LIBRARY
INTERNATIONAL REFERENCE CENTRE
FOR COMMUNITY WATER SUPPLY AND
SANITATION (IRC)

# PERFORMANCE OF WASTEWATER STABILIZATION PONDS AT DIFFERENT DEPTHS

B. B. HOSETTI\* and H. S. PATIL\*\*

Water Pollution Research Laboratory, Department of Zoology, Karnatak University, Dharwad-580003,
India

(Received May 5, 1986; revised October 28, 1986)

Abstract. Investigations were made on wastewater ponds (cement cisterns) operated at 1.22, 1.83, and 2.44 m depths. Results revealed the layering of microorganisms at various depths. BOD and nutrient values and bacterial counts were maximum in the bottom layers and minimum at the surface in all the ponds. Concomitant with these variations, increases in pH and DO content were observed at the upper layers of the ponds. The activities of catalase, phosphatase, protease and amylase were directly related to microbial growth and activity. Low enzyme activity was recorded in the upper layers, whereas it increase with the increase in depth of the pond. From the present study, it is inferred that the pond depth could be increased beyond a generally acceptable value of 1.22 m particularly in tropical countries where enough light is available.

### 1. Introduction

For the last four decades, world wide studies on wastewater stabilization ponds have been conducted. Emphasis has been given to the reduction in BOD, nutrients and bacteria (Fitzgerald and Rohlich, 1958; Dave and Jain, 1967; Arceivala et al., 1970; Gloyna, 1971; Ganapati, 1974; Patil, 1979; Kurshed and Chughtai, 1981) than the influence of depth on the biochemical activities in the pond. The 1.22 m depth has been accepted as standard depth for the operation of stabilization ponds. There are a few studies (Dodakundi et al., 1973; Hosetti and Rodgi, 1985; Halakatti and Rodgi, 1985) which mention the possibility of increasing the depth of the ponds. If 1.22 m depth is regarded as an optimum, larger area may be required for the treatment of large quantities of wastewater. This imposes limitations on the treatment of wastewater in stabilization ponds, especially for the larger communities.

The purpose of this work is to investigate the influence of depth on the performance of stabilization ponds in the treatment of wastewaters.

## 2. Materials and Methods

Studies of stabilization ponds were carried out using three model cement cisterns, operated at 1.22 m (A), 1.83 m (B), and 2.44 m (C) depths, each of 0.92 m diameter (Figure 1). Cisterns were installed adjacent to the field stabilization pond functioning on the University Campus. All the model ponds were provided with outlets at every

Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 34 (1987) 191-198. †

<sup>\*</sup> Current address: Shri Shankar Arts Science and Commerce College, Navalgund-582208, India.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Author for all correspondence.



Fig. 1. Stabilization ponds made of cement cisterns operated at 1.22 m (A), 1.83 m (B), and 2.44 m (C) depths.

0.31 m depths for sample collection. The ponds operated in a continuous flow mode. The raw wastewater from the staff quarters and the gents hostel flows to the field stabilization pond for the treatment. A portion of it was diverted into these model ponds. The detention period of the model ponds was about 10 days. Samples collected weekly at the surface and at every 0.31 m depth from all the ponds were analyzed. Temperature was recorded immediately after the collection of samples. The pH was measured with digital pH meter. The DO, BOD<sub>5</sub> at 20 °C, NH<sub>3</sub>N, PO<sub>4</sub> and bacterial counts were estimated as per the methods described in 'Standard Methods' (APHA, 1980). Catalase (Euler and Josephson, 1927), phosphatase (Verstraete et al., 1976), protease (Lenhard, 1967) and amylase (Noelthing and Bernfield, 1948) activities were estimated. Algal cell number was enumerated by using a haemocytometer.

## 3. Results

The raw wastewater is slightly yellowish in color and has following characteristics: 35 to  $65 \times 10^6 \,\mathrm{mL^{-1}}$  bacteria, O.0 to 1.5 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of DO, approximately 302 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of BOD, pH ranging between 6.9 to 7.5, NH<sub>3</sub>N 19 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and PO<sub>4</sub> 8.62 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. Catalase activity of influent wastewater varied between 25.2 to 30 units, phosphatase from 6.9 to 8.7 units, while protease and amylase activities ranged from 90 to 130 units and 186 to 346.5 units, respectively (Table I).

TABLE I
Characteristics of raw wastewater

Time (week)	DO mg L - 1	рН	Temp. °C	NH <sub>3</sub> N mg L <sup>-1</sup>	PO <sub>4</sub> mg L - 1	BOD mg L - I	Bacteria × 10 <sup>6</sup> m L <sup>- 1</sup>	Catalase (1)	Phosphatase (2)	Protease (3)	Amylase (4)
1	0.0	6.9	29.0	21.0	8.9	320.0	65.0	29.2	8.7	129.7	346.5
2	1.0	7.2	30.0	18.0	8.4	310.0	45.0	30.0	7.5	122.6	293.6
3	0.5	7.3	30.0	21.0	9.3	298.0	35.0	26.8	6.9	90.8	186.0
4	1.5	7.5	29.0	17.0	8.1	284.0	48.0	25.2	7.6	95.0	186.0
5	1.0	6.9	28.0	18.0	8.4	296.0	40.0	26.0	7.8	100.0	240.0
Average values ± SD	0.8 ± 0.6	$7.2 \pm 0.3$	29.2 ± 0.8	19.0 ± 1.9	8.6 ± 0.5	301.6 + 13.8	46.6 ± 11.4	27.4 ± 2.1	7.7 ± 0.6	107.6 ± 17.4	250.4 ± 69.8

<sup>(1)</sup> µmol of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> decomposed min<sup>-1</sup> 100 mL<sup>-1</sup> of sample.

PERFORMANCE OF WASTEWATER STABILIZATION PONDS

<sup>(2)</sup> µg of paranitrophenol liberated min<sup>-1</sup> 100 mL<sup>-1</sup> of sample.

<sup>(3)</sup> µg of tryptophan liberated min<sup>-1</sup> 100 mL<sup>-1</sup> of sample.

<sup>(4)</sup> μg of maltose liberated min<sup>-1</sup> 100 mL<sup>-1</sup> of sample.

reinto

The DO of the raw wastewater was very low, but it was observed that at the bottom of the ponds A, B, and C, a little over 7 mg  $L^{-1}$  of DO was recorded. This clearly indicated the growth of algae and their activity even at the bottom of these ponds. The algal cell number in the surface samples of pond A and pond B was found to be more than twice the number of cells at the bottom of these ponds. However, in pond C cell count increased from  $90 \times 10^3$  at the bottom to  $244 \times 10^3$  mL<sup>-1</sup> at the surface. It is evident from the Table II that the algal densities at the surface layers were higher than

TABLE II

Changes in physical, chemical and biological parameters of wastewater in pond A, B, and C (average values ± SD)

Depth (m)	DO mg L - 1	рН	Temp. °C	NH <sub>3</sub> N mg L <sup>-1</sup>	PO <sub>4</sub> mg L ~ 1	BOD mg L - 1	Algae × 10 <sup>3</sup> mL <sup>-1</sup>	Bacteria × 10 <sup>4</sup> mL <sup>-1</sup>
Pond A	(1.22 m)							
0	$14.3 \pm 0.7$	$9.6 \pm 0.3$	28.2 ± 0.8	$1.4 \pm 0.4$	$2.0 \pm 0.2$	58.2 ± 8.2	288.8 ± 9.4	9.4 ± 1.1
0.31	$14.3 \pm 0.7$	$9.5 \pm 0.5$	$28.2 \pm 0.8$	$1.4 \pm 0.4$	$2.0 \pm 0.2$	$58.2 \pm 8.2$	$287.2 \pm 9.9$	$9.8 \pm 1.3$
0.61	$11.4 \pm 0.8$	$9.0 \pm 0.4$	$27.6 \pm 0.8$	$2.0 \pm 0.3$	$2.8 \pm 0.5$	65.9 ± 7.5	232.6 ± 14.7	$13.4 \pm 2.1$
0.91	$9.2 \pm 0.3$	$8.2 \pm 0.1$	$26.8 \pm 0.7$	$3.8 \pm 0.9$	$3.8 \pm 0.3$	$85.0 \pm 9.8$	$182.0 \pm 15.0$	$23.2 \pm 1.9$
1.22	$7.2\pm0.2$	$7.2 \pm 0.2$	$26.4 \pm 0.6$	$6.1 \pm 0.6$	$5.2 \pm 0.4$	129.4 ± 15.8	$124.2 \pm 9.0$	$64.8 \pm 6.3$
Pond B	(1.83 m)							
0	14.0 + 1.2	$9.4 \pm 0.2$	$28.2 \pm 0.8$	$1.7 \pm 0.4$	$2.3 \pm 0.3$	$68.6 \pm 2.1$	263.4 ± 13.2	$10.0 \pm 1.0$
0.31	13.9 + 1.2	$9.4 \pm 0.2$	28.2 + 0.8	$1.7 \pm 0.4$	$2.3 \pm 0.3$	68.8 ± 2.1	262.0 + 12.6	$11.4 \pm 1.9$
0.61	12.9 + 0.9	$9.1 \pm 0.2$	$27.7 \pm 0.6$	$2.3 \pm 0.4$	$3.0 \pm 0.5$	$71.9 \pm 2.6$	245.4 ± 12.2	13.2 ± 1.3
0.91	$12.0 \pm 0.5$	$9.0 \pm 0.1$	$27.7 \pm 0.8$	$2.5 \pm 1.2$	3.4 + 0.1	85.3 ± 4.8	$228.0 \pm 12.7$	$21.4 \pm 3.1$
1.22	$10.4 \pm 0.2$	$8.3 \pm 0.1$	$26.7 \pm 0.7$	$3.6 \pm 0.5$	$4.2 \pm 0.2$	96.5 ± 10.3	$214.0 \pm 47.3$	$27.2 \pm 2.4$
1.52	$8.1 \pm 0.1$	$8.5 \pm 0.2$	$25.6 \pm 0.5$	$4.1 \pm 0.4$	$4.6 \pm 0.5$	106.0 ± 13.7	137.6 ± 14.3	42.2 ± 3.9
1.83	7.1 ± 0.2	$7.3 \pm 0.2$	$25.6 \pm 0.5$	$5.7 \pm 0.5$	$5.9 \pm 0.2$	$130.8 \pm 4.8$	$119.6 \pm 12.4$	$66.4 \pm 2.7$
Pond C	(2.44 m)							
0	12.5 ± 1.2	9.2 ± 0.2	$28.2 \pm 0.9$	2.8 ± 0.5	$2.8 \pm 0.3$	72.2 ± 4.9	244.7 ± 9.7	$10.6 \pm 2.7$
0.31	$12.0 \pm 0.9$	9.1 ± 0.2	$28.2 \pm 0.8$	$2.8 \pm 0.4$	$2.8 \pm 0.3$	72.2 ± 7.1	$245.0 \pm 4.6$	$10.6 \pm 2.7$
0.61	$11.5 \pm 0.2$	$9.1 \pm 0.2$	$27.3 \pm 0.8$	$3.3 \pm 0.4$	$3.3 \pm 0.3$	85.6 ± 7.1	$236.8 \pm 4.6$	$13.1 \pm 2.7$
0.91	$10.0 \pm 0.5$	$8.7 \pm 0.1$	$27.4 \pm 0.8$	$3.3 \pm 0.4$	$3.3 \pm 0.4$	87.2 ± 7.2	$234.0 \pm 7.5$	16.4 ± 2.6
1.22	$9.2 \pm 0.5$	$8.5 \pm 0.1$	$26.7 \pm 0.7$	$4.1 \pm 0.5$	$3.7 \pm 0.2$	$100.4 \pm 3.3$	$197.2 \pm 5.9$	$16.6 \pm 2.4$
1.52	$8.5 \pm 0.2$	$8.2 \pm 0.1$	$26.6 \pm 0.5$	$4.6 \pm 0.6$	$4.7 \pm 0.3$	$103.0 \pm 7.8$	182.4 ± 8.3	24.6 ± 2.2
1.83	$7.5 \pm 0.3$	$8.3 \pm 0.1$	$26.4 \pm 0.6$	5.3 ± 0.4	$6.3 \pm 1.0$	128.4 ± 6.7	150.0 ± 8.7	$25.6 \pm 3.0$
2.13	$7.2 \pm 0.2$	7.2 ± 0.1	$25.9 \pm 0.4$	$6.4 \pm 0.4$	$7.0 \pm 0.5$	$130.0 \pm 4.5$	126.8 ± 9.4	$36.0 \pm 5.1$
2.44	7.0 + 0.2	$7.0 \pm 0.6$	$25.8 \pm 0.4$	$8.0 \pm 0.6$	$7.4 \pm 0.3$	144.0 ± 11.8	90.6 ± 5.0	75.4 ± 5.7

at the bottom. In all the ponds, temperature varied between 25.6 to 28.2 °C. A gradual decrease in temperature from the surface to the bottom typical of a thermocline was observed in these ponds. The pH values in all the ponds remained around 7 at the pond bottoms. A gradual increase in pH from bottom to surface was observed. The difference in pH levels of the pond A was greater than the values observed in the rest of the two ponds. The higher pH values were recorded up to the depths of 0.91 m, 1.52 m and

bottom is clearly nds. The be more ad C cell ace. It is ther than

d C (average

a c (average
Bacteria × 10 <sup>4</sup> mL
$9.4 \pm 1.1$ $9.8 \pm 1.3$ $13.4 \pm 2.1$ $23.2 \pm 1.9$ $64.8 \pm 6.3$
$10.0 \pm 1.0$ $11.4 \pm 1.9$ $13.2 \pm 1.3$ $21.4 \pm 3.1$ $27.2 \pm 2.4$ $42.2 \pm 3.9$ $66.4 \pm 2.7$
$10.6 \pm 2.7$ $10.6 \pm 2.7$ $13.1 \pm 2.7$ $16.4 \pm 2.6$ $16.6 \pm 2.4$ $24.6 \pm 2.2$ $25.6 \pm 3.0$ $36.0 \pm 5.1$ $75.4 \pm 5.7$

A gradual scline was the pond difference of the two .52 m and

1.83 m levels in the ponds A, B and C, respectively. The pH value at 1.83 m depth recorded in pond C was 8.32 (Table II). The increased pH in pond A up to 0.91 m indicated the presence of an euphotic zone. The euphotic zone was pushed further up to 1.52 m in pond B and 1.83 m in pond C (Table II).

TABLE III

Percent removal of ammonia nitrogen, phosphates, bacteria, and BOD

Depth (m)	NH <sub>3</sub> N	PO <sub>4</sub>	Bacteria	BOD					
Pond A									
0	92.5	76.3	99.8	80.7					
0.31	92.5	76.5	99.8	80.7					
0.61	89.3	67.9	99.7	78.2					
0.91	79.9	55.9	99.5	71.9					
1.22	68.1	40.3	98.6	57.2					
Pond B									
0	90.8	73.2	99.8	77.2					
0.31	90.8	73.2	99.8	77.2					
0.61	87.9	65.4	99.7	76.2					
0.91	87.5	60.4	99.5	71.7					
1.22	80.9	50.8	99.4	68.0					
1.52	78.6	47.1	99.1	64.9					
1.83	69.9	30.9	98.6	56.6					
Pond C									
0	85.2	67.2	99.8	76.1					
0.31	85.2	67.2	99.8	76.1					
0.61	82.4	61.9	99.7	71.6					
0.91	82.4	61.5	99.6	71.1					
1.22	78.5	57.5	99.6	66.7					
1.52	75.6	45.2	99.5	65.8					
1.83	72.2	28.3	99.5	57.4					
2.13	66.1	19.4	99.2	56.9					
2.44	58.0	13.7	75.4	52.3					

Reductions in NH<sub>3</sub>N and PO<sub>4</sub> were recorded at different levels in all ponds. Rapid decline in the nutrients was observed from the 0.93 m depth in pond A, from 1.52 m in pond B and from 1.83 m depth in pond C (Table III). The BOD at the surface was found to be 58.2 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in pond A, 68.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in pond B and 72.2 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in pond C, while BOD of the raw wastewater was approximately 300.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. Higher BOD values were observed at the bottom of the ponds than at the surface. It is evident that in all the cases BOD was reduced to approximately half of the values at the bottom (Table II). Due to photosynthetic activity bicarbonates available in the media are

TABLE IV

Changes in the activities of enzymes in different ponds

Enzyme	Depth (m)									
	0	0.31	0.61	0.91	1.22	1.52	1.83	2.13	1.44	
Pond A										
Catalase	$5.8 \pm 1.3$	5.8 ± 1.3	$6.7 \pm 1.3$	10.2 ± 1.6	18.4 ± 2.6	_	_		_	
Phosphatase	$5.7 \pm 0.6$	$5.7 \pm 0.6$	$5.6 \pm 0.2$	$5.8 \pm 0.2$	$7.0 \pm 0.4$	_	_	_		
Protease	5.9 ± 1.2	$5.9 \pm 1.2$	$7.0 \pm 1.0$	$9.7 \pm 1.2$	$18.7 \pm 2.4$	_		_	_	
Amylase	$10.7 \pm 14.6$	$10.7 \pm 16.6$	29.3 ± 21.9	$57.7 \pm 10.2$	$80.8 \pm 9.5$	_	_	-	-	
Pond B										
Catalase	8.5 ± 1.2	8.5 ± 1.2	$10.3 \pm 1.5$	$11.7 \pm 1.3$	$14.3 \pm 1.5$	16.2 ± 1.1	$23.1 \pm 3.3$			
Phosphatase	$4.7 \pm 0.2$	$4.7 \pm 0.2$	$5.5 \pm 0.3$	$5.8 \pm 0.2$	$5.8 \pm 0.2$	$6.5 \pm 0.3$	$7.6 \pm 0.3$	_		
Protease	$6.4 \pm 0.2$	$6.4 \pm 0.2$	$6.9 \pm 0.3$	$9.6 \pm 0.9$	$10.6 \pm 1.4$	$14.6 \pm 1.6$	$22.4 \pm 2.1$		_	
Amylase	$5.3 \pm 7.3$	$10.7 \pm 14.6$	$32.0 \pm 15.2$	$56.4 \pm 6.9$	$77.7 \pm 5.1$	$77.7 \pm 5.1$	$88.4 \pm 12.2$	_	_	
Pond C										
Catalase	$12.3 \pm 2.8$	12.3 ± 2.8	14.8 ± 2.8	18.4 ± 1.0	18.8 ± 1.4	$21.7 \pm 0.9$	21.7 ± 0.8	27.3 ± 0.9	34.8 ± 2.5	
Phosphatase	$6.0 \pm 0.4$	$6.0 \pm 0.4$	$6.4 \pm 0.2$	$6.7 \pm 0.3$	$6.7 \pm 0.2$	$7.1 \pm 0.3$	$7.1 \pm 0.3$	$7.6 \pm 0.2$	$8.4 \pm 0.3$	
Protease	$9.9 \pm 2.0$	$9.9 \pm 2.0$	$11.7 \pm 1.8$	$17.8 \pm 1.6$	$17.8 \pm 1.6$	$18.7 \pm 1.7$	$18.7 \pm 1.7$	$42.1 \pm 3.8$	$47.2 \pm 3.0$	
Amylase	5.3 ± 11.9	$5.3 \pm 11.9$	$16.0 \pm 13.8$	$32.4 \pm 28.3$	59.1 ± 24.4	$67.1 \pm 13.4$	89.2 ± 8.4	112.0 + 11.9	133.3 ± 37.	

Units of enzyme activities are the same as mentioned in Table I.

utilized in the metabolic activity of algae, causing a reduction of bacteria in wastewater. Increased bacterial growth was observed between 2.14 m and 2.44 m depth. A similar trend was observed at the bottom layers of ponds A and B. This was reflected in the higher values of catalase, phosphatase, protease and amylase in bottom layers of all the ponds (Table IV). It is revealed from this study that highly active euphotic zone exists up to 0.91 m depth in pond A, up to 1.52 m depth in pond B and up to 1.83 m depth in pond C.

#### 4. Discussion

The factors such as light intensity and temperature directly influence the algal growth and activity and O<sub>2</sub> production, hence, the 'pond performance' (Dodakundi and Rodgi, 1974). Reduction in BOD and nutrients at the surface layers by the algal population, and availability of nutrients and higher BOD values observed at the lower strata of the ponds, certainly depicts their complementary nature in pond operation (Dodakundi et al., 1973; Hosetti et al., 1984).

Observations made on deeper ponds (B and C) indicated that the algal activity along with higher values of DO and pH extend up to 1.52 m depth in pond B and up to 2.14 m depth in pond C, which manifests the penetration of light at deeper layers and stimulation of algal activity at the layers. This showed that the ponds can be operated at 2.44 m depth, since 7.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of  $O_2$  was present at the bottom of the pond under investigation.

The activity of catalase, phosphatase, protease and amylase increased with the depth of the ponds. This increase in enzyme activity at the bottom layers may be attributed to the high bacterial growth and activity. Activity of these enzymes was gradually reduced and reached minimum values at the surface layers. This showed that stabilization of the major portion of the organic matter in the wastes corresponds to the lowing of enzyme activity. This observation agrees with the findings of Siddiqui et al. (1967), Kadke and Raman (1979), and Gaddad et al. (1982).

From the present study, it appears that the activity of catalase, and phosphatase can be used as an index of the microbial activity and protease and amylase activity may be correlated with the ability of the microorganisms to degrade biological wastes.

## Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to the Head, Department of Zoology, Karnatak University, Dharwad, for providing laboratory facilities.

## References

APHA, AWWA, and WPCF: 1980, Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater, 15th ed. Inc., New York.

Arceivala, S. J., Laxminarayana, J. S. S., Algarasamy, S. R., and Sastry, C. A.: 1970, Waste Stabilization Ponds Design. Construction, and Operation in India, CPHERI, Nagpur, India.

Durairajan, M.: 1961, Bulletin CPHERI, 3, 135.

Dodakundi, G. B., Patil, H. S., and Rodgi, S. S.: 1973, J. Karnatak University Science 18, 137.

Dodakundi, G. B. and Rodgi, S. S.: 1974, J. Karnatak University Science 19,63.

Dave, J. M. and Jain, S. S.: 1967, J. Inst. Engr. 7, 132.

Euler, H. V. and Josephson, K.: 1927, Catalase II, Liebigs Annual, p. 455.

Fitzgerald, G. P. and Rohlich, G. A.: 1958, Sew. Ind. Wastes. 30, 1213.

Gloyna, E. F.: 1971, Waste Stabilization Ponds, Monograph Series 60, World Health Organization, Geneva. Ganapati, S. V.: 1974, Biochemical Studies on Algal Bacterial Symbiosis in High Rate Oxidation Ponds with Varying Detention Period and Algae, Final technical report, American PL-480 Res. Project, Baroda, India.

Gaddad, S. M., Jayaraj, Y. M., and Rodgi, S. S.: 1982, Ind. J. Envi. Health. 24, 321.

Hosetti, B. B. and Rodgi, S. S.: 1985, Environment and Ecology 3, 324.

Hosetti, B. B., Patil, H. S., and Rodgi, S. S.: 1984, Environment and Ecology 2, 275.

Halakatti, S. B. M. P. and Rodgi, S. S.: 1985, Environment and Ecology 3, 275.

Kadke, S. N. and Raman, V.: 1978, Indian Assn. Water Pollut. Control. Techn. Annual. V, 139.

Kurshed, I. and Chughtai, M. I. D.: 1981, in Murray Moo Young (ed.), Advances in Biotechnology 2, Pergamon Press, London, p. 598.

Lenhard, G.: 1967, Hydrobiologiae 39, 67.

Neelting, G. and Bernfeld, P.: 1948, Helvi. Chim Acta. 31, 286.

Patil, H. S.: 1979, 'Studies on the Comparative Ecoèogy of the Various Species of Algae with a View to Select the Best for Increasing the Efficiency of Sewage Oxidation Ponds', Ph. D. Thesis, Karnatak University, Dharwad.

Siddiqui, R. H., Englebrecht, R. S., and Speece, R. E.: 1967, Adv. Wat. Pollut. Res. Proc. Int. Conf. 3, 353. Verstraete, W., Votes, J. P., and Lanker, V. P.: 1976, Hydrobiologia 49, 257.

Graduu

Abstrac eutroph warmer A. hydro through conduc elear sc

Aeron a path and fi

(Ripp nutrie (Fliern betwe Fliern et al., effluer lation Burke

water a pred mixin The

coinci

existe monit

reserv

\* Preso

Water, , © 1987