible to improve the present status of water supply. However supply per day will be less than 20LPCD from smaller catchment roofs during the dry spell in a ten year dry year. Graphs $X$ and $N$ depicts these scenarios. Bar graphs 3-1 \& 3-2 gives the daily supply scenarios, possible from a well managed system consisting of a $60 \mathrm{M}^{2}$ (R.C.-0.8) catchment \& $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ storage tank for Dematawelhinna \& Madawatugoda respectively in a normal year.

Bar Graph 3.1 \& 3.2


At Dorsarkanda available roofs are mostly covered with thatch and are not suitable for rainwater harvesting. To do rainwater harvesting here suitable catchment must be constructed.

Most householders have taken house-building loans recently and have started to build better houses with permanent roofs, suitable as catchments.

Once suitable catchment is available rainwater harvesting becomes technically and economically feasible to improve the present status of water supply Graph Y gives the dry season supply to the catchment area scenario in a ten year dry year.

Bar graph 3-3 gives the daily supply scenario, possible from a well managed system consisting of a $60 \mathrm{M}^{2}$ catchment \& $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ storage tank for Dorsarkanda in a normal year.

[^1]

DV Dorsarkanda

## Conclusion and Recommendations

1. There is adequate social acceptance of rainwater harvesting as a source of water in areas, where there is no adequate or sustainable fresh-water source within reasonable distance. However a vast majority of the potential beneficiaries do not understand the full benefits of rainwater harvesting.

It is recommended to conduct an awareness campaign under the CWSSP targeted to potential consumers.
2. The study recommends the design and construction of the three pilot project already identified at Badulla, Ratnapura and Matara. By monitoring these projects after construction, to evaluate the technical economic and social aspects experience can be gained which in turn can be used to train the TO of Partner Organization in design and construction of rainwater harvesting systems.
3. The study recommends continued construction of ferro-cement tanks and Cement Jars with suggested improvements to bring down the cost to fall within the CWSSP cost criteria. These can be done at Regional Level.

4. From literature referred, the study concludes that the rainwater collected off

G.I. Sheet<br>Clay Tile \&<br>Asbestos

made catchment is suitable for drinking and other domestic purposes. However, the first flush of water after the dry season should be discarded. To avoid any environmental problems, tank should be made mosquito proof.

## 4. Introduction

The time has come where serious consideration should be given to rainwater harvesting. In the dry zone as well as in the wet zones of Sri Lanka many areas that are potentially suitable for rainwater harvesting remain undeveloped. Rural poor inhabitants of these areas continue to use highly polluted water or suffer from lack of any water, for most basic needs, resulting in high incidence of water related diseases.

At the recommendation of the National Steering Committee, an Action Study on Rain Water Harvesting in Sri Lanka was started by the CWSSP at the end of Jan' 95. It is an appropriate time to do this study as the number of water-supply schemes under CWSSP with no traditional options, continues to increase.

The study involved an investigation phase, focused on obtaining and studying past and current published literature on the subject both local and foreign, and visits to sites where rainwater harvesting is currently practiced in Sri Lanka. Investigations were followed by a note for discussion, giving the preliminary options on rainwater harvesting by the Consultant.

Technical personnel of the CWSSP contributed at the discussion. As a result CWSSP accepted as a policy to :

1. promote rainwater as a domestic supply where other acceptable sources are not feasible due to technical, maintenance or economic reasons.
2. to ensure that rainwater would prove an acceptable alternative, CWSSP adopted the strategy to improve the existing situation to a reasonable level. i.e. to supply a minimum of $\mathbf{2 0}$ lpcd during the dry season.
3. develop affordable Rainwater storage tanks

These strategic considerations and the objectives as stated in the Terms of Reference for the consultant guided the study. (See Annex 1A)

### 4.1 Purpose

The action research aimed to

1. design and construct a 5 cubic meter storage tank system to cost less than Rs. 5400/- excluding unskilled labour cost.
2. make appropriate recommendations for the incorporation of rainwater harvesting option in the CWSSP.
3. prepare training material so as to transfer skills to CWSSP technical staff.
[^2]
## 1

### 4.2 Study Area

The study area covers the CWSSP Project area which comprises the Districts of Badulla, Ratnapura and Matara. However, the recommendations could be adapted to any part of Sri Lanka.

### 4.3 Methodology

The research study comprised of the following steps.

### 4.3.1 Desk studies

- Preparation of a work plan for the study
- A review of published literature on the subject covering local and foreign sources.
- An analysis of rainfall data.
- Design of tank including preparation of drawings and bill of quantities.
- Study of literature on rain water quality.


### 4.3.2 Collection of data

- Collection of data on rainfall from the Meteorological Department Colombo.


### 4.3.3 Field Visit

- Survey the existing rainwater harvesting experiences.
- Assess the level of interest/demand for rainwater harvesting from potential consumers within CWSSP area.


### 4.3.4 Construction

- Construction of a $5 M^{3}$ Brick dome tank at Badulla
- Construction of a $5 M^{3}$ Ferrocement trial tank at Ratnapura
- Construction of a $1 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ Cement Jar at NERD Centre Ja-Ela.
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## 5. Status of Rain Water Harvesting in Sri Lanka

In Sri Lanka one can trace the evidence of rainwater harvesting back to the fifth century.

For instance, the network of storage reservoirs, swimming pools artificial streams and baffling fountains of the 5th Century rock fort of Sigiriya relied totally on rainwater harvesting. Agriculture also relied mainly on irrigation through cleverly designed, surface storage tanks (wewas).

In recent years many of this rain water collection skills have become obsolete. People now often rely on reticulated, central systems for drinking water supply and irrigation. So, presently Sri Lanka cannot claim a well planned rainwater harvesting program.

Local Investigations reveal however that

- rainwater harvesting for domestic consumption is currently in practice in Sri Lanka.
- a section of potential consumers are looking for appropriate technology to harvest and store rain water.
- a section of potential consumers are not sure about rainwater harvesting.


## Details of a Few Selected Visits

### 5.1 Iriyagama

The residents of Iriyagama situated close to the Kandy Road at Peradeniya used mainly rain water before the town water supply system was put into operation. One house with a roof area of about 200 sq. meters has three 7000 liter tanks, made of masonry. This system built about sixty years ago is still usable. The residents had adequate water for all their domestic uses when the system was in use. The Bible School at Iriyagama too used rain water as the only source while running a 35 student hostel. Hosteliers were not allowed to bath with this water.

At present the town relies almost totally on the town's pipe water supply as their main source of water. This is unfortunate. Rainwater harvesting systems if re-used can be a useful additional source of water. However, as long as the town supply continue to supply government subsidized cheap water the interest of the resident to re-use the rainwater harvesting systems will be low.

[^3]
### 5.2 Bandarawela

Mr. Pigera of Bandarawela, a retired police officer, built an a underground cistern to store rainwater in 1982. This rectangular cistern is 3 meter deep and holds about 18000 liters. The catchment roof, is approximately $180 \mathrm{M}^{2}$. The tank is made by simply plastering the sides of the pit with cement mortar, and all the work was done by the unskilled members of the family. This cistern has served up to four families in the past. This is the best water supply solution for people in the hills, says Mr. Pigera who got the idea from a friend in South India. In dry parts of South India where it rains only a few months per year harvesting rainwater has a long history, according to Mr. Pigera.


Mr. Pigera \& the water system. (Hapugoda)

### 5.3 Galle

At the peak of the Buona Vista Hill, Galle a rainwater harvesting system is under construction. On completion rain water from part of the very large roof will fill into a 18000 liters. re-inforced concrete covered tank. The over flow from this tank is directed to a 90,000 liters open tank, through underground locally fabricated 30 cm diameter concrete pipes. The estimated cost for this system is about five hundred thousand rupees.

The Peak of Buona Vista Hill, has long suffered from lack of water. The rain water harvesting system design was proposed after an effort to supply water with tube wells and other means failed, said Mr. Lakshman Welikala, the Civil Engineer responsible for this design. The design was presented at the Tokyo International Rainwater Utilization conference in 1994.

[^4]
### 5.4 Kundasale

Low income families at Ahaspokuna Village in Kundasale, have on their own built 1400-1800 liter tanks out of home burnt mud bricks. Out of the seven houses visited six of them had some form of permanent roofs. The gutters were standard pvc gutters. They have improvised a cheap polythene pipe to lead the water from the gutter into the tank. The roof area in most houses was over $40 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, and some tanks were said, never to go dry. A women in one of the houses was keen to add that they are very careful with water, as they pay up to Rs. 350 per 5000 liter bowser. At one house, a low level second tank built adjoining the main tank, collected some of the waste water for bathing, washing, etc. This is depicted in the front cover graphic. This water is re-used in the water-seal toilets. A recent aid project helped the inhabitants to build these toilets. The village households have collected and used rainwater for the last five years. Most tanks leak after about five years, however these can be repaired easily by replastering the inside.

None of the tanks had covers resulting in mosquito nuisance. One owner had some fish in the water, said to prevent mosquito breeding. The general view is that rain water is acceptable as a water source. However the cost of building tanks to store it is too costly. One family said they were willing to spend up to Rs. 10000/- in installments to build storage tanks, if they can store enough water for their domestic use.


Typical System at Kundasale (Hapugoda)

### 5.5 Peradeniya

The residence of the Dean of the Faculty of Engineering, University of Peradeniya has an 2.40 meter high 30 cubic meter ferro-cement tank to store rainwater from an approximately 200 square meter area of his house roof. The water is pumped into a two cubic meter overhead tank and used for all domestic purposes including washing clothes by machine, Eight adults live here. An elaborate two-pump system to pump water from a well, located about one hundred meters lower in elevation, backs up the rainwater harvesting system. However this is rarely used. The tank costs about Rs. 10000 in 1992. The Professor is very pleased and proud of the system.

(Hapugoda)

## 6. Rain Water Harvesting as an Option.



### 6.1. Advantages and Disadvantages of Rain-Water Harvesting Systems.

Advantages and disadvantages of rainwater harvesting vary depending mainly on the rainfall pattern, catchment area, storage capacity and user demand, of a situation. General advantages and disadvantages are given below.

Advantages

- the quality of rainwater can be kept high with simple precautions.
- systems can be independent
- local materials and skills can be used for the construction of the systems.
- maintenance can be done by the user.
- water is available at the dwelling, this saves time in collecting water.

[^5]- during rainy periods a highlevel of domestic service can be expected as there is adequate water collected.


## disadvantages

- High initial capital cost.
- available water is limited by rainfall and catchment area.
- water has a flat taste due to lack of minerals.
- lack of minerals in the water may cause nutrition deficiencies.
- the user must learn to ration the use of water during the dry season.


### 6.2. Feasibility

At the Project Development Phase, once there is doubt that traditional watersupply systems are not feasible due, to technical, economic or social reasons, consideration of the feasibility of rainwater harvesting can be initiated.

In the CWSSP the choice of technology must suite remote rural communities. Construction must be possible by locally available materials and skills. in a number of hilly areas available water supply options are tube wells or high head pump systems. Both can be poor choices. To construct tube wells external expensive technical inputs are needed. Some times lack of proper roads make it very difficult for rigs to get to the right place. Pump systems need fuel or electricity on a continuous basis. Their maintenance need funds and skilled personal.

In the existing in pump schemes under CWSSP, it is seen that paying for water on a regular basis is difficult for the beneficiaries who in many cases do not have a regular income.

Communal pump pipe schemes and tube well systems create social problems due to their communal nature. In most villages there are political, social and economic differences that trickle down into the management of communal water systems. Water right issues, such as the owner of the land where the springs are, located may protest, here too rainwater harvesting can be the choice to prevent further delays.



$\square$
$\square$
$\square$
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### 6.2.1 Rain fall

Sri Lanka is divided into two major zones, wet and dry. The dry zone occupies the majority of the land area. ( $65 \%$ of total land area). The dry zone gets the rain fall from North-East monsoon from November through April and the wet zone gets the rain from May through October mainly. (see figure 1).

Reliable rainfall data is required when determining the supply from the system. Rainfall data for about the last 10 year period is preferable. This information can be obtained from the Colombo Meteorological Office.

If rain-fall data for a particular area is not available by identifying the area in the $1^{\prime \prime}$ contour maps at the Meteorological Office, monthly rainfall data can be obtained from the climatically closest station to this area.

For design purposes it is important to obtain monthly rainfall data. The Met: office sells one year monthly rain fall data at Rs. 15/-(1995). A computer print out on daily rain fall data too is available for sale.

A monthly rainfall data for selected stations relevant to the study is given in Annex 2. Figure I gives mean annual rainfall for the entire country.



### 6.2.2 Water Demand

In a household water is used for drinking, cooking, cleaning, and washing. In rural areas under the CWSSP each person may use between 45 to 120 liters per day, if there is no restriction.

The supply from a rainwater harvesting system takes place in a variable manner depending on the rainfall pattern of a place. In the wet season in a normal year (about 6 months of the year) it can supply upto six times the dry season supply. In this way where a dry season demand is 20 liters per perṣon per day, during half the year the demand can go up to 120 liters per person per day.

The next step involves estimating the total annual demand and comparing it with the supply possible from the relevant rainwater catchment area. If the supply exceeds the demand rainwater harvesting is technically feasible.

Table 6-1 sites examples with different rainfall average and roof catchment areas.

Table 6.1

| Annual Average Rainfall mm | Roof Area <br> $M^{2}$ | Run off Coefficient | Annual Average Supply $M^{3}$ | Annual Minimum Demand $M^{3}$ | Feasibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1300 | 40 | 0.8 | 41.6 | 36.5 | Feasible |
| 1300 | 20 | 0.8 | 20.8 | 36.5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Demand too } \\ \text { high } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1750 | 20 | 0.8 | 28 | 36.5 | Demand too high |
| 1750 | 30 | 0.8 | 42 | 36.5 | Feasible |
| 3500 | 14 | 0.8 | 39.2 | 36.5 | Feasible |

If the supply is less than demand, then a possible solution includes increasing the catchment area, or reducing the demand for rainwater by limiting it uses to say, drinking and cooking.

### 6.2.3 Water Supply

Graphs $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}$ (Annex 10) give possible driest (in a ten year period) season supplies in liters per day from a $5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ storage for catchment areas upto 200 sqr. mtrs. for selected six meteorological station regions in Badulla, Matara and Ratnapura districts.


Table 6.2 is prepared using the above graphs. The catchment area is selected as $60 \mathrm{M}^{2}$.

Table 6-2

| District | Roof Area $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Storage $\mathbf{M}^{3}$ | Dry season supply in liters from one roof per day. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In a area with high average annual/rainfall | In a area with low average annual rainfall |
| Matara | 60 | 5 | 150 (Aninkanda) | 65 (Kekenadora) |
| Ratnapura | 60 | 5 | 125 (Ratnapura) | 48 (Ambilipitiya) |
| Badulla | 60 | 5 | 77 (Haputale) | 62 (Badulla) |

Minimum water-supply volumes are for the driest months of the driest year, in a ten year period. Water-supply can go up to six times these amounts during the remaining months depending on how wet it is.

Table 6-3 gives the possible daily supplies, in different months, from a well managed system with a $60 \mathrm{M}^{2}$ catchment and $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ tank, at Ambilipitiya in the Ratnapura district, in a normal year.

In all other regions in the three districts, it is possible to get a better level of service than at Ambilipitiya from a well managed rain water harvesting system as rainfall at Ambilipitiya is among the lowest in the three districts.
table 6-3
Ambilipitiya in a Normal Year [ System $\mathbf{6 0} \mathrm{M}^{\mathbf{2}}$ catchment $\mathbf{5 M} \mathrm{M}^{3}$ Storage ]

|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monthly mean R.F. | 75.9 | 66.9 | 120 | 153 | 112 | 44 | 55 | 41 | 71.8 | 201 | 243 | 160 |
| Monthly <br> Supply M ${ }^{3}$ <br> [Catchment <br> $60 \mathrm{M}^{2}$ R.C. 0.8] | 3.6 | 3.2 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 5.4 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2 | 3.4 | 9.7 | 11.7 | 7.7 |
| Taken from the months supply $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 3 | 3 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 3 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2 | 3 | 9.7 | 11.7 | 7.7 |
| Taken from other monthly supply $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2.3 | +. 9 | +. 4 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Possible Supply in a day in Liters | 100 | 100 | 190 | 240 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 315 | 384 | 250 |

Conclusion: In a normal year, if the tank is half full at the end of May, this catchment can supply 100 liters per day throughout the dry season months upto September. This is a very reasonable level of service for a area as dry as Ambilıpitiya.

## 

### 6.3. Economics

Initial per capita capital cost of a rainwater harvesting system is high, in relation to other types of water supply systems.

A typical rainwater harvesting systems consist of

1. Suitable roof catchment
2. Gutter \& down pipe system
3. A storage tank of adequate size.
4. Device to extract water from the tank.

Normally, the catchment will be an existing roof and gutters \& down pipes. These are considered part of the building.

The major cost being in the construction of tanks, the economic analysis given below refer to the cost of tank construction.

### 6.3.1 Cost Scenario

Graphs O,P,Q,R,S,T (Annex - 9) give the required tank storage volumes for roof areas upto 200 square meters in 6 selected Meteorological Station regions in the three district of Badulla, Ratnapura and Matara.

Graphs $\mathbf{O}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T}$ takes into account monthly rainfall variation of a ten year period. Tank storage volume is the requirement for the ten year dry year.

Graphs $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}$ (Annex -8) prepared by mass curve analysis (numerical method) were used to prepare the graphs $O, P, Q, R, S, T$.

## Table 6.4

| District | Roof Area $\mathbf{M}^{2}$ | Minimum Supply from a roof per day lit ( $M^{3} / \mathbf{Y R}$ ) | Storage required in Cubic Meters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Station with high Avg Annual R.F. | Station with Low Avg. Annual Rainfall |
| Matara | 60 | 100 (36.5) | (Aninkanda) 0.6 | (Kekenadora) 9.5 |
| Ratnapura | 60 | 100 (36.5) | (Ratnapura) 2.5 | (Ambilipitiya) 17.5 |
| Badulla | 60 | 100 (36.5) | (Haputale) 6.75 | (Badulla) 12.5 |

Runoff coefficient $=0.8$
If five persons live in a house with a suitable roof catchment area of $60 \mathrm{M}^{2}$ and each person requires supply of 20 lit per day, the annual required supply is 36.5 cubic meters.

Where the roof area is taken as $60 \mathrm{M}^{2}$ Table 6.4 gives required storage values within each district.
eg. At Matara district required volume is approximately from 0.6 to 11 cubic meters depending on the rainfall of the area. At Rs. 1.25 per lit for constructing a storage tanks, costs per house of $60 \mathrm{M}^{2}$ catchment roof varies between 750 to Rs. 13,750.

Comment: Storage values given in Table 6.4 are for the 10 year driest year. In a normal year the level of service improves considerably, as can be seen from Table 6.3.

Table 6.5

| Tank | Capacity $\mathbf{M}^{3}$ | Total Cost | Pump | Cost per Lit Storage Rs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brick Dome | 5 | 5592 | 450 | 1.21 |
| Brick Dome | 8 | 8129 | 450 | 1.08 |
| Brick Dome | 10 | 9175 | 450 | 0.97 |
| Ferro-Cement | 5 | 7166 | NIL | 1.43 |
| Jar | 1.1 |  | NIL | ref. annex 13 |

Total cost exclude transport cost.
Annex 14 gives material and cost breakdown of the brick dome tanks.
Table 6.5 gives cost per liter of storage for four types of tanks. A brick dome tank is built below ground. Therefore the cost of, extraction pump is included.

## Table 6.6

## Total Tank Cost (Example)

| DISTRICT | Roof Area $\mathrm{M}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Possible min Supply per day from a roof (house) Liters in a ten year dry year | Total Cost per Tank System |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Area with high Avg. Rain Fall Rs. | Area with Low Avg. Rain Fall Rs. |
| Matara | 60 | 100 | 750 | 11,875 |
| Ratnapura | 60 | 100 | 3125 | 21,875 |
| Badulla | 60 | 100 | 8438 | 15,625 |

## Table 6.7

CWSSP Contribution as $80 \%$ of Total Cost

| DISTRICT | Roof Area M ${ }^{2}$ | Possible min Supply per day from a roof (house) Liters in a ten year dry year | CWSSP Cost per Tank System |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Area with high Avg. Rain Fall Rs. | Area with Low Avg. Rain Fall Rs. |
| Matara | 60 | 100 | 600 | 9,500 |
| Ratnapura | 60 | 100 | 2500 | 17,500 |
| Badulla | 60 | 100 | 6750 | 12,500 |

Tables 6-6\&6-7 gives general trend of the costs of rainwater harvesting in the relevant three districts, where the minimum supply for a house per day is 100 liters in a ten year dry year.

To bring the CWSSP contribution down to Rs. 5390/-, options are,

1. to increase the catchment area.
2. to lower to minimum service level, for the ten year dry year.
3. to select a relevant low cost tank option.

Various scenarios, to be considered in Badulla are as follows.
Table 6-8 gives the cost scenario when minimum supply per day in a ten year dry year from a roof is 50 liters.

Table 6.8

| Catchment area $\mathrm{M}^{2}$ | 40 | 60 | 80 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Supply <br> (R.C.............................................................................................. $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 56 | 84 | 112 |
| Annual Demand $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 18.3 | 18.3 | 18.3 |
| Demand as \% of <br> Supply | 33 | 22 | 16 |
| Required Storage as <br> $\%$ of Supply. (From <br> Rain Region graph A <br> Annex 8) | 9 | 4 | 1.5 |
| Required Storage $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 5 | 3.4 | 1.7 |
| Total Cost of Storage <br> Rs. | 6250 | 4250 | 2125 |
| CWSSP Cost Rs. | 5000 | 3400 | 1700 |



Table 6-9 gives the cost scenario when minimum supply per day in a ten year dry year from a roof is 75 liters.

## Table 6.9

| Catchment area $\mathrm{M}^{2}$ | 40 | 60 | 80 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Supply $(R . C=0.8) \mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 56 | 84 | 112 | 140 |
| Annual Demand $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 27.4 | 27.4 | 27.4 | 27.4 |
| Demand as \% of Supply | 49 | 33 | 25 | 20 |
| Required Storage as \% of Supply. (From Rain Region graph A Annex 8) | 18 | 9 | 5 | 2.5 |
| Required Storage $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 10 | 7.6 | 5.6 | 3.5 |
| Total Cost of Storage Rs. | 12,500 | 9,500 | 7,000 | 4,375 |
| CWSSP Cost Rs. | 10,000 | 7,600 | 5,600 | 3,500 |

Note: 1. In a normal year minimum supply per day is more than double.
2. Six months of the year, when it is wet supply per day from a roof can go up six times the supply volume, of the dry months, in the same system.

Possible daily supply in liters from a well managed Rain Water Harvesting System in a normal year in the Badulla Rain Region, consisting of different size catchments and a $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ storage tank, is given in Table 6-10.

Table 6-10

| Catchment Area $\mathbf{M}^{2}$ | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 30a | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 80 | 65 | 110 | 184 | 200 | 125 |
| 40 | 252 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 148 | 250 | 260 | 250 |
| 60 | 383 | 110 | 187 | 252 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 131 | 228 | 365 | 409 | 377 |

Note: a With a $5.5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ Tank possible to manage a minimum supply of 100 LPD.

### 6.4. Social

Once it has been tentatively established that it is technically and economically feasible to construct a rain water harvesting system, the next step involves social and community assessment. This stage is critical to the success of the scheme.

Consideration must be given to traditional practices within the community. The role of women and children is carrying water, existing catchment possibilities, palatability of rain water, communal vs individual systems, and maintenance expenditure management.

At the end the community members should willingly choose the option of rainwater harvesting.

### 6.5. Design

The design stage of the project involves in sizing the storage tank. Two acceptable methods are discussed below.

### 6.5.1 Dry season demand versus supply method

To determine the required tank volume multiply the days of the longest dry period by the amount of water required per day.
e.g at Badulla.

To supply 100 lit per day per house to accommodate a 50 day dry period, the required storage volume is:

$$
100 \times 50=5000 \mathrm{M}^{3}
$$

This method gives only a rough estimate of the storage required. It does not take into account the variation in the annual rainfall pattern.

1

Table 6-11 gives water supply scenario from a $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ tank.
FIXED - $\quad$ Storage Capacity 5000 Liters

## Table 6-11

| Length of Drought Days | Family of 6 available Water per Person per Day | Family of 5 Available Water per Person per Day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 60 | 70 |
| 21 | 40 | 48 |
| 28 | 30 | 36 |
| 35 | 24 | 29 |
| 42 | 20 | 24 |
| 49 | 17 | 20 |
| 56 | 15 | 18 |
| 63 | 13 | 16 |
| 70 | 12 | 14 |
| 77 | 11 | 13 |
| 84 | 10 | 12 |
| 91 | 9 | 11 |

Note : The possible service level in 70 to 90 day dry spell is considered adequate for most basic needs such as drinking and cooking.

### 6.5.2 Mass curve analysis method

A more accurate method of sizing a tank, involves an analysis of monthly rainfall data using the mass curve technique. Best is to use approximately the latest 10 years of data. As an example, the data for the Badulla area is analysed below.

Badulla Monthly Rainfall data (mm) is in Table 6-12.


|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 171.2 | 76.1 | 185.4 | 75.6 | 82.2 | 16.9 | 52.0 | 133.6 | 90.8 | 233.3 | 188.9 | 245.1 | 1551.10 |
| 1986 | 721.5 | 136.8 | 281.0 | 250.1 | 120.4 | 2.0 | 71.3 | 98.0 | 65.8 | 376.2 | 131.4 | 207.8 | 2462.30 |
| 1987 | 206.5 | 42.7 | 85.7 | 218.7 | 171.6 | 3.6 | 10.2 | 62.9 | 175.0 | 273.8 | 165.2 | 88.4 | 1504.30 |
| 1988 | 48.4 | 59.0 | 198.7 | 249.0 | 35.6 | 30.0 | 51.9 | 246.1 | 136.8 | 147.1 | 350.9 | 241.5 | 1795.00 |
| 1989 | 201.0 | 14.9 | 80.2 | 114.1 | 51.3 | 39.0 | 216.7 | 76.5 | 249.6 | 295.7 | 267.6 | 106.4 | 1713.00 |
| 1990 | 386.0 | 118.8 | 156.0 | 149.0 | 101.3 | 8.4 | 105.3 | 43.0 | 172.5 | 179.1 | 151.7 | 332.3 | 1903.40 |
| 1991 | 347.9 | 39.1 | 101.1 | 219.0 | 90.3 | 48.0 | 25.4 | 31.0 | 147.4 | 155.9 | 153.1 | 300.8 | 1659.00 |
| 1992 | 85.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.6 | 85.2 | 0.3 | 48.0 | 32.6 | 63.2 | 112.1 | 584.1 | 245.0 | 1302.40 |
| 1993 | 63.9 | 36.4 | 76.6 | 97.7 | 236.3 | 86.6 | 101.7 | 85.2 | 134.2 | 270.0 | 241.1 | 329.8 | 1759.50 |
| 1994 | 247.6 | 129.6 | 42.3 | 159.1 | 17.2 | 26.5 | 17.2 | 37.2 | 197.4 | 320.0 | 328.4 | 343.5 | 1866.00 |
| Average | 247.9 | 65.3 | 120.7 | 157.9 | 99.1 | 26.1 | 70.0 | 84.6 | 143.3 | 236.3 | 256.2 | 244.1 | 1751.6 |
| Standard Deviation | 190.6 | 45.9 | 79.4 | 70.0 | 61.7 | 25.4 | 57.7 | 62.3 | 56.0 | 81.1 | 131.1 | 85.3 | 292.6 |

Rain Fall Analysis - Badulla
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### 6.5.2.1 Design (example Badulla)

\author{

1. Roof size $60 \mathrm{M}^{2}$ <br> Run off coefficient 0.8
}
2. Average yearly supply $=$ Area $x$ runoff coeff. $x$ average annual rainfall
$=60 \times 0.8 \times 1.75$
Average annual rainfall $=1750 \mathrm{~mm}$ (1985 to 1994)
3. Table of Mass Curve Analysis
4. Decide monthly
demand (supply) = 1500 liters
5. From the mass curve analysis (Table 6-13)
the storage requirement
is determined as $=464548.8-461548.8=3000$ liters
(Total amount stored in the 85th Month minus 87th Month)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Comment: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { In the above case it is possible to give an extra level of service with a } 5000 \\ \text { liter storage tank, which can be constructed within CWSSP criteria in Badulla. }\end{array}\end{array}$

RAINWATER HARVEST STUDY
RAINFALL MASS CURVE ANALYSIS - MONTHLY
BADULLA 1985 TO 1994
(Numerical Method)
ROOF AREA $=60 \mathrm{M}^{2}$
RUN OFF COEFFICIENT $=0.8$

| Month | Monthy <br> Rainfall | Monthly <br> Supply <br> Liters | Cumulative <br> Supply <br> Liters | Monthly <br> Demand <br> Liters | Amount <br> Stored <br> Liters | Total <br> Amount <br> Stored <br> Liters | Required <br> Tank <br> Volumn <br> Liters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 48 |
| 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 48 |
| 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 48 |
| 4 | 75.6 | 3628.8 | 3628.8 | 1500 | 2128.8 | 2128.8 |  | 48 |
| 5 | 82.2 | 3945.6 | 7574.4 | 1500 | 2445.6 | 4574.4 |  | 48 |
| 6 | 16.9 | 811.2 | 8385.6 | 1500 | -688.8 | 3885.6 |  | 48 |
| 7 | 52.0 | 2496.0 | 10881.6 | 1500 | 996.0 | 4881.6 |  | 48 |
| 8 | 133.6 | 6412.8 | 17294.4 | 1500 | 4912.8 | 9794.4 |  | 48 |
| 9 | 90.8 | 4358.4 | 21652.8 | 1500 | 2858.4 | 12652.8 |  | 48 |
| 10 | 233.3 | 11198.4 | 32851.2 | 1500 | 9698.4 | 22351.2 |  | 48 |
| 11 | 188.9 | 9067.2 | 41918.4 | 1500 | 7567.2 | 29918.4 |  | 48 |
| 12 | 245.1 | 11764.8 | 53683.2 | 1500 | 10264.8 | 40183.2 |  | 48 |
| 13 | 721.5 | 34632.0 | 88315.2 | 1500 | 33132.0 | 73315.2 |  | 48 |
| 14 | 136.8 | 6566.4 | 94881.6 | 1500 | 5066.4 | 78381.6 |  | 48 |
| 15 | 281.0 | 13488.0 | 108369.6 | 1500 | 11988.0 | 90369.6 |  | 48 |
| 16 | 250.1 | 12004.8 | 120374.4 | 1500 | 10504.8 | 100874.4 |  | 48 |
| 17 | 120.4 | 5779.2 | 126153.6 | 1500 | 4279.2 | 105153.6 |  | 48 |
| 18 | 2.0 | 96.0 | 126249.6 | 1500 | -1404.0 | 103749.6 |  | 48 |
| 19 | 71.3 | 3422.4 | 129672.0 | 1500 | 1922.4 | 105672.0 |  | 48 |
| 20 | 98.0 | 4704.0 | 134376.0 | 1500 | 3204.0 | 108876.0 |  | 48 |
| 21 | 65.8 | 3158.4 | 137534.4 | 1500 | 1658.4 | 110534.4 |  | 48 |

Rain Water JJavosling . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $P_{\text {ago }} 34$
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| 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  |
| 1 |  |
| 1 |  |
| 1 |  |
| 1 |  |
| 1 |  |
| 1 |  |
| 1 |  |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 |


| Month | Monthy Rainfall | Monthly <br> Supply <br> Liters | Cumulative <br> Supply <br> Liters | Monthly <br> Demand <br> Liters | Amount <br> Stored <br> Liters | Total <br> Amount <br> Stored <br> Liters | Required <br> Tank <br> Volumn <br> Liters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 376.2 | 18057.6 | 155592.0 | 1500 | 16557.6 | 127092.0 |  | 48 |
| 23 | 131.4 | 6307.2 | 161899.2 | 1500 | 4807.2 | 131899.2 |  | 48 |
| 24 | 207.8 | 9974.4 | 171873.6 | 1500 | 8474.4 | 140373.6 |  | 48 |
| 25 | 206.5 | 9912.0 | 181785.6 | 1500 | 8412.0 | 148785.6 |  | 48 |
| 26 | 42.7 | 2049.6 | 183835.2 | 1500 | 549.6 | 149335.2 |  | 48 |
| 27 | 85.7 | 4113.6 | 187948.8 | 1500 | 2613.6 | 151948.8 |  | 48 |
| 28 | 218.7 | 10497.6 | 198446.4 | 1500 | 8997.6 | 160946.4 |  | 48 |
| 29 | 171.6 | 8236.8 | 206683.2 | 1500 | 6736.8 | 167683.2 |  | 48 |
| 30 | 3.6 | 172.8 | 206856.0 | 1500 | -1327.2 | 166356.0 |  | 48 |
| 31 | 10.2 | 489.6 | 207345.6 | 1500 | -1010.4 | 165345.6 |  | 48 |
| 32 | 62.9 | 3019.2 | 210364.8 | 1500 | 1519.2 | 166864.8 |  | 48 |
| 33 | 175.0 | 8400.0 | 218764.8 | 1500 | 6900.0 | 173764.8 |  | 48 |
| 34 | 273.8 | 13142.4 | 231907.2 | 1500 | 11642.4 | 185407.2 |  | 48 |
| 35 | 165.2 | 7929.6 | 239836.8 | 1500 | 6429.6 | 191836.8 |  | 48 |
| 36 | 88.4 | 4243.2 | 244080.0 | 1500 | 2743.2 | 194580.0 |  | 48 |
| 37 | 48.4 | 2323.2 | 246403.2 | 1500 | 823.2 | 195403.2 |  | 48 |
| 38 | 59.0 | 2832.0 | 249235.2 | 1500 | 1332.0 | 196735.2 |  | 48 |
| 39 | 198.7 | 9537.6 | 258772.8 | 1500 | 8037.6 | 204772.8 |  | 48 |
| 40 | 249.0 | 11952.0 | 270724.8 | 1500 | 10452.0 | 215224.8 |  | 48 |
| 41 | 35.6 | 1708.8 | 272433.6 | 1500 | 208.8 | 215433.6 |  | 48 |
| 42 | 30.0 | 1440.0 | 273873.6 | 1500 | $-60.0$ | 215373.6 |  | 48 |
| 43 | 51.9 | 2491.2 | 276364.8 | 1500 | 991.2 | 216364.8 |  | 48 |
| 44 | 246.1 | 11812.8 | 288177.6 | 1500 | 10312.8 | 226677.6 |  | 48 |
| 45 | 136.8 | 6566.4 | 294744.0 | 1500 | 5066.4 | 231744.0 |  | 48 |
| 46 | 147.1 | 7060.8 | 301804.8 | 1500 | 5560.8 | 237304.8 |  | 48 |
| 47 | 350.9 | 16843.2 | 318648.0 | 1500 | 15343.2 | 252648.0 |  | 48 |
| 48 | 241.5 | 11592.0 | 330240.0 | 1500 | 10092.0 | 262740.0 |  | 48 |

## 1

| Month | Monthy <br> Rainfall | Monthly <br> Supply <br> Liters | Cumulative <br> Supply <br> Liters | Monthly <br> Demand <br> Liters | Amount <br> Stored <br> Liters | Total <br> Amount <br> Stored <br> Liters | Required <br> Tank <br> Volumn <br> Liters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | 201.0 | 9648.0 | 339888.0 | 1500 | 8148.0 | 270888.0 |  | 48 |
| 50 | 14.9 | . 715.2 | 340603.2 | 1500 | -784.8 | 270103.2 |  | 48 |
| 51 | 80.2 | 3849.6 | 344452.8 | 1500 | 2349.6 | 272452.8 |  | 48 |
| 52 | 114.1 | 5476.8 | 349929.6 | 1500 | 3976.8 | 276429.6 |  | 48 |
| 53 | 51.3 | 2462.4 | 352392.0 | 1500 | 962.4 | 277392.0 |  | 48 |
| 54 | 39.0 | 1872.0 | 354264.0 | 1500 | 372.0 | 277764.0 |  | 48 |
| 55 | 216.7 | 10401.6 | 364665.6 | 1500 | 8901.6 | 286665.6 |  | 48 |
| 56 | 76.5 | 3672.0 | 368337.6 | 1500 | 2172.0 | 288837.6 |  | 48 |
| 57 | 249.5 | 11976.0 | 380313.6 | 1500 | 10476.0 | 299313.6 |  | 48 |
| 58 | 295.7 | 14193.6 | 394507.2 | 1500 | 12693.6 | 312007.2 |  | 48 |
| 59 | 267.6 | 12844.8 | 407352.0 | 1500 | 11344.8 | 323352.0 |  | 48 |
| 60 | 106.4 | 5107.2 | 412459.2 | 1500 | 3607.2 | 326959.2 |  | 48 |
| 61 | 386.0 | 18528.0 | 430987.2 | 1500 | 17028.0 | 343987.2 |  | 48 |
| 62 | 118.8 | 5702.4 | 436689.6 | 1500 | 4202.4 | 348189.6 |  | 48 |
| 63 | 156.0 | 7488.0 | 444177.6 | 1500 | 5988.0 | 354177.6 |  | 48 |
| 64 | 149.0 | 7152.0 | 451329.6 | 1500 | 5652.0 | 359829.6 |  | 48 |
| 65 | 101.3 | 4862.4 | 456192.0 | 1500 | 3362.4 | 363192.0 |  | 48 |
| 66 | 8.4 | 403.2 | 456595.2 | 1500 | -1096.8 | 362095.2 |  | 48 |
| 67 | 105.3 | 5054.4 | 461649.6 | 1500 | 3554.4 | 365649.6 |  | 48 |
| 4 | 43.0 | 2064.0 | 463713.6 | 1500 | 564.0 | 366213.6 |  | 48 |
| 69 | 172.5 | 8280.0 | 471993.6 | 1500 | 6780.0 | 372993.6 |  | 48 |
| 70 | 179.1 | 8596.8 | 480590.4 | 1500 | 7096.8 | 380090.4 |  | 48 |
| 71 | 151.7 | 7281.6 | 487872.0 | 1500 | 5781.6 | 385872.0 |  | 48 |
| 72 | 332.3 | 15950.4 | 503822.4 | 1500 | 14450.4 | 400322.4 |  | 48 |
| 73 | 347.9 | 16699.2 | 520521.6 | 1500 | 15199.2 | 415521.6 |  | 48 |
| 74 | 39.1 | 1876.8 | 522398.4 | 1500 | 376.8 | 415898.4 |  | 48 |
| 75 | 101.1 | 4852.8 | 527251.2 | 1500 | 3352.8 | 419251.2 |  | 48 |



| Month | Monthy <br> Rainfall | Monthly <br> Supply <br> Liters | Cumulative <br> Supply <br> Liters | Monthly <br> Demand <br> Liters | Amount <br> Stored <br> Liters | Total <br> Amount <br> Stored <br> Liters | Required <br> Tank <br> Volumn <br> Liters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | 219 | 10512.0 | 537763.2 | 1500 | 9012.0 | 428263.2 |  | 48 |
| 77 | 90.3 | 4334.4 | 542097.6 | 1500 | 2834.4 | 431097.6 |  | 48 |
| 78 | 48.0 | 2304.0 | 544401.6 | 1500 | 804.0 | 431901.6 |  | 48 |
| 79 | 25.4 | 1219.2 | 545620.8 | 1500 | -280.8 | 431620.8 |  | 48 |
| 80 | 31.0 | 1488.0 | 547108.8 | 1500 | -12.0 | 431608.8 |  | 48 |
| 81 | 147.4 | 7075.2 | 554184.0 | 1500 | 5575.2 | 437184.0 |  | 48 |
| 82 | 155.9 | 7483.2 | 561667.2 | 1500 | 5983.2 | 443167.2 |  | 48 |
| 83 | 153.1 | 7348.8 | 569016.0 | 1500 | 5848.8 | 449016.0 |  | 48 |
| 84 | 300.8 | 14438.4 | 583454.4 | 1500 | 12938.4 | 461954.4 |  | 48 |
| 85 | 85.3 | 4094.4 | 587548.8 | 1500 | 2594.4 | 464548.8 |  | 48 |
| 86 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 587548.8 | 1500 | -1500.0 | 463048.8 |  | 48 |
| 87 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 587548.8 | 1500 | -1500.0 | 461548.8 |  | 48 |
| 88 | 46.6 | 2236.8 | 589785.6 | 1500 | 736.8 | 462285.6 |  | 48 |
| 89 | 85.2 | 4089.6 | 593875.2 | 1500 | 2589.6 | 464875.2 |  | 48 |
| 90 | 0.3 | 14.4 | 593889.6 | 1500 | -1485.6 | 463389.6 |  | 48 |
| 91 | 48.0 | 2304.0 | 596193.6 | 1500 | 804.0 | 464193.6 |  | 48 |
| 92 | 32.6 | 1564.8 | 597758.4 | 1500 | 64.8 | 464258.4 |  | 48 |
| 93 | 63.2 | 3033.6 | 600792.0 | 1500 | 1533.6 | 465792.0 |  | 48 |
| 94 | 112.1 | 5380.8 | 606172.8 | 1500 | 3880.8 | 469672.8 |  | 48 |
| 95 | 584.1 | 28036.8 | 634209.6 | 1500 | 26536.8 | 496209.6 |  | 48 |
| 96 | 245.0 | 11760.0 | 645969.6 | 1500 | 10260.0 | 506469.6 |  | 48 |
| 97 | 63.9 | 3067.2 | 649036.8 | 1500 | 1567.2 | 508036.8 |  | 48 |
| 98 | 36.4 | 1747.2 | 650784.0 | 1500 | 247.2 | 508284.0 |  | 48 |
| 99 | 76.6 | 3676.8 | 654460.8 | 1500 | 2176.8 | 510460.8 |  | 48 |
| 100 | 97.7 | 4689.6 | 659150.4 | 1500 | 3189.6 | 513650.4 |  | 48 |
| 101 | 236.3 | 11342.4 | 670492.8 | 1500 | 9842.4 | 523492.8 |  | 48 |
| 102 | 86.6 | 4156.8 | 674649.6 | 1500 | 2656.8 | 526149.6 |  | 48 |
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## I

| Month | Monthy <br> Rainfall | Monthly <br> Supply <br> Liters | Cumulative <br> Supply <br> Liters | Monthly <br> Demand <br> Liters | Amount <br> Stored <br> Liters | Total <br> Amount <br> Stored <br> Liters | Required <br> Tank <br> Volumn <br> Liters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103 | 101.7 | 4881.6 | 679531.2 | 1500 | 3381.6 | 529531.2 |  | 48 |
| 104 | 85.2 | 4089.6 | 683620.8 | 1500 | 2589.6 | 532120.8 |  | 48 |
| 105 | 134.2 | 6441.6 | 690062.4 | 1500 | 4941.6 | 537062.4 |  | 48 |
| 106 | 270.0 | 12960.0 | 703022.4 | 1500 | 11460.0 | 548522.4 |  | 48 |
| 107 | 241.1 | 11572.8 | 714595.2 | 1500 | 10072.8 | 558595.2 |  | 48 |
| 108 | 329.8 | 15830.4 | 730425.6 | 1500 | 14330.4 | 572925.6 |  | 48 |
| 109 | 247.6 | 11884.8 | 742310.4 | 1500 | 10384.8 | 583310.4 |  | 48 |
| 110 | 129.6 | 6220.8 | 748531.2 | 1500 | 4720.8 | 588031.2 |  | 48 |
| 111 | 42.3 | 2030.4 | 750561.6 | 1500 | 530.4 | 588561.6 |  | 48 |
| 112 | 159.1 | 7636.8 | 758198.4 | 1500 | 6136.8 | 594698.4 |  | 48 |
| 113 | 17.2 | 825.6 | 759024.0 | 1500 | -674.4 | 594024.0 |  | 48 |
| 114 | 26.5 | 1272.0 | 760296.0 | 1500 | -228.0 | 593796.0 |  | 48 |
| 115 | 17.2 | 825.6 | 761121.6 | 1500 | -674.4 | 593121.6 |  | 48 |
| 116 | 37.2 | 1785.6 | 762907.2 | 1500 | 285.6 | 593407.2 |  | 48 |
| 117 | 197.4 | 9475.2 | 772382.4 | 1500 | 7975.2 | 601382.4 |  | 48 |
| 118 | 320.0 | 15360.0 | 787742.4 | 1500 | 13860.0 | 615242.4 |  | 48 |
| 119 | 328.4 | 15763.2 | 803505.6 | 1500 | 14263.2 | 629505.6 |  | 48 |
| 120 | 343.5 | 16488.0 | 819993.6 | 1500 | 14988.0 | 644493.6 |  | 48 |

Though accurate it is too complicated a method to determine the storage capacity when a project involves close to a hundred houses of different roof catchment sizes.

Here it is useful to prepare graphs, applicable to any roof sizes and demand related to a set of rainfall data, relevant to an area or region.

- There graphs are named as Rain Region Graphs in this report. Details of these Rain Region graphs are given in the section 6.5.2.2.


### 6.5.2.2. Rain Region Graph

For the Badulla region rainfall data, the rain region graph is given in graph A of Annex 8.

Rain Region Graphs for

| Haputale | ] Badulla District |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ratnapura | $\}$ |
| Ambilipitiya | $\}$ Ratnapura District |
| Kolonna | $\}$ |
| Kekenadora | ] |
| Aninkanda | ] Matara District | lan

are given in Annex 8. These represent high \& low average rainfall regions in the three districts.

This is a useful graph though it was derived from a particular roof. It works for all demands for any roof area and with any run off co-efficient. This graph can be used in the field to analyse individual systems located in one area. The graph is prepared by repeating mass curve analysis for a set of data using different demands. These graphs can be plotted easily by regional technical staff.

Assumptions used in the above analysis.

- Demand is the same for every month.
- Demand is constant from year to year.
- Rainfall pattern in the future will be similar to pattern of rainfall data used.
- Evaporation from tanks is included in the run off co-efficient.


### 6.6. Construction

Construction of a Rainwater Harvesting system include the catchment, the gutter system, storage and a water extraction device.


### 6.6.1 Roof catchment

The roof should be made of suitable materials such as galvanized iron (G.I.) sheet. Thatched roofs are not suitable as decaying vegetative materials would add taste and colour to the water. However this water can be used for livestock irrigation and to flush toilet. There should be no trees over shadowing the roof as falling leaves also would act similarly. If Gl roofs are painted the paint should be non toxic. Generally there is a fear of using asbestos roofs as rainwater catchment. Some authorities maintain that this fear is not justified as the fibre causes cancer only when inhaled (Annex 12). Tiled roofs have the drawback, that they are difficult to clean, and are susceptible to algae growth. The best option seem to be Gl sheets painted with non toxic paint.

Most roofs in rural Sri Lanka are made of or fast becoming made of GI sheet or tiles.

### 6.6.2 Surface catchment

Where there is no suitable roof catchment rainwater can be harvested by construction of an impervious surface on the ground itself.

Chicken wire re-inforced concrete floor costs about Rs. $250 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
A cheaper catchment surface can be made by laying a piece of plastic sheeting in a shallow excavated and levelled area as given in the drawing. The estimated cost to be around Rs. $50 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for a $60 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ area.

LOW COST GROUND LEVEL CATCHMENT
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### 6.6.3 Gutter System

Effective guttering is an important part of the roof catchment systems. GI and UPVC can be used for gutters and down pipes. Sizing will depend on the roof area.

A facility to catch the first flush or bypass for flushing the roof is essential. For small systems this can be done by simply fixing a small length of flexible hose at the end of the down pipe. When the roof is being flushed the flexible hoses should be taken out of the storage tank inlet, and directed to a drain. Once the roof is clean this could be inserted back into the storage tank.

Just before the rainy season the roof is usually cleaned by sweeping off the impurities collected on it such as dust, leaves, bird dropping etc. The first rainfall is then used to flush the roof by keeping the bypass open.

$$
\text { Table } 6-14
$$

Standard PVC Gutters and Down Pipes for a $\mathbf{6 0} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ roof.
(length of gutters - 15 meters)

| Item | Unit | Qty | Unit Cost | Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gutters | meters | 15 | 87 | 1305 |
| Clips | No | 25 | 13 | 325 |
| Center Running Head | No | 02 | 80 | 160 |
| Down Pipe | meters | 7.5 | 60 | 450 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |

Cost (May 95) Rs.

## $1$

## Table 6-15

Low cost $\mathbf{G I}$ sheet gutters and polythene tube down pipe for a $\mathbf{6 0} \mathrm{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ roof. (Length of gutters - 15 meters)

| Item | Unit | Qty | Unit Cost | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gl sheet | $M^{2}$ | 6 | 100 | 600 |
| Nylon Rope | M | 12 | 7 | 84 |
| Polythene Tube | M | 6 | 6.50 | 39 |
| Other |  |  |  | 100 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 823 |

Cost (May 95) Rs.


### 6.6.4 The Storage Tank

A satisfactory storage tank is the most important part of a Rainwater Harvesting System. Its construction must be simple to manage at rural level, and result in a durable tank.

Three trial tanks were constructed to test various tank options. Details are given in chapter 7.

[^7]

## Table 6-16

Comparison of Different Tanks

| Type of Tank | Total Cost $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ (1995) | Can be Built above Ground | Resistanc e to UV Light | Minor Repairs at Rural Level | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fibre Glass | 5500 | Yes | Poor | Difficult |  |
| HDPE | 5500 | Yes | Good | Difficult |  |
| Ferrocement | 1468 | Yes | Good | Easy | Cost can be brought down |
| Brick dome | 1318 | No | Good | Easy | Can be built above ground with reenforcement. |
| Jar | 1874 | Yes | Good | Easy | Cost can be brought down |

### 6.6.5 Water Extraction Device

When storage tanks are build above ground water can be extracted by fixing a tap at the bottom of the tank or by siphoning the water with a tube with a tap attached at the point of collection.

When storage is underground a low-cost home-made PVC pump can be used to extract the water.

## !

Table 6-17 gives the material and cost breakdown.
Table 6-17

## Rain Water Harvesting Low Head Home Made PVC Hand Pump

| Item | Unit | Qty | Unit Cost | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3/4" Foot Valve | No | 01 | 150 | 150 |
| 3/4" Valve Socket | No | 01 | 10 | 10 |
| 3/4" Bend | No | 02 | 10 | 20 |
| $11 / 4 \times 3 / 4$ Reducing Socket | No | 01 | 22 | 22 |
| 1 1/4" Pipe | Mtr | 0.5 | 65.6 | 32.8 |
| 3/4" Pipe | Mtr | 4 | 30 | 120 |
| Plunger | No. | 1 | 30 | 30 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 424.8 |
| Say |  |  |  | 450/- |

Costs (May 95) Rs.


## 7. Technical Options Tested

Three types of storage containers were constructed as trials. The objective being to build tanks with $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ storage capacity at a cost below Rs. $5400 /$-, excluding unskilled labour.

### 7.1 Ferro-Cement Tank

The-ferro-cement tank (built above ground) is based on an a idea by Neil Herath - Rural Water Supply Engineer (CWSSP). It is a pumpkin shaped $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ ferro cement tank was successfully constructed by R.M.N.D. Illukumbura Senior Technical officer Ratnapura CWSSP.

A skeleton mould, made out of shaped 1" 'L'irons, is fixed around a circular foundation with horizontal rings made of 6 mm mild steel bars at different heights to stabilise and to give the pumpkin shape. Two layers of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ chicken-mesh are used as re-inforcement.

Material and cost break-down is given in Annex - 4.
Tank drawing is given in Annex - 3.


[^8]

Actual material plus skilled labour plus mould cost of the tank is Rs. 5441. Under normal circumstances the cost of the ferro-cement pumpkin shaped tank is above the project criteria.

However by over-coming various constraints and delays that occurred during the construction phase, it should be possible to bring down the cost to meet financial project criteria. The construction method had many novel features, and with experience, the construction time will be reduced saving on skill and labour costs.

[^9]Construction Phase scaffolding arrangement is given below :


Illukumbura suggests

- To reduce the time of skilled labour and cement waste.

1. The skeleton mould to have at least 8 verticals and $6 \mathrm{~mm} \Phi$ rebar (removable) horizontal rings, every 10 cm vertically.
2. To plaster the exterior of the walls first and to remove the mould from inside the tank to do the inside plaster, and complete the tank.

This trial tank was built at Paradise School premises in Kuruwita Ratnapura. The co-operation and help of the school principal Mrs P.I. Wimalasiri must be mentioned here with gratitude.

## "

### 7.2 Brick-dome tank (built under ground)

The brick masonry tank was built at a potential rainwater harvesting site at Badulla. With actual material plus skilled labour the cost of the tank is Rs. 4298. In addition the transport of materials cost Rs. 1000/-. Under normal circumstances the brick rainwater storage tank will be acceptable within the project criteria and will be affordable to the householder in terms of labour and cash.

The roof of the tank is made of $1 / 4$ brick thick in the shape of a dome without the use of a mould. The tank can be completed by a crew of one skilled worker and three helpers in three days, excluding excavation.




An engineer and a skilled worker from the NERD Centre Ja-Ela, conducted a training course in the construction of the tank, for the technical staff and village masons involved in the project in the Badulla District. The tank is currently being inco-operated into a rainwater harvesting system.

The trial tank construction at Badulla, included the following input from the Regional Office.

- Selection of a site at a project village.
- Supply \& transport of materials to site.
- Provision of transport for trainees and trainers, from the Regional Office to the site for 3 days.

The Regional Director and District Engineer gave their fullest support in arranging these inputs. Special mention must be made of young resource engineer Wijaya Widyaratne and CRO Sunil Ratnasiri for their positive attitude, which enabled the work to get organized with short notice, to be completed on time.

## Material and cost break-down in Annex 6.

Tank drawing in Annex - 5.
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### 7.3. Cement Jars

Cement Jars of 1 to $2 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ capacity are popular rainwater storage containers used in Thailand. Investigations reveal that these Jars are not made in Sri Lanka. Available data on cement jars were collected.

Trial Jar of one above $1 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ capacity was constructed at National Engineering Research and Development Centre Ja-Ela.

One layer of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ chicken mesh fixed on to a skeleton made of 6 mm re-bar placed 9" apart both ways (horizontally and vertically) and plastered with 1:3 cement sand mortar to a 1 " thick wall. The inside is made water-proof by painting with cement slurry.

Cover is made separately with $1 / 2$ : "chicken mesh" re-inforced cement mortar and fixed on to the lower section, which has the shape of a bucket.

Drawing is given in Annex - 11 .

Cost break down is given in Annex 13.

### 7.4 Clay pot or Jars

Clay pot of upto 200 liter capacity are made at Molagoda on the Kandy, Colombo Road.

Market price of a 200 liter Clay Jar or Pot is Rs. 1500/-. Cost per liter Rs. 7.50.

Conclusion - Cost per liter is too high compared to the cost of all other types of storage tanks.

## 8. Pilot Project

Three villages in the three districts, where standard options, are not feasible due to technical economic and social reasons, were identified and visited.
They are:

### 8.1. Madawatugoda Upper Section, in Omalpe Ratnapura District.

Here about 40 tile-roofed small households live on the slope of a high hill. Few springs are available below house levels, but they are used to irrigate the paddy lands below, and the farmers do not allow them to be used for piped domestic supply.

Two visits were made to Madawatugoda during the period of study. The climatically closest meteorological station to Madawatugoda is at Kollone, with an average annual rainfall of 1.7 meters. (1955-1965).

Available tile \& GI sheet roofs can be used as catchment areas. Roof areas are generally above $40 \mathrm{M}^{2}$.

Graph N gives minimum dry season supplies from varying roof-catchment in a day in a ten year dry year. Required storage is given as $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ which could be constructed within project criteria. As catchment roof areas differ from house to house with a $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ storage tank the service level too changes. However the dry season service level will be a substantial improvement from the present status of water-supply of the majority of the households.

Bar Graph 3. 1 \& 3.2


Here rainwater harvesting is technically and economically feasible to improve the present status in water supply. Bar graph above gives the supply possible from typical system in a normal year. System include $60 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ catchment \& $5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ tank.
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The option can be put forward to the beneficiaries, and if they choose it as the preferable option, the design work can start.

### 8.2. Dematawelhinna Village in the Badulla District.

Dematawelhinna village is situated about 6 miles from the Regional Office, Badulla on top of the slope of a hill, 205 families live here. Houses are built of mud bricks. Most houses have Gl corrugated-sheet roofs. Roof areas vary between 30 to $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. In the dry season women collect water in pots from the water holes located at the bottom of the hill.

Rainwater harvesting is a new concept to the village. Presently about 10 to 20 pots of water is required per day per house. When explained the building of tanks big enough to store the number of pots of rain water required per daytimes the number of dry period days, it is easily understood by the householders.

With the advice of the DD/T the first brick-dome trial tank was constructed at a household in this village. Since then the understanding \& enthusiasm on rainwater harvesting has increased according to Resource Engineer Mr. Widyaratne. Number of householders have made requests for rainwater storage tanks.

Other options, such as gravity pipe systems, dug wells are not feasible at Dematawelhinna, according to CRO and villages.

A pump-water scheme is possible. For this water must be bought from the Water Board and pumped up a nearly 100 m and stored for distribution. The Regional Office estimate is Rs. $140 /$ - month per house maintenance. This is not affordable to the villagers, according to the Chairman of the CBO for running cost and most villagers have no regular income.

At a meeting held at the village presided by Regional Director CWSSP Badulla, an awareness presentation on rainwater harvesting was conducted. Since over one hundred applications requesting rainwater harvesting systems were received by the CWSSP Regional Office Badulla. Design and Construction of this project is expected to begin in August ' 95 .

The climatically closest meteorological station to Dematawelhinna is at Badulla, with an average annual rainfall of 1.75 meters (85-94). Graph X (Annex - 10) a gives possible dry season supply in liters from a roof catchment in a day from a $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ storage tank system in a ten year dry year.

Available roofs are mostly Gl and vary in area. These can be used as roofcatchment.

With a $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ tank which can be constructed within CWSSP criteria, it is possible to improve the present water-supply status to different levels depending on the size of the catchment roofs. Supply from a typical system in a normal year is depicted in the bar graph below.

[^10]\[
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Therefore rainwater harvesting is technically and economically feasible to improve the present status of water supply.

### 8.3. Dorsar Kanda Village Matara

A visit was made to the village with CRO/T and the Community Facilitator.
The village is situated on a hill about 6 Km from the Matara Regional Office. Half of the families live close to the bottom of the hill where shallow wells are possible.

The highest point is about 40 m above ground-water level. A few houses have permanent roofing made of tiles. Most houses have thatched roofs.

Most householders have taken house building loans recently and have started to build better houses with permanent roofs. Electricity is available at the bottom of the hill. A dirt road is available upto the top of the hill.

A few members of the CBO were contacted including the President, and the priest of the village. It is their aspiration to get both water and electricity to their houses, which they see available at low-income houses close by.

However, they are not ready to pay more than their counterpart in the town for pipe water. This issue needs more investigation and analysis.

The original design included a pump pipe scheme.

The current rainwater-harvesting practice is only to collect small quantities of water from tree trunks.


Climatically closest meteorological station to Dorsarkanda village is at Kekenadora, with an average annual rainfall of 1.23 meters. (80-89). Graph Y gives possible dry season supply in liters from roofs of varying sizes in a day, using a $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ storage tank system in a ten year dry year.

Available roofs are mostly thatch and are not suitable for rainwater harvesting. To do rainwater harvesting, first a suitable catchment must be constructed.

Once suitable catchment is available, rainwater harvesting becomes technically and economically feasible to improve the present status of water supply.

Supply from a typical system in a normal year is depicted in the bar graph below. System consists of $60 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ catchment $\& 5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ tank.

Bar Graph 3. 3
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## 9. Conclusion and Recommendation

### 9.1. Technical

The rainwater Harvesting Action research concludes that rainwater harvesting is technically feasible in the three districts of Badulla, Ratnapura and Matara.

Rainfall is adequate and most buildings have suitable roof-catchment.

The research recommends the design and construction of the three pilot projects already identified, at Badulla, Ratnapura and Matara. Monitor these projects after construction and review the technical, economic and social aspects and the policy on rainwater-harvesting accordingly.

### 9.2. Economic

The study concludes that it is possible to build a 5000 liter storage tank with brick (underground) or ferro-cement (over ground) to cost less than Rs. 5400 excluding unskilled labour. However, the level of service from a $5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ storage tank will vary according to the rainfall pattern of the location and the size of the catchment area used.

The research recommends the continued construction of ferro-cement type trial tanks in order to bring the cost down further and improve the design.

### 9.3. Social

A vast majority of potential beneficiaries specially the lower income groups do not understand the full benefit of rainwater-harvesting. Reliability of rain as a source and the quality of rainwater are their main concerns.

It is recommended to conduct an awareness campaign under the CWSSP, targeted to potential consumers on rainwater harvesting.

The potential beneficiaries include houses located at higher elevation and away from the existing sources, where specially women have to walk long distances to fetch water.
!

### 9.4. Design

The study recommends the preparation and use of Rain Region Graphs to design the size of tanks, for a set of rainfall data. The required tank sizes can be determined for different catchment areas and demands, by the use of 'Rain Region' graphs. Rain Region graphs should be prepared at Head Office or Regional Office levels of CWSSP with available monthly rainfall data for each region where the rainfall pattern is similar.

Higher storage is expensive to build. The alternative is to reduce the demand in a ten year dry year. For drinking and cooking 6 lit per capita per day can be considered as standard. Where roof-catchment is limited, the next option is to build low-cost ground level catchment with underground storage tank.

### 9.5. Construction

The construction of Pilot Projects should be supervised direct from the Regional Offices with maximum community involvement.

Training on low-cost tank construction should be given to relevant masons and supervisors prior to actual construction. These training costs must be budgeted for in addition to the pilot projects.

With relevant experience gained from the pilot project the next step should be to train Technical Officers of the Partner Organizations in designing and the construction of the rainwater-harvesting systems.

### 9.6. Quality

The quality of rainwater depends on how clean the atmosphere is. The cleanliness of the material of the catchment surface, gutters and down pipes, the storage tank and the water extraction device, determines the quality more significantly.

The atmosphere is considered to be clean in the rural and small town areas where the CWSSP is being implemented.

The best surface for a catchment is the G.I. or Aluminium sheet roofs, Tiles, asbestos and plastic are only satisfactory.
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The gutters and down pipes made of GI or PVC or polythene are considered satisfactory.

Storage tanks should be covered and made mosquito proof. Where there is any chance of pollution, the pot method of chlorination can be used to keep the water clean.

Water should be extracted from the tank by means of a pump or siphon pipe or gravity tap and not by bucket to prevent human contamination.

Rain water harvesting has the distinct advantage of being a separate system for each household. This prevents any outside contamination of the tanks.


RAINWATER HARVESTING - ACTION RESEARCH

## Task No.

1. Design a functional water storage system capable of holding 5,000 litres and costing not more than Rs.5,400 (excluding unskilled labour costs).
2. Construct sufficient number of prototypes of the above to ensure that after appropriate artisan training they can be constructed without major difficulty in a village . situation using typically available local labour and materials.
<3. Conduct a brief survey of the experience to date with rainwater harvesting in sri Lanka and record any significant lessons of either technical or social significance.
3. Visit and investigate the two villages (Badulla \& Matara) where options other than rainwater do not appear to be available. Assess the level of interest/demand of the villagers and, if favourable, seek their agreement to participate in a pilot project.
4. With the assistance of CWSPU District staff, conduct a pilot project in the two villages in a manner such as will generate maximum community involvement and contribution.
5. Review likely demand and physical potential for groundlevel rainwater harvesting and, if appropriate, make recommendations for further development.
6. Based on the pilot experience and ather relevant Sri Lankan experience, make appropriate recommendations for the incorporation of rainwater harvesting options in the CWSSP
7. prepare summary training material so as to transfer necessary skills to CWSPU technical staff.

TIME ALLOCATED
A. TECHNICAL

B COMPUNITY

## REMUNERATION RATE

CWSPU standard rates to be paid.
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Annex 2

RAI MFALL AMALYSIS
STATION \& UPPER OHIYR ESTATE

| YEAR | TOTAL | RAIAK | PROEABILITS | 10 Hear Howing Year | Averege Fing- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 1901.9 | 1 | 0.03226 | 6 Cl -69 | 23.5.6 |
| 1981 | 1942.7 | 2 | 0.06452 | 61. -70 | 2333.3 |
| 1974 | 1956.5 | 3 | 0.09677 | 62-31 | 2366.0 |
| 1984 | 2079.5 | 4 | 0.12903 | 65-72 | 2369.6 |
| 1968 | 2155.5 | 5 | 0.16129 | 64-33 | 2289.7 |
| 1966 | 2192.6 | 6 | 0.19355 | 65-74 | 2277.4 |
| 1961 | 2207.5 | 7 | 0.22581 | 6E - 35 | 2239.2 |
| 1975 | 224E. 5 | 0 | 0.25006 | 6r - $\mathbf{r a}^{6}$ | 2321.3 |
| 1970 | 2255.7 | 9 | 0.29032 | 66- $0^{7}$ | 2469.3 |
| 1982 | 2273.1 | 10 | 0.32258 | 69- P6 | 2509. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1980 | 2305.2 | 11 | 0.35484 | 70-39 | 2506.5 |
| 1973 | 2306.9 | 12 | 0.38310 | 71-80 | 2511.4 |
| 1962 | 2330.1 | 13 | 0.41935 | 72-81 | 2452.2 |
| 1972 | 2366.0 | 14 | 0.45161 | 73-82 | 2442.9 |
| 1979 | 2442.3 | 15 | 0.48381 ? | 34-83 | 2457.0 |
| 1983 | 2417.9 | 16 | 0.51613 | 75-84 | 2659.4 |
| 1969 | 2475.4 | 17 | 0.54839 | 76-85 | 2707.4 |
| 1989 | 2519.5 | 18 | 0.58065 | 77-6E | 2745.0 |
| 1971 | 2534.9 | 19 | 0.61290 | 7日-67 | 2834.1 |
| 1978 | 2560.3 | 20 | 0.64516 | ? 9 - 8 e | 2848.0 |
| 1965 | 2628.6 | 21 | 0.67342 | 8G-89 | 2855.7 |
| 1960 | 2679.2 | 22 | 0.70968 |  |  |
| 1988 | 2690.9 | 23 | 0.74194 |  |  |
| 1985 | 2727.2 | 24 | 0.77419 |  |  |
| 1976 | 3013.8 | 25 | 0.80615 |  |  |
| 1963 | 3105.9 | 26 | 0.83971 |  |  |
| 1977 | 3381.8 | 27 | 0.87097 |  |  |
| 1986 | $3397 . ?$ | 28 | 0.90323 |  |  |
| 1904 | 3980.1 | 29 | 0.93548 |  |  |
| 198? | 4264.6 | 30 | 0.96774 |  |  |
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## Annex - 4

5M ${ }^{3}$ RAIN WATER HARVESTINGTANK - RATNAPURADISTRICT
(FERRO-CEMENT TYPE)
MAY 95
MAJOR COSTS (TRIAL CONSTRUCTION)



## $\boldsymbol{\|}$ <br> I

## Brick Dome Type

Badulla District
February 1995

| Size | $D=6^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $5 \mathrm{m3}$ | Hwall $=5^{\prime}$ |
|  | Hdome $=1^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ |


| Material | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost | Cost internal | Cost external |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cement | Bag | 8.6 | 265 | 2279 |  | 2279 |
| Sand | ft3 | 33 | 3.5 | 115.5 |  | 115.5 |
| Metal (3/4') | ft 3 | 9 | 18 | 162 | 81 | 81 |
| Bricks (2") | nos. | 750 | 1.5 | 1125 |  | 1125 |
|  |  |  |  | 3681.5 |  |  |
| Transport |  |  |  | 1000 | 300 | 700 |
| Skilled labour | hour | 28 | 22 | 616 |  | 616 |
| Unskilled labour | hour | 96 | 12.5 | 1200 | 1200 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1581 | 4916.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Contrib |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 24\% | 76\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | HH | CWSSP |

## Conclusion

Under normal circumstances a brick rainwater storage tank
will be acceptable within the ${ }_{i}$. oject criteria and will be affordable to the householder in terms of labour and cash

| Material + skilled labour | Rs. | 4297.5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Material + skilled labour + transport | Rs. | 5297.5 |
| Material + skilled labour + transport and unskilled labour | Rs. | 6497.5 |
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## 1

# RHIC NETWORK PRIORITY: Asbestos Roofing and Safety 

by Dan Campbell, RHIC Manager

RHIC periodically receives requests for information and advice on the potential negative health impacts on drinking water harvested from roofs made from asbestos/cement (AV) sheeting. Such roofing sheets are common in many countries and offer important advantages such as ease of local manufacture, durability, and relatively low prices. Because of the very limited information available on health issues of rainwater harvesting from asbestos roofing, RHIC tnvites readers to share any information you may have on this important topic. RHIC would like to learn of any policies or experiences in water consumption from A/C roofs from the RHIC network.

Some experts advocate that materials containing asbestos should never be used as roofing material because asbestos fibers can be loosened if roofing sheets are cut or damaged, causing the potential for human ingestion. Asbestos reseanch has centered on human hazards due to ingestion through the lungs by breathing, and clearly demonstrated that this is hazandous to human health, causing cancer, gastro-intestinal tract and pulmonary fibrosis. Research is much more limited on human ingestion of asbestos through the alimentary system by drinking or eating. In fact, RHIC staff have not identified any viable information or research on the health consequences of human consumption of rainwater that contains asbestos fibers.

Asbestos is a generic name for a group of six naturally occurring hydrated polysilicate minerals (amosite, chrysotile, tremolite, actinolite, anthophyllite, and crocidolite). Asbestos/cement pipe contains an average of 170 g of asbestos per kg ( $80 \%$ chrysotile and $20 \%$ crocidolite). These minerals separate into microscopic fibers that are heat resistant, flexible, durable, and virtually indestructible in most uses. Asbestos fibers are very stable in the environment, do not evaporate into air or dissolve in water, and do not break down over time.

Due to these stable characteristics, asbestos fibers have been used in a wide range of products such as water and sewage pipes, roofing sheets, electrical insulators, and heating insulation. Asbestos is commonly found in most domestic water supplies. Typical asbestos concentrations in rivers and lakes are considered to be about 1 million fibers per liter.

> Stow sand and gravel filters can remove up to $80 \%$ of asbestos fibers and other particulate matter and can be designed to serve small communtities as well as indlvidual famllis.

For information on filter dosign contact RHIC.

Asbestos levels also depend upon neighboring industrial sources. In Canada, the asbestos content of untreated water from the Ottawa River has been reported to be 9.5 million fibers per liter. Based on surveys in Canada, it was found that about 5 percent of the Canadian public consume water with asbestos concentration exceeding 10 million fibers per liter and about 0.6 percent consume water with concentrations exceeding 100 million fibers per liter. Levels range up to 2000 million fibers per liter in some asbestos mining communities.

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), 1984 guidelines on drinking water quality, the most effective method for removal involves chemical coagulation with iron salts and polyelectrolytes followed by filtration. Ordinary sand filtration removes about 90 percent of the individual asbestos fibers from water supplies.

One of the few studies available on rainwater quality from asbestos roofing was conducted in Thailand in 1989. This study showed that E. coli. levels were considerably higher in runoff from A/C roofs than from galvanized iron. Some research indicates this is because sunlight is more effective in killing bacteria on metal surfaces than on A/C surfaces.

In addition to the above research, the RHIC document collection includes records of one rainwater harvesting project that was influenced by the use of $A / C$ roofing. In the late 1980's, the U.S. Agency for International Development in Honduras decided not to include rainwater catchments on rural schools because the Ministry of Education insisted on using locally manufactured A/C roofing for reasons of cost savings and job creation by using local products.

In the U.S., a great deal of research has been conducted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and other organizations on the health impacts of consuming water from asbestos-cement pipes. On July 12, 1989, under the US Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), the EPA banned most asbestos-containing products, including $A / C$ pipe. A/C pipe, however, was included in the ban because of the risk posed by exposure to airborne asbestos during $A / C$ pipe manufacture, transport and installation. The EPA concluded that consumption of drinking water transported by A/C pipelines posed no significant health risk because of the pipe material and that existing A/C pipelines did not need to be removed because of the ban.

The current EPA drinking water standard for asbestos, which became effective in July 1992, is set at 7 million fibers/liter for fibers longer than 10 mm . The 1984 WHO guidelines do not contain a guideline for asbestos in water supplies. WHO directives state that:

## I

## RHIC NETWORK NEWS

O Dr. Al-Homoud is looking for information and experts on the design and construction of ponds and small desert dams which can be used for water harvesting in the desert. His address is: Dr. Azm S. Al-Homoud, Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering Dept., Jordan University of Science \& Technology, PO Box 3030, Irbid, Jordan, Phone: 295111, FAX: 295123.

- Mr. Nissen-Petersen has recently left Botswana for Namibia to work for 6 months on a UNICEF water tank and well program. He can be reached at: Erik Nissen-Petersen, ASAL Consultants Lıd., PO Box 867, Kitui, Kenya, Phone: 0141-22706 \& 22123, FAX: 0141-22571 \& 2-740524.
- In South Africa, a local non-governmental organization known as SAWIC maintains a bibliographic database of nearly 200,000 references and is interested in receiving research and project reports from other organizations involved in rainwater harvesting. The Centre publishes 2 journsls, entitled WATER SA and the SA Water Bulletin. which are available on request. For further information, contact: Angela Rethman, Project Manager, South African Water Information Centre (SAWIC), PO Box 395, Pretoria 0001, South Africa, Phone: (012) 841-2048, FAX: (012) 862869.

O Brian Skinner is seeking information on wire-reinforced cement mortar tanks or jars that have been well-tried under field conditions. This includes plain wire or barbed wire reinforced containers as well as those using ferrocement. He also is seeking construction details of urreinforced mortar jars. Please contact him as soon as possible if you have information. Brian Skinner, WEDC, Loughborough Univer-

## Network Priority, continued from page 7

"the health hazards associated with occupational exposure to airborne asbestos are well documented. The harmful effects, however, of swallowed asbestos on human health have not been determined. Studies in progress should permit a more complete evaluation of any hazard resulting from the swallowing of asbestos, but available data are, at present, insufficient to determine whether a guideline value is needed. The hypothesls that ingested asbestos fibers cause cancer cannot be ruled out at the present time."

With such uncertainty about the use of asbestos cement roofing materials and water pipes and the potential health risks, it is especially important that the results of diverse field experience and research become available for analysis. Please assist in this issue by sharing with RHIC your experiences and information on this topic.
sity of Technology, Leicestershire, LE11 3TU, England, Phone: (44) 509-222392, FAX: (44) 509-211079.

- Alan Fewkes is developing a microcomputer software program for sizing rainwater catchment systems and he is keenly interested in relevant infomation and contacts with others who may also be interested. Alan Fefwkes, Faculty of Environmental Studies, Nottingham Polytechnic, Burton Street, Nottingham NG1 4BU, United Kingdom, Phone: (0602) 418418, FAX: (0602) 484266
- Todd Boulanger seeks information on agencies that fund commumity workshops on rainwater catchments, water quality, and environmental monitoring. He is also interested in reports and data on the lead concentrations of different types of building materials. If you can assist, contact Todd at the following address: Todd Boulanger, Water Resources Research Center, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Holmes, Hall 283, 2540 Dole Street, Honolutu, Hawaii 96822, Phone: (808) 956-7381, FAX: (808) 956-6870
- The Intemational Association for Envirommental Hydrology (IAEH) is new association created to encourrage effective commmenication across all countries and between all disciplines that relate to water and the environment. It promotes links between the scientific community and practicing environmental hydrologists and water professionals. The Association publishes the Journal of Environmental Hydrology and the Environmental Hydrology Report which are both available at no cost to ássociation members. Membership fees are $\$ 96$ per year for non-students. For further information, contact: Roger Peebles, IAEH, PO Box 1088, Alexandria, Virginia 22313, USA, Phone: (703) 683- ${ }^{-2}$ 9768, FAX: (703) 683-6137.



## WATER AND SANITATION FOR HEALTH PROJECT

For additional information about activitios and reports highigghtod in this issue, contact:

WASH Operations Center
1611 North Kont Street, Room 1001
Arington, Virginla 22209 USA
Water and Sanitation for Health Profect, Contract No. DPE 5973-Z-00-8081-00, Project No.836-1249. Sponsored by the Office of Heatth, Bureau for Research and Dovelopment, U.S. Agency for interrialionad Development, Washington, DC 20523.

Cement Jar $1.1 \mathrm{M}^{3}$

Trial Tank build at NERD Centre Ja-Ela. May 95

| Description | Actuals used in the trial |  |  |  | Estimate Possible to do with |  | Householder Cost | CWSSP Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |  |  |
| Cement | Bag | 265 | 4 | 1060 | 2.5 | 663 |  | 663 |
| Sand | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | 4 | 10 | 40 | 10 | 40 |  | 40 |
| 1/2 $2^{\text {n }}$ Chicken Mesh | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 4 | 80 | 320 | 80 | 320 |  | 320 |
| $6^{7 m} \mathrm{Rods}$ | length | 25 | 9 | 225 | 3 | 75 |  | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | 1645 |  |  |  |  |
| Skill Labour | HR | 22 | 24 | 528 | 8 | 176 |  | 176 |
| Unskill Labour | HR | 12.5 | 24 | 300 | 48 | 600 | 600 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 828 |  |  |  |  |
| Welding |  |  |  | 200 |  | NIL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2673 |  | 1874 | 600 | 1274 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HH | CWSSP |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32\% | 68\% |
| Cost per Liter |  |  |  | 2.43 |  | 1.87 | . 55 | 1.16 |

CONGIUSIPN: COSt is shove CWSSP criteria, of $1.08 \mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{r}$ liter maximum cost per liter can be brought down by building jars of larger capacity (eg. $1.5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ ) and more

Annex - 14

## $5,8 \& 10 M^{3}$ BRICK DOME TANKS <br> QUANTITIES AND COSTS (1995)

| VOLUME | Floor | WAIL | DOME | $\begin{array}{r} 1: 3 \mathrm{P} \\ \\ \text { COATI } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | LASTER <br> NEAT <br> CEMENT | $1: 2$ COATII | QTY | UNTTCOST | cost | REMARK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area (ft ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 M^{3} H 4^{\prime} \\ D 7^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \end{gathered}$ | $53$ | 99 | 54 | 260 | 210 | 210 |  |  |  |  |
| Cement Bag | 2 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 8.6 | 265 | 2279 | - Transport not |
| Brick No | - | 560 | 190 | - | - | - | 750 | 1.5 | 1125 | included |
| Sand $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | 5 | 10 | 5 | 7 | - | 6 | 33 | 3.5 | 116 | - Dome volume not |
| Metal 3/4' $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | 6 | - | - |  | 3 |  | 9 | 18 | 162 | taken |
| Mason HR | 2 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 28 | 22 | 616 | - Dome thickness |
| Labour HR | 6 | 24 | 18 | 18 | 12 | 18 | 96 | 12.5 | 1200 | 2" brick |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5498 | Cost Rs. 1.10/Lit |
|  | Area (ft ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| $8 \mathrm{M}^{3} \mathrm{H} 4$ | 81 | 124 | 85 | 375 | 286 | 286 |  |  |  |  |
| Cement Bag | 3 | 2 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 2 | 12.3 | 265 | 3259 |  |
| Brick No | - | 700 | 800 | - | - | - | 1000 | 1.5 | 1500 |  |
|  |  |  | 300 |  |  |  | 300 |  | 450 |  |
| Sand $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | 8 | 13 | 8 | 10 | - | 8.2 | 47.2 | 3.5 | 165 |  |
| Metal 3/4'ft ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ | 9 | - | - |  | 4 |  | 13 | 18 | 234 | - Dome thickness |
| Mason HR | 3 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 39 | 22 | 858 | 4"Brick |
| Labour HR | 9 | 30 | 28 | 26 | 16 | 24 | 133 | 12.5 | 1663 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8129 | Cost Rs. 1.02/Lit |
|  | Area (ft ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10 \mathrm{M}^{3} \mathrm{H} 5$, | 81 | 160 | 85 | 405 | 316 | 316 |  |  |  |  |
| D9'6" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cement Bag | 3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 13.6 | 265 | 3604 | - Dome thickness |
| Brick No | - | 905 | 600 | - | - | - | 1505 | 1.5 | 2258 | $4^{\prime \prime}$ Brick |
| Sand $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | 8 | 16 | 8 | 11 | - | 10 | 53 | 3.5 | 186 |  |
| Metal 3/4" ft ${ }^{3}$ | 9 | - |  | - | 4 |  | 13 | 18 | 234 |  |
| Mason HR | 3 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 6.5 | 10 | 44 | 22 | 968 |  |
| Labour HR | 9 | 39 | 28 | 28 | 20 | 30 | 154 | 12.5 | 1925 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9175 | Cost Rs. $0.92 / \mathrm{Lit}$ |


$\pm$
$\square$


## RAINWATER HARVESTING SYSTEM COST ANALYSIS.SYSTEM CONSISTS OF 5M ${ }^{3}$ TANK \& 60M ${ }^{2}$ CATCHMENT

(1995) Rs.

|  | Ferro Cement Tank CWSSP Cost | Ferro Cement Tank HH Cost | Brick Dome Tank CWSSP Cost | Brick <br> Dome <br> Tank <br> HH Cost | Material for Home Made Pump | Material for low cost Gutter for $60 \mathrm{M}^{2}$ RF | Material for Standard Gutter for $60 \mathrm{M}^{2} \mathrm{RF}$ | Low Cost Ground Catchment $30 \mathrm{M}^{2}$ | Labour for Low Cost Gutter | Labour for Standard Gutters | Labour for The Pump | Transport | Total Costs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5500 | 1400 | 4300 | $\begin{aligned} & 1300 \\ & + \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | 450 | 850 | 2250 | 1550 | 200 | 400 | 100 | 500 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5500 |  |  |  |  |  | 2250 |  |  | 400 |  |  | 8150 |
| 11 | 5500 |  |  |  |  | 850 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  | 6850 |
| III | 5500 |  |  |  |  |  | 2250 |  |  | 400 |  | 500 | 8650 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IV |  |  | 4300 |  | 450 |  | 2250 |  |  | 400 | 100 |  | 7500 |
| V |  |  | 4300 |  | 450 |  | 850 |  | 200 |  | 100 |  | 5900 |
| V1 |  |  | 4300 |  | 450 |  | 850 |  | 200 |  | 100 | 500 | 6400 |
| VII |  |  | 4300 |  | 450 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  | 4850 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## I <br> I I |  I I I I I I


[^0]:    $\mathbb{R a i n}_{\text {Wator Harvating }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Page 8 SddCRR poortrub/Angut 7, 19959.09 am

[^1]:    
    SddCRaport.ruh/LAugut 7, 19959:09am

[^2]:    Rain Water Harusting . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Pago 14 SddC/R aportruh/ Angust 7, 1995 9:09am

[^3]:    Rain Water Harvesting . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Pago 16 SddC/Raporthouh/August 7, 1995 9:09am

[^4]:    Rain Water Jarusting
    $p_{\text {ag: }} 17$
    SddCRRaport.nuh Angust 7, 1995 9:09am

[^5]:    Rain Water Jarvasting . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Page 20
    SddCRRaportruh/ August 7, 1995 9:09am

[^6]:    

[^7]:     SAdCRR.porifuub/ Angust 7, 1995 9:09an

[^8]:    Katn Water Jtarvasting . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\boldsymbol{P}_{\text {age }} 45$
    SddCR(Raortrub/LAngust 7, 1995 9:09am

[^9]:    

[^10]:    
    SddCRRaport.ruh/Angust 7, 19959:09am

